

ARMY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE CASE OF: [REDACTED]

BOARD DATE:

DOCKET NUMBER: AR20240004924

APPLICANT REQUESTS: an upgrade of his characterization of service from under other than honorable conditions to honorable

APPLICANT'S SUPPORTING DOCUMENT(S) CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD:

- DD Form 149 (Application for Correction of Military Record)
- DD Form 214 (Armed Forces of the United States Report of Transfer or Discharge), dated 9 August 1972

FACTS:

1. The applicant did not file within the 3-year time frame provided in Title 10, U.S. Code, section 1552(b); however, the Army Board for Correction of Military Records (ABCMR) conducted a substantive review of this case and determined it is in the interest of justice to excuse the applicant's failure to timely file.
2. The applicant states he joined the Army in 1971 under the Volar Program, where he got to choose his basic training site and military occupational specialty (MOS) if he qualified. He chose tanker school. He successfully completed basic training. For some reason he was dropped into a reconnaissance MOS and was not sent to tanker school. After that his time in the Army went downhill because he could not get out of reconnaissance. Under the Volar Program in 1971, he was guaranteed basic training at Fort Lewis, WA, and tanker school.
3. A review of the applicant's service record shows:
 - a. He enlisted in the Regular Army on 3 September 1971.
 - b. Court-martial charges were preferred against the applicant on 28 July 1972. His DD Form 458 (Charge Sheet) shows he was charged with being Absent Without Leave (AWOL) from on or about 2 January 1972 to on or about 4 February 1972 and from on or about 17 February 1972 to on or about 26 July 1972.

c. He underwent a mental status evaluation on 1 August 1972. The evaluating physician noted that he had the mental capacity to understand and participate in board proceedings and met the retention standards under the provisions of Army Regulation (AR) 40-501 (Standards of Medical Fitness), chapter 3.

d. On 1 August 1972, the applicant underwent a medical examination for the purpose of separation. The examining physician noted the applicant was qualified for discharge.

e. On 1 August 1972, after consulting with legal counsel, the applicant voluntarily requested discharge for the good of the service in lieu of trial by court-martial under AR 635-200 (Personnel Separations - Enlisted Personnel), chapter 10. The applicant acknowledged that he made the request of his own free will and was not coerced by any person. He understood that if the request was accepted, he could be discharged under other than honorable conditions and furnished an undesirable discharge certificate. He further acknowledged he understood that if his discharge request was approved, he could be deprived of many or all Army benefits, he could be ineligible for many or all benefits administered by the Veteran's Administration, he could be deprived of his rights and benefits as a veteran under both Federal and State law and encounter substantial prejudice in civilian life because of an under other than honorable discharge.

f. On 3 August 1972, the immediate commander recommended approval and recommended an Undesirable Discharge Certificate (DD Form 258A). The intermediate commander echoed the immediate commander's recommendation.

g. The separation authority approved the recommended discharge on 7 August 1972, directed the applicant be reduced to the lowest enlisted grade, and be issued an undesirable discharge certificate.

h. The applicant was discharged on 9 August 1972. His DD Form 214 shows he was discharged under the provisions of AR 635-200, chapter 10, in the rank/grade of private (PV1)/E-1, and his service was characterized as under other than honorable conditions. He completed 7 months and 27 days of net active service during the covered period. This form also shows in:

- Item 15 (Reenlistment Code): 3, 3B, 1B
- Item 23a (Specialty Number and Title): 09B00, Trainee
- Item 24 (Decorations, Medals, Badges, Commendations, Citations and Campaign Ribbons Awarded or Authorized): None
- Item 26a (Non-Pay Periods Time Lost): 2 January 1972 to 3 February 1972 and 17 February 1972 to 25 July 1972

4. On 3 November 1981, the Army Discharge Review Board, after careful consideration of the applicant's military records and all other available evidence, determined that the applicant was properly discharged. Accordingly, the Secretary of the Army directed that the applicant be advised that his request for a change in the type and nature of his discharge had been denied.
5. The pertinent Army regulation in effect at the time provided discharges under the provision of AR 635-200, chapter 10, where voluntary requests from the Soldier to be discharged in lieu of a trial by court-martial.
6. The Board should consider the applicant's overall record in accordance with the published equity, injustice, or clemency determination guidance.

BOARD DISCUSSION:

1. After reviewing the application, all supporting documents, the evidence found within the military record, and published Department of Defense Guidance of consideration of discharge upgrade requests, the Board found that relief was not warranted.
2. The Board carefully considered the applicant's contentions, his record of service, the frequency and nature of his misconduct, the court martial charges, his request for discharge, the reason for his separation and the character of service he received upon discharge. The Board did not find evidence of in-service mitigating factors and the applicant provided none to support liberal consideration of his request. The applicant did not provide evidence of post-service achievements or reference letters to be considered in support of a clemency determination.

BOARD VOTE:

Mbr 1 Mbr 2 Mbr 3

:	:	:	GRANT FULL RELIEF
:	:	:	GRANT PARTIAL RELIEF
:	:	:	GRANT FORMAL HEARING
■	■	■	DENY APPLICATION

BOARD DETERMINATION/RECOMMENDATION:

The evidence presented does not demonstrate the existence of a probable error or injustice. Therefore, the Board determined the overall merits of this case are insufficient as a basis for correction of the records of the individual concerned.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I certify that herein is recorded the true and complete record of the proceedings of the Army Board for Correction of Military Records in this case.

REFERENCES:

1. Title 10, U.S. Code, section 1552(b), provides that applications for correction of military records must be filed within 3 years after discovery of the alleged error or injustice. This provision of law also allows the ABCMR to excuse an applicant's failure to timely file within the 3-year statute of limitations if the ABCMR determines it would be in the interest of justice to do so.
2. Army Regulation (AR) 635-200 (Personnel Separations – Enlisted Personnel), in effect at the time, set forth the basic authority for the separation of enlisted personnel.
 - a. Chapter 10 provided that an individual whose conduct has rendered him triable by court-martial under circumstances which could lead to a bad conduct or dishonorable discharge may submit a request for discharge for the good of the service. The request for discharge may be submitted at any time after court-martial charges are preferred against him. Commanders will ensure that there is no element of coercion in submitting a request for discharge for the good of the service. The member will be given a reasonable time to consult with counsel and to consider the wisdom of submitting such a request for discharge. If he elects to submit the request, the member will personally sign the written request, certifying that he understands that he may receive a discharge under other than honorable conditions and that he understands the adverse nature of such a discharge and the possible consequences thereof. An undesirable discharge certificate was normally furnished to an individual who was discharged for the good of the service. However, the discharge authority may direct an honorable or general discharge, if warranted.

b. An honorable discharge is a separation with honor. Issuance of an honorable discharge certificate is predicated upon proper military behavior and proficient performance of duty during the member's current enlistment or period of obligated service with due consideration for the member's age, length of service, grade, and general aptitude. Where a member has served faithfully and performed to the best of his ability and has been cooperative and conscientious in doing his assigned tasks, he may be furnished an honorable discharge.

c. A general discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions. It is issued to a member whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.

d. An undesirable discharge is an administrative separation from the service under conditions other than honorable. It may be issued for unfitness or misconduct. An undesirable discharge will be directed only by a commander exercising general court-martial jurisdiction, a general officer in command who has a judge advocate officer on his staff, or by higher authority, based on the approved recommendation of a board of officers, unless the member waives the board or requests discharge for the good of the Service.

3. AR 601-210 (Active and Reserve Components Enlistment Program) covers eligibility criteria, policies, and procedures for enlistment and processing into the Regular Army, U.S. Army Reserve, and Army National Guard. Table 3-1 provides a list of RE codes.

- RE code "1" applies to Soldiers completing their term of active service, who are considered qualified for enlistment if all other criteria are met
- RE code "2" is no longer in use but applied to Soldiers separated for the convenience of the government, when reenlistment is not contemplated, who are fully qualified for enlistment/reenlistment
- RE code "3" applies to Soldiers who are not considered fully qualified for reentry or continuous service at time of separation, whose disqualification is waivable; they are ineligible unless a waiver is granted
- RE code "4" applies to Soldiers separated from last period of service with a non-waivable disqualification
- RE code "3B" applied to Soldiers who had lost time during their last period of service, who were ineligible for enlistment unless a waiver was granted
- RE code "3C" applied to Soldiers who had completed over 4 months of service who did not meet the basic eligibility pay grade requirements or who have been denied reenlistment under the Qualitative Retention Process and were ineligible for enlistment unless a waiver was granted.

4. On 25 July 2018, the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness issued guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of

Military/Naval Records regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations. Clemency generally refers to relief specifically granted from a criminal sentence. Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records may grant clemency regardless of the court-martial forum. However, the guidance applies to more than clemency from a sentencing in a court-martial; it also applies to any other corrections, including changes in a discharge, which may be warranted on equity or relief from injustice. This guidance does not mandate relief but provides standards and principles to guide Boards in application of their equitable relief authority. In determining whether to grant relief on the basis of equity, injustice, or clemency grounds, Boards shall consider the prospect for rehabilitation, external evidence, sworn testimony, policy changes, relative severity of misconduct, mental and behavioral health conditions, official governmental acknowledgement that a relevant error or injustice was committed, and uniformity of punishment. Changes to the narrative reason for discharge and/or an upgraded character of service granted solely on equity, injustice, or clemency grounds normally should not result in separation pay, retroactive promotions, and payment of past medical expenses or similar benefits that might have been received if the original discharge had been for the revised reason or had the upgraded service characterization.

//NOTHING FOLLOWS//