

ARMY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE CASE OF: [REDACTED]

BOARD DATE: 22 April 2025

DOCKET NUMBER: AR20240010607

APPLICANT REQUESTS: in effect, a medical discharge in lieu of an uncharacterized discharge due to failing to meet procurement medical fitness standards, and a personal appearance hearing before the Board via video or telephone.

APPLICANT'S SUPPORTING DOCUMENT(S) CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD:

- DD Form 149 (Application for Correction of Military Record)
- front page of Standard Form (SF) 93 (Report of Medical History), 5 December 1997
- back page of SF 88 (Report of Medical Examination), 3 February 1998
- back page of DA Form 4707-C (Entrance Physical Standards Board (EPSBD)) Proceedings), 12 May 1998
- DD Form 214 (Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty)

FACTS:

1. The applicant did not file within the 3-year time frame provided in Title 10, U.S. Code, section 1552(b); however, the Army Board for Correction of Military Records (ABCMR) conducted a substantive review of this case and determined it is in the interest of justice to excuse the applicant's failure to timely file.
2. The applicant states, a doctor talked her into the discharge under the assumption that when her wrist healed, she could rejoin the military and if there were limitations, she would be given a waiver to rejoin.
3. The applicant enlisted in the Regular Army (RA) on 3 February 1998.
4. The complete facts and circumstances of her discharge are not available for review with this case. However, her record contains a DD Form 214 which shows she was discharged on 15 May 1998 under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200 (Personnel Separations – Enlisted Personnel), paragraph 5-11 for failure to meet medical procurement standards. She was credited with 3 months and 13 days of net

active service. She was not awarded a military occupational specialty (MOS), her service was uncharacterized.

5. The applicant provides, in part a/an:

a. front page of SF 93 dated 5 December 1997, in which the applicant reported her medical history. She noted her present health as "good."

b. back page of SF 88 dated 3 February 1998, which notes the applicant was found qualified for RA enlistment.

c. back page of DA Form 4707-C dated 8 May 1998, which notes the applicant concurred with the Entrance Physical Standards Board proceedings to be discharged without delay. On 12 May 1998, the discharge authority approved the applicant's discharge from the Army.

6. Title 10, U.S. Code (USC), chapter 61, provides the Secretaries of the Military Departments with authority to retire or discharge a member if they find the member unfit to perform military duties because of physical disability

7. Title 38, USC, Sections 1110 and 1131, permit the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) to award compensation for disabilities which were incurred in or aggravated by active military service. However, an award of a VA rating does not establish an error or injustice on the part of the Army.

8. The Army rates only conditions determined to be physically unfitting at the time of discharge, which disqualify the Soldier from further military service. The Army disability rating is to compensate the individual for the loss of a military career. The VA does not have authority or responsibility for determining physical fitness for military service. The VA may compensate the individual for loss of civilian employability.

9. Title 38, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part IV is the VA's schedule for rating disabilities. The VA awards disability ratings to veterans for service-connected conditions, including those conditions detected after discharge. As a result, the VA, operating under different policies, may award a disability rating where the Army did not find the member to be unfit to perform his duties. Unlike the Army, the VA can evaluate a veteran throughout his or her lifetime, adjusting the percentage of disability based upon that agency's examinations and findings.

10. MEDICAL REVIEW:

a. The Army Review Boards Agency (ARBA) Medical Advisor was asked to review this case. Documentation reviewed included the applicant's ABCMR application and

accompanying documentation, the military electronic medical record (AHLTA), the VA electronic medical record (JLV), the electronic Physical Evaluation Board (ePEB), the Medical Electronic Data Care History and Readiness Tracking (MEDCHART) application, and the Interactive Personnel Electronic Records Management System (iPERMS). The ARBA Medical Advisor made the following findings and recommendations:

b. The applicant is applying to the ABCMR requesting an upgrade of her uncharacterized discharge and, in essence, a referral to the Disability Evaluation System (DES). She states through counsel:

“Doctor talked myself in to receiving the uncharacterized discharge under the assumption that when my wrist heals that I can rejoin the military, and if there were limitations, I would be giving a waiver to be able to rejoin. Later finding out that I was told wrong.”

c. The Record of Proceedings outlines the applicant’s military service and the circumstances of the case. Her DD 214 shows she entered the regular Army on 3 February 1998 and received an uncharacterized discharged on 15 May 1998 under the separation authority provided by paragraph 5-11 of AR 635-200, Personnel Separations – Enlisted Personnel (30 August 1995): Separation of personnel who did not meet procurement medical fitness standards.

d. Paragraph 5-11a of AR 635-200:

Soldiers who were not medically qualified under procurement medical fitness standards when accepted for enlistment, or who became medically disqualified under these standards prior to entry on AD [active duty] or ADT [active duty for training] for initial entry training, will be separated. Medical proceedings, regardless of the date completed, must establish that a medical condition was identified by appropriate military medical authority within 6 months of the soldier’s initial entrance on AD for RA [regular Army], or during ADT for initial entry training for ARNGUS [Army National Guard of the United States] and USAR [United States Army Reserve], which—

(1) Would have permanently or temporarily disqualified him or her for entry into the military service or entry on AD or ADT for initial entry training had it been detected at that time.

(2) Does not disqualify him or her for retention in the military service under the provisions of AR 40–501, chapter 3.

e. The applicant's service predates AHTLA.

f. Her pre-entrance medical examination shows that other than being overweight, she was without significant medical history or conditions.

g. The applicant was referred to an Entrance Physical Standards Board (EPSBD) for an apparent pre-existing wrist condition IAW paragraph 5-11 of AR 635-200. EPSBDs are convened IAW paragraph 7-12 of AR 40-400, Patient Administration. This process is for enlisted Soldiers who within their first 6 months of active service are found to have a preexisting condition which does not meet the enlistment standard in chapter 2 of AR 40-501, Standards of Medical Fitness (1 December 1983), but does meet the chapter 3 retention standard of the same regulation. The fourth criterion for this process is that the preexisting condition was not permanently aggravated by their military service.

h. The only document available for review is the backside of her Entrance Physical Standards Board (EPSBD) Proceedings (DA Form 4707). It shows that on 4 May 1998, the EPSBD determined the condition had existed prior to service (EPTS), failed the enlistment standard of paragraph 2-23d of AR 40-501, had not been permanently aggravated by his military service, and was not compatible with continued service.

i. On 8 May 1998, the applicant concurred with the board's findings, selecting an initialing the option "I concur with these proceedings and request to be discharged from the US Army without delay."

j. An uncharacterized discharge is given to individuals who separate prior to completing 180 days of military service, or when the discharge action was initiated prior to 180 days of service. This type of discharge does not attempt to characterize service as good or bad. Through no fault of her own, she simply had a medical condition which was, unfortunately, not within enlistment standards.

k. It is the opinion of the Agency Medical Advisor that neither an upgrade of her discharge nor a referral of her case to the DES is warranted.

BOARD DISCUSSION:

1. After reviewing the application, all supporting documents, and the evidence found within the military record, the Board found that relief was not warranted. The applicant's contentions, the military record, and regulatory guidance were carefully considered. The governing regulation provides that a separation will be described as an entry-level separation, with service uncharacterized, if the separation action is initiated while a Soldier is in entry-level status. The applicant did not complete training and was released from active duty due to failure to meet medical procurement standards. The Board concurred with the medical advisor's review finding that neither an upgrade of her discharge nor referral of her case to the DES is warranted
2. The applicant's request for a video/telephonic hearing was carefully considered. In this case, the evidence of record was sufficient to render a fair and equitable decision. As a result, a personal appearance hearing is not necessary to serve the interest of equity and justice in this case.

BOARD VOTE:

Mbr 1 Mbr 2 Mbr 3

:	:	:	GRANT FULL RELIEF
:	:	:	GRANT PARTIAL RELIEF
:	:	:	GRANT FORMAL HEARING
█	█	█	DENY APPLICATION

BOARD DETERMINATION/RECOMMENDATION:

The evidence presented does not demonstrate the existence of a probable error or injustice. Therefore, the Board determined the overall merits of this case are insufficient as a basis for correction of the records of the individual concerned.

█

█

█

█

█

I certify that herein is recorded the true and complete record of the proceedings of the Army Board for Correction of Military Records in this case.

REFERENCES:

1. Title 10, U.S. Code, section 1552(b), provides that applications for correction of military records must be filed within 3 years after discovery of the alleged error or injustice. This provision of law also allows the ABCMR to excuse an applicant's failure to timely file within the 3-year statute of limitations if the ABCMR determines it would be in the interest of justice to do so.

2. Title 10, U.S. Code, chapter 61, provides the Secretaries of the Military Departments with authority to retire or discharge a member if they find the member unfit to perform military duties because of physical disability. The U.S. Army Physical Disability Agency is responsible for administering the Army physical disability evaluation system and executes Secretary of the Army decision-making authority as directed by Congress in chapter 61 and in accordance with Department of Defense Directive 1332.18 and Army Regulation 635-40 (Physical Evaluation for Retention, Retirement, or Separation).

3. Army Regulation 635-40 establishes the Army Disability Evaluation System and sets forth policies, responsibilities, and procedures that apply in determining whether a Soldier is unfit because of physical disability to reasonably perform the duties of his office, grade, rank, or rating. Only the unfitting conditions or defects and those which contribute to unfitness will be considered in arriving at the rated degree of incapacity warranting retirement or separation for disability.

a. Soldiers are referred to the disability system when they no longer meet medical retention standards in accordance with Army Regulation 40-501, chapter 3, as evidenced in a medical evaluation board (MEB); when they receive a permanent physical profile rating of "3" or "4" in any functional capacity factor and are referred by a Military Occupational Specialty Medical Retention Board; and/or they are command-referred for a fitness-for-duty medical examination.

b. The disability evaluation assessment process involves two distinct stages, the MEB and physical evaluation board (PEB). The purpose of the MEB is to determine whether the service member's injury or illness is severe enough to compromise his or her ability to return to full duty based on the job specialty designation of the branch of service. A PEB is an administrative body possessing the authority to determine whether a service member is fit for duty. A designation of "unfit for duty" is required before an individual can be separated from the military because of an injury or medical condition. Service members who are determined to be unfit for duty due to disability are either separated from the military or are permanently retired, depending on the severity of the disability and length of military service. Individuals who are "separated" receive a one-time severance payment, while veterans who retire based upon disability receive monthly military retired pay and have access to all other benefits afforded to military retirees.

c. The mere presence of medical impairment does not in and of itself justify a finding of unfitness. In each case, it is necessary to compare the nature and degree of physical disability present with the requirements of the duties the Soldier may reasonably be expected to perform because of his or her office, grade, rank, or rating. Reasonable performance of the preponderance of duties will invariably result in a finding of fitness for continued duty. A Soldier is physically unfit when medical impairment prevents reasonable performance of the duties required of the Soldier's office, grade, rank, or rating.

d. Disability compensation is not an entitlement acquired by reason of service-incurred illness or injury; rather, it is provided to Soldiers whose service is interrupted and who can no longer continue to reasonably perform because of a physical disability incurred or aggravated in military service.

e. Paragraph 3-4 states Soldiers who sustain or aggravate physically unfitting disabilities must meet the following line-of-duty criteria to be eligible to receive retirement and severance pay benefits:

(1) The disability must have been incurred or aggravated while the Soldier was entitled to basic pay or as the proximate cause of performing active duty or inactive duty training.

(2) The disability must not have resulted from the Soldier's intentional misconduct or willful neglect and must not have been incurred during a period of unauthorized absence.

4. Title 10, U.S. Code, section 1201, provides for the physical disability retirement of a member who has at least 20 years of service or a disability rating of at least 30 percent. Title 10, U.S. Code, section 1203, provides for the physical disability separation of a member who has less than 20 years of service and a disability rating of less than 30 percent.

5. Army Regulation 635-200 sets forth the basic authority for the separation of enlisted personnel.

a. Paragraph 5-1 states unless the reason for separation requires a specific characterization, a Soldier being separated for the convenience of the government will be awarded a character of service of honorable, under honorable conditions, or an uncharacterized description of service if in an entry-level status.

b. Paragraph 5-11 states Soldiers who were not medically qualified under procurement medical fitness standards when accepted for enlistment or who became medically disqualified under these standards prior to entry on active duty or active duty

for training for initial entry training may be separated. Such conditions must be discovered during the first 6 months of active duty. For character of service, paragraph 5-1 should be adhered to.

c. Section II (Terms) of the Glossary defines entry-level status for Regular Army Soldiers as the first 180 days of continuous active duty or the first 180 days of continuous active duty following a break of more than 92 days of active military service.

6. Title 38, U.S. Code, section 1110 (General – Basic Entitlement) states for disability resulting from personal injury suffered or disease contracted in line of duty, or for aggravation of a preexisting injury suffered or disease contracted in line of duty, in the active military, naval, or air service, during a period of war, the United States will pay to any veteran thus disabled and who was discharged or released under conditions other than dishonorable from the period of service in which said injury or disease was incurred, or preexisting injury or disease was aggravated, compensation as provided in this subchapter, but no compensation shall be paid if the disability is a result of the veteran's own willful misconduct or abuse of alcohol or drugs.

7. Title 38, U.S. Code, section 1131 (Peacetime Disability Compensation – Basic Entitlement) states for disability resulting from personal injury suffered or disease contracted in line of duty, or for aggravation of a preexisting injury suffered or disease contracted in line of duty, in the active military, naval, or air service, during other than a period of war, the United States will pay to any veteran thus disabled and who was discharged or released under conditions other than dishonorable from the period of service in which said injury or disease was incurred, or preexisting injury or disease was aggravated, compensation as provided in this subchapter, but no compensation shall be paid if the disability is a result of the veteran's own willful misconduct or abuse of alcohol or drugs.

8. Section 1556 of Title 10, United States Code, requires the Secretary of the Army to ensure that an applicant seeking corrective action by the Army Review Boards Agency (ARBA) be provided with a copy of any correspondence and communications (including summaries of verbal communications) to or from the Agency with anyone outside the Agency that directly pertains to or has material effect on the applicant's case, except as authorized by statute. ARBA medical advisory opinions and reviews are authored by ARBA civilian and military medical and behavioral health professionals and are therefore internal agency work product. Accordingly, ARBA does not routinely provide copies of ARBA Medical Office recommendations, opinions (including advisory opinions), and reviews to Army Board for Correction of Military Records applicants (and/or their counsel) prior to adjudication.

9. Army Regulation 15-185 prescribes the policies and procedures for correction of military records by the Secretary of the Army, acting through the ABCMR. The ABCMR may, in its discretion, hold a hearing or request additional evidence or opinions. Additionally, it states in paragraph 2-11 that applicants do not have a right to a hearing before the ABCMR. The Director or the ABCMR may grant a formal hearing whenever justice requires. The ABCMR considers individual applications that are properly brought before it. The ABCMR will decide cases on the evidence of record. It is not an investigative body. The ABCMR begins its consideration of each case with the presumption of administrative regularity. The applicant has the burden of proving an error or injustice by a preponderance of the evidence.

//NOTHING FOLLOWS//