

ARMY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE CASE OF: [REDACTED]

BOARD DATE: 9 August 2024

DOCKET NUMBER: AR20230014835

APPLICANT REQUESTS:

- correction of his records to show he was retired due to physical disability
- a personal appearance before the Board

APPLICANT'S SUPPORTING DOCUMENT(S) CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD:

- DD Form 149 (Application for Correction of Military Record)
- Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) summary of benefits and disabilities ratings

FACTS:

1. The applicant did not file within the 3-year time frame provided in Title 10, U.S. Code, section 1552(b); however, the Army Board for Correction of Military Records (ABCMR) conducted a substantive review of this case and determined it is in the interest of justice to excuse the applicant's failure to timely file.
2. The applicant states he was separated with a 10% disability rating, so he was not retired. Immediately upon application, the VA increase his rating to 30% backdated to the day of his separation. He believes he should have therefore retired and kept certain benefits.
3. After having had prior service in the Regular Army and in the Army National Guard, the applicant enlisted in the Regular Army on 12 February 1991.
4. The applicant's DA Form 199 (Physical Evaluation Board (PEB) Proceedings) is not available. His available records contain orders issued on 1 October 1993 directing his discharge for disability with severance pay with a 10% disability rating effective 15 October 1993.
5. The applicant's DD Form 214 (Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty) shows he was discharged on 15 October 1993 under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-40 (Disability Evaluation for Retention, Retirement, or Separation) by reason of disability-severance pay.

6. The applicant provided his VA summary of benefits and disabilities ratings showing he was granted service-connected disability compensation for various service-related medical conditions. He indicated in a hand-written note that the PEB found him unfit for ganglion cyst removal, right wrist, with a 10% disability rating. He also indicated the VA rated his right hemiscrotal/inguinal pain, status post orchiectomy with impotence with associated ilioinguinal neuritis with associated right hip and thigh pain 30% disabling effective 16 October 1993.

7. The Army rates only conditions determined to be physically unfitting at the time of discharge, which disqualify the Soldier from further military service. The Army disability rating is to compensate the individual for the loss of a military career. The VA does not have authority or responsibility for determining physical fitness for military service. The VA may compensate the individual for loss of civilian employability.

8. MEDICAL REVIEW:

a. The Army Review Boards Agency (ARBA) Medical Advisor was asked to review this case. Documentation reviewed included the applicant's ABCMR application and accompanying documentation, the military electronic medical record (EMR – AHLTA and/or MHS Genesis), the VA electronic medical record (JLV), the electronic Physical Evaluation Board (ePEB), the Medical Electronic Data Care History and Readiness Tracking (MEDCHART) application, and/or the Interactive Personnel Electronic Records Management System (iPERMS). The ARBA Medical Advisor made the following findings and recommendations:

b. The applicant is applying to the ABCMR in essence requesting a referral to the Disability Evaluation System (DES). He states:

"I was separated at a 10% rating so I was not 'retired.' Immediately upon application the VA raised my rating to 30% backdated to the day after my separation. I believe I should have therefore been retired and kept certain benefits. I would like my status changed to medically retired."

c. The Record of Proceedings outlines the circumstances of the case. His DD 214 for the period of Service under consideration shows he entered the regular Army on 12 February 1991 and was separated with \$15,134.40 of disability severance pay on 15 October 1993 under provisions provided in paragraph 4-24b(3) of AR 635-40, Physical Evaluation for Retention, Retirement, or Separation (1 September 1990). The period of Service under consideration predates the EMR.

d. No medical documentation nor documentation related to his prior DES processing was submitted with the application nor uploaded into iPERMS. The United States Army

Physical Disability Agency's records only go back to 2004. Without this documentation, no opinion regarding the merits of the claim can be made.

BOARD DISCUSSION:

1. After reviewing the application, all supporting documents, and the evidence found within the military record, the Board found that relief was not warranted. The Board carefully considered the applicant's record of service, documents submitted in support of the petition, and executed a comprehensive review based on law, policy, and regulation. Upon review of the applicant's petition and available military records, the Board reviewed and concurred with the medical advisor's review finding there to be no documentation to support his Disability Evaluation System processing to determine the merits of the applicant's claim. The Board is not an investigative body and there is a presumption that what the Army did was correct. Based on this, the Board determined there is insufficient information to determine whether further evaluation into his separation proceedings is warranted.

2. The applicant's request for a personal appearance hearing was carefully considered. In this case, the evidence of record was sufficient to render a fair and equitable decision. As a result, a personal appearance hearing is not necessary to serve the interest of equity and justice in this case.

BOARD VOTE:

Mbr 1 Mbr 2 Mbr 3

:	:	:	GRANT FULL RELIEF
:	:	:	GRANT PARTIAL RELIEF
:	:	:	GRANT FORMAL HEARING
■	■	■	DENY APPLICATION

BOARD DETERMINATION/RECOMMENDATION:

The evidence presented does not demonstrate the existence of a probable error or injustice. Therefore, the Board determined the overall merits of this case are insufficient as a basis for correction of the records of the individual concerned.

■

■ ■

■
■

I certify that herein is recorded the true and complete record of the proceedings of the Army Board for Correction of Military Records in this case.

REFERENCES:

1. Title 10, U.S. Code, section 1552(b), provides that applications for correction of military records must be filed within 3 years after discovery of the alleged error or injustice. This provision of law also allows the ABCMR to excuse an applicant's failure to timely file within the 3-year statute of limitations if the ABCMR determines it would be in the interest of justice to do so.

2. Title 10, U.S. Code, chapter 61, provides the Secretaries of the Military Departments with authority to retire or discharge a member if they find the member unfit to perform military duties because of physical disability. The U.S. Army Physical Disability Agency is responsible for administering the Army Disability Evaluation System (DES) and executes Secretary of the Army decision-making authority as directed by Congress in chapter 61 and in accordance with Department of Defense Directive 1332.18 and Army Regulation 635-40.

3. Army Regulation 635-40 establishes the Army DES and sets forth policies, responsibilities, and procedures that apply in determining whether a Soldier is unfit because of physical disability to reasonably perform the duties of his office, grade, rank, or rating.

a. The disability evaluation assessment process involves two distinct stages: the Medical Evaluation Board (MEB) and PEB. The purpose of the MEB is to determine whether the service member's injury or illness is severe enough to compromise his or her ability to return to full duty based on the job specialty designation of the branch of service. A PEB is an administrative body possessing the authority to determine whether a service member is fit for duty. A designation of "unfit for duty" is required before an individual can be separated from the military because of an injury or medical condition. Service members whose medical condition did not exist prior to service who are determined to be unfit for duty due to disability are either separated from the military or are permanently retired, depending on the severity of the disability. Individuals who are "separated" receive a one-time severance payment, while veterans who retire based upon disability receive monthly military retired pay and have access to all other benefits afforded to military retirees.

b. The mere presence of an impairment does not, of itself, justify a finding of unfitness because of physical disability. In each case, it is necessary to compare the nature and degree of physical disability present with the requirements of the duties the Soldier reasonably may be expected to perform because of their office, grade, rank, or rating.

c. The percentage assigned to a medical defect or condition is the disability rating. A rating is not assigned until the PEB determines the Soldier is physically unfit for duty.

Ratings are assigned from the VA Schedule for Rating Disabilities (VASRD). The fact that a Soldier has a condition listed in the VASRD does not equate to a finding of physical unfitness. An unfitting or ratable condition is one which renders the Soldier unable to perform the duties of his or her office, grade, rank, or rating in such a way as to reasonably fulfill the purpose of his or her employment on active duty.

d. There is no legal requirement in arriving at the rated degree of incapacity to rate a physical condition which is not in itself considered disqualifying for military service when a Soldier is found unfit because of another condition that is disqualifying. Only the unfitting conditions or defects and those which contribute to unfitness will be considered in arriving at the rated degree of incapacity warranting retirement or separation for disability.

4. Title 10, U.S. Code, section 1201, provides for the physical disability retirement of a member who has at least 20 years of service or a disability rating of at least 30% percent. Title 10, U.S. Code, section 1203, provides for the physical disability separation of a member who has less than 20 years of service and a disability rating of less than 30%.

5. Title 38, U.S. Code, Sections 1110 and 1131, permit the VA to award compensation for disabilities which were incurred in or aggravated by active military service. However, an award of a VA rating does not establish an error or injustice on the part of the Army.

6. Title 38, Code of Federal Regulations, Part IV is the VASRD. The VA awards disability ratings to veterans for service-connected conditions, including those conditions detected after discharge. As a result, the VA, operating under different policies, may award a disability rating where the Army did not find the member to be unfit to perform his duties. Unlike the Army, the VA can evaluate a veteran throughout his or her lifetime, adjusting the percentage of disability based upon that agency's examinations and findings.

7. Army Regulation 15-185 (ABCMR) provides Department of the Army policy, criteria, and administrative instructions regarding an applicant's request for the correction of a military record. Paragraph 2-11 states applicants do not have a right to a hearing before the ABCMR. The Director or the ABCMR may grant a formal hearing whenever justice requires.

8. Title 10, U.S. Code, section 1556 requires the Secretary of the Army to ensure that an applicant seeking corrective action by the Army Review Boards Agency (ARBA) be provided with a copy of any correspondence and communications (including summaries of verbal communications) to or from the Agency with anyone outside the Agency that directly pertains to or has material effect on the applicant's case, except as authorized by statute. ARBA medical advisory opinions and reviews are authored by ARBA civilian

and military medical and behavioral health professionals and are therefore internal agency work product. Accordingly, ARBA does not routinely provide copies of ARBA Medical Office recommendations, opinions (including advisory opinions), and reviews to Army Board for Correction of Military Records applicants (and/or their counsel) prior to adjudication.

//NOTHING FOLLOWS//