ARMY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE CASE OF:

BOARD DATE: 6 November 2024

DOCKET NUMBER: AR20240002687

<u>APPLICANT REQUESTS:</u> an upgrade of his under other than honorable conditions discharge to honorable.

APPLICANT'S SUPPORTING DOCUMENT(S) CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD:

- DD Form 149 (Application for Correction of Military Record)
- DD Form 214 (Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty)

FACTS:

- 1. The applicant did not file within the 3-year time frame provided in Title 10, U.S. Code, section 1552(b); however, the Army Board for Correction of Military Records (ABCMR) conducted a substantive review of this case and determined it is in the interest of justice to excuse the applicant's failure to timely file.
- 2. The applicant states he was innocent and pressured to signed admission of guilt to be released from being incarcerated. After three months of incarceration, he was offered a deal under the provision of a speedy trail. He states he had to sign submission of guilt even without the army having to prove he was guilty to be released from his incarceration and military service. The applicant states he was innocent. He regrets to this day all that has happen.
- 3. The applicant provided his DD Form 214 which shows a record of his service.
- 4. A review of the applicant's service record shows:
 - a. He enlisted in the Regular Army on 19 May 1981.
- b. On 21 March 1983, court-martial charges were preferred on the applicant for the following specifications:
 - wrongfully having possession 4 grams of marijuana with the intent to distribute outside the United States on 16 March 1983

- wrongfully having possession 4 grams of marijuana in the hashish form outside the United States on 16 March 1983
- wrongfully communicated a threat to another servicemember by saying he was going to kill him; the offense occurred outside the United States on 18 March 1983
- c. On 28 March 1983, the immediate commander recommended a Special Court-Martial empowered to adjudge a Bad Conduct Discharge and elimination from service.
- d. On 3 May 1983, after consulting with legal counsel he requested a discharge for the good of the service under the provisions of chapter 10, Army Regulation (AR) 635-200 (Personnel Separations Enlisted Personnel). He acknowledged:
 - maximum punishment
 - he was guilty of the charges against him or of a lesser included offense
 - he does not desire further rehabilitation or further military service
 - if his request for discharge was accepted, he may be discharged under other than honorable conditions and furnished an Under Other Than Honorable Conditions Discharge Certificate
 - he would be deprived of many or all Army benefits, he may be ineligible for many or all benefits administered by the Veterans Administration,
 - he may be deprived of his rights and benefits as a veteran under both Federal and State law
 - he may expect to encounter substantial prejudice in civilian life
- f. On 3 May 1983, consistent with the chain of command recommendations, the separation approval authority approved the applicant's request for discharge for the Good of the Service in lieu of trial by courts-martial. He would be issued an Under Other Than Honorable Conditions Discharge Certificate and reduced to the lowest enlisted pay grade.
- g. On 18 May 1983, he was discharged from active duty with an under other than honorable conditions characterization of service. His DD Form 214 shows he completed 2 years of active service with no lost time. He was assigned separation code JFS and the narrative reason for separation listed as "For the Good of the Service," with reentry code 3. It also shows he was awarded or authorized:
 - Army Service Ribbon
 - Overseas Service Ribbon
- 5. There is no evidence the applicant has applied to the Army Discharge Review Board for review of her discharge within that board's 15-year statute of limitations.

- 6. In reaching its determination, the Board can consider the applicants petition and his service record in accordance with the published equity, injustice, or clemency determination guidance.
- 5. By regulation, an individual who has committed an offense or offenses, the punishment for any of which includes a bad conduct discharge or dishonorable discharge, may submit a request for discharge for the good of the service. An Under Other than Honorable Discharge Certificate normally is appropriate for a member who is discharged for the good of the service.

BOARD DISCUSSION:

- 1. After reviewing the application, all supporting documents, and the evidence found within the military record, the Board found that relief was not warranted. The Board carefully considered the applicant's record of service, documents submitted in support of the petition and executed a comprehensive and standard review based on law, policy and regulation, and published Department of Defense guidance for liberal and clemency determinations requests for upgrade of his characterization of service. Upon review of the applicant's petition, available military records, the Board determined there is insufficient evidence of in-service mitigating factors to overcome the misconduct of drug possession. The Board noted, the applicant provided no post service achievements or character letters of support for the Board to weigh a clemency determination.
- 2. The Board agreed the applicant has not demonstrated by a preponderance of evidence an error or injustice warranting the requested relief, specifically an upgrade of the under other than honorable conditions (UOTHC) discharge to a honorable discharge. Therefore, the Board denied relief.

BOARD VOTE:

Mbr 1	Mbr 2	Mbr 3	
:	:	:	GRANT FULL RELIEF
:	:	:	GRANT PARTIAL RELIEF
:	:	:	GRANT FORMAL HEARING
			DENY APPLICATION

BOARD DETERMINATION/RECOMMENDATION:

The evidence presented does not demonstrate the existence of a probable error or injustice. Therefore, the Board determined the overall merits of this case are insufficient as a basis for correction of the records of the individual concerned.



I certify that herein is recorded the true and complete record of the proceedings of the Army Board for Correction of Military Records in this case.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Title 10, U.S. Code, section 1552(b), provides that applications for correction of military records must be filed within 3 years after discovery of the alleged error or injustice. This provision of law also allows the ABCMR to excuse an applicant's failure to timely file within the 3-year statute of limitations if the ABCMR determines it would be in the interest of justice to do so.
- 2. Army Regulation 635-200 (Personnel Separations Enlisted Personnel), in effect at the time, sets forth the basic authority for the separation of enlisted personnel.
- a. Paragraph 3-7a (Honorable Discharge) states an honorable discharge is a separation with honor. The honorable characterization is appropriate when the quality of the member's service generally has met, the standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty for Army personnel or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.
- b. Paragraph 3-7b (General Discharge) states a general discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions. When authorized, it is issued to a member whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.
- c. Chapter 10 of this regulation states an individual who has committed an offense or offenses, the punishment for any of which includes a bad conduct discharge or dishonorable discharge, may submit a request for discharge for the good of the service.

An Under Other than Honorable Discharge Certificate normally is appropriate for a member who is discharged for the good of the service.

- 3. On 25 July 2018, the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness issued guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records (BCM/NRs) regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations. Clemency generally refers to relief specifically granted from a criminal sentence. BCM/NRs may grant clemency regardless of the type of court-martial. However, the guidance applies to more than clemency from a sentencing in a court-martial; it also applies to other corrections, including changes in a discharge, which may be warranted based on equity or relief from injustice.
- a. This guidance does not mandate relief, but rather provides standards and principles to guide Boards in application of their equitable relief authority. In determining whether to grant relief based on equity, injustice, or clemency grounds, BCM/NRs shall consider the prospect for rehabilitation, external evidence, sworn testimony, policy changes, relative severity of misconduct, mental and behavioral health conditions, official governmental acknowledgement that a relevant error or injustice was committed, and uniformity of punishment.
- b. Changes to the narrative reason for discharge and/or an upgraded character of service granted solely on equity, injustice, or clemency grounds normally should not result in separation pay, retroactive promotions, and payment of past medical expenses or similar benefits that might have been received if the original discharge had been for the revised reason or had the upgraded service characterization.

//NOTHING FOLLOWS//