ARMY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE CASE OF:

BOARD DATE: 13 September 2024

DOCKET NUMBER: AR20240000460

APPLICANT REQUESTS:

an upgrade of his bad conduct discharge

• a video/telephonic appearance before the Board

APPLICANT'S SUPPORTING DOCUMENT(S) CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD:

DD Form 149 (Application for Correction of Military Record)

FACTS:

- 1. The applicant did not file within the 3-year time frame provided in Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1552(b); however, the Army Board for Correction of Military Records (ABCMR) conducted a substantive review of this case and determined it is in the interest of justice to excuse the applicant's failure to timely file.
- 2. The applicant states he cooperated fully with the military, used as little resources as possible, and did not cause any issues or problems for anyone involved. He would like to pursue his lifelong dream of becoming a firefighter, but this has been holding him back greatly and is the last obstacle that he needs to overcome in order to move forward with that. He was unaware he was able to request this right away. He thought there was a minimum of 7 years before he could request an upgrade.
- 3. The applicant enlisted in the Regular Army on 24 April 2007, for 3 years. His military occupational specialty was 11B (Infantryman).
- 4. The applicant was reported as absent without leave (AWOL) on 30 August 2007 and dropped from the rolls as a deserter on 30 September 2007.
- 5. Before a general court-martial adjudged on 17 May 2011, the applicant was found guilty of:
 - without authority, absenting himself from his unit on or about 30 August 2007 until on or about 9 February 2011

- without authority, absenting himself from his unit on or about 22 February 2011 until on or about 17 March 2011
- the court sentenced him to reduction to private/E-1, confinement for six months, and to be discharged from the service with a BCD
- 6. The convening authority approved the sentence on 26 October 2011 and except for the part of the sentence extending to a BCD would be executed.
- 7. The applicant was confined by military authorities on 17 May 2011 as a result of court martial.
- 8. The applicant was present for duty on 12 August 2011, after completion of confinement.
- 9. General Court-Martial Order Number 168, dated 20 September 2012, issued by Headquarters, U.S. Army Fires Center of Excellence, Fort Sill, OK, shows the sentence having been complied with, the BCD was ordered to be duly executed.
- 10. The applicant was discharged on 8 February 2013. His DD Form 214 (Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty) shows he was discharged under the provisions of Army Regulation (AR) 635-200 (Active-Duty Administrative Separations), Chapter 3, as a result of court-martial, other with Separation Code JJD and Reentry Code 1. His service was characterized as bad conduct. He completed 2 years, 1 month and 16 days of active service. He lost time from 2 September 2007 to 9 February 2011, 22 February 2011 to 17 March 2011 and 17 June 2011 to 11 August 2011. He was awarded or authorized the National Defense Service Medal.
- 11. Court-martial convictions stand as adjudged or modified by appeal through the judicial process. Clemency is an act of mercy or instance of leniency to moderate the severity of the punishment imposed.
- 12. In reaching its determination, the Board can consider the applicant's petition and service record in accordance with the published equity, injustice, or clemency determination guidance.

BOARD DISCUSSION:

1. After reviewing the application, all supporting documents, and the evidence found within the military record, the Board found that relief was not warranted. The Board carefully considered the applicant's request, supporting documents, evidence in the records, and published Department of Defense guidance for liberal consideration of discharge upgrade requests. The Board considered the applicant's statement and

record of service, the frequency and nature of the applicant's misconduct and the reason for separation. The applicant was separated for conviction by court-martial for being absent without leave from 30 August 2007 to 9 February 2011. The Board found no error or injustice in the separation proceedings. The Board majority determined relief was not appropriate. The Board minority determined the punishment was harsh for the offense of being absent without leave and a characterization of service upgrade to under honorable conditions (General) was appropriate. Based on a preponderance of the evidence, the Board majority concluded that the characterization of service the applicant received upon separation was appropriate.

- 2. The applicant was given a bad conduct discharge pursuant to an approved sentence of a court-martial. The appellate review was completed and the affirmed sentence was ordered duly executed. All requirements of law and regulation were met with respect to the conduct of the court-martial and the appellate review process and the rights of the applicant were fully protected.
- 3. The applicant's request for a personal appearance hearing was carefully considered. In this case, the evidence of record was sufficient to render a fair and equitable decision. As a result, a personal appearance hearing is not necessary to serve the interest of equity and justice in this case.

BOARD VOTE:

Mbr 1 Mbr 2 Mbr 3

: : GRANT FULL RELIEF

: : GRANT PARTIAL RELIEF

: : GRANT FORMAL HEARING

: DENY APPLICATION

BOARD DETERMINATION/RECOMMENDATION:

The evidence presented does not demonstrate the existence of a probable error or injustice. Therefore, the Board determined the overall merits of this case are insufficient as a basis for correction of the records of the individual concerned.



I certify that herein is recorded the true and complete record of the proceedings of the Army Board for Correction of Military Records in this case.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1552(b), provides that applications for correction of military records must be filed within 3 years after discovery of the alleged error or injustice. This provision of law also allows the ABCMR to excuse an applicant's failure to timely file within the 3-year statute of limitations if the ABCMR determines it would be in the interest of justice to do so.
- 2. Army Regulation 15-185 (ABCMR) prescribes the policies and procedures for correction of military records by the Secretary of the Army, acting through the ABCMR. The ABCMR begins its consideration of each case with the presumption of administrative regularity, which is that what the Army did was correct.
- a. The ABCMR is not an investigative body and decides cases based on the evidence that is presented in the military records provided and the independent evidence submitted with the application. The applicant has the burden of proving an error or injustice by a preponderance of the evidence.
- b. The ABCMR may, in its discretion, hold a hearing or request additional evidence or opinions. Additionally, it states in paragraph 2-11 that applicants do not have a right to a hearing before the ABCMR. The Director or the ABCMR may grant a formal hearing whenever justice requires.
- 3. Army Regulation 635-200 (Active-Duty Administrative Separations) sets forth the basic authority for the separation of enlisted personnel. The version in effect at the time provided that:
- a. An honorable discharge is a separation with honor and entitles the recipient to benefits provided by law. The honorable characterization is appropriate when the quality of the member's service generally has met the standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty for Army personnel or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.
- b. A general discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions. When authorized, it is issued to a Soldier whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.
- c. Chapter 3 provided that an enlisted person would be given a BCD pursuant only to an approved sentence of a general or special court-martial, after completion of appellate review, and after such affirmed sentence has been ordered duly executed.
- 4. Court-martial convictions stand as adjudged or modified by appeal through the judicial process. In accordance with Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1552, the authority

under which this Board acts, the ABCMR is not empowered to set aside a conviction. Rather, it is only empowered to change the severity of the sentence imposed in the court-martial process and then only if clemency is determined to be appropriate. Clemency is an act of mercy or instance of leniency to moderate the severity of the punishment imposed.

- 5. The Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) issued guidance to Service Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records (BCM/NR) on 25 July 2018, regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations. Clemency generally refers to relief specifically granted from a criminal sentence. BCM/NRs may grant clemency regardless of the court-martial forum. However, the guidance applies to more than clemency from a sentencing in a court-martial; it also applies to any other corrections, including changes in a discharge, which may be warranted on equity or relief from injustice grounds.
- a. This guidance does not mandate relief, but rather provides standards and principles to guide Boards in application of their equitable relief authority. In determining whether to grant relief on the basis of equity, injustice, or clemency grounds, Boards shall consider the prospect for rehabilitation, external evidence, sworn testimony, policy changes, relative severity of misconduct, mental and behavioral health conditions, official governmental acknowledgement that a relevant error or injustice was committed, and uniformity of punishment.
- b. Changes to the narrative reason for discharge and/or an upgraded character of service granted solely on equity, injustice, or clemency grounds normally should not result in separation pay, retroactive promotions, and payment of past medical expenses or similar benefits that might have been received if the original discharge had been for the revised reason or had the upgraded service characterization.

//NOTHING FOLLOWS//