

1. Applicant's Name: [REDACTED]**a. Application Date:** 26 April 2021**b. Date Received:** 26 April 2021**c. Counsel:** None**2. REQUEST, ISSUES, BOARD TYPE, AND DECISION:**

a. Applicant's Requests and Issues: The current characterization of service for the period under review is general (under honorable conditions). The applicant requests an upgrade to honorable.

The applicant seeks relief contending, in effect, the discharge was inequitable because it was based on two isolated incidents in the applicant's 39 months of service, including two tours, with no other adverse action. The applicant's discharge was influenced by their severe post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). The applicant is receiving counseling at the Veteran Center.

b. Board Type and Decision: In a records review conducted on 4 March 2025, and by a 5-0 vote, the Board determined the discharge is inequitable based on the applicant's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder outweighing the applicant's offenses of AWOL and illegal substance abuse. Therefore, the Board voted to grant relief in the form of an upgrade of the characterization of service to Honorable and changed to the separation authority to AR 635-200, paragraph 14-12a, the narrative reason for separation to Misconduct (Minor Infractions), with a corresponding separation code of JKN. The Board determined the reentry code is proper and equitable and voted not to change it.

*Please see **Board Discussion and Determination** section for more detail regarding the Board's decision.*

(Board member names available upon request)

3. DISCHARGE DETAILS:

a. Reason / Authority / Codes / Characterization: Misconduct (Drug Abuse) / AR 635-200, Paragraph 14-12c (2) / JKK / RE-4 / General (Under Honorable Conditions)

b. Date of Discharge: 27 May 2011**c. Separation Facts:**

(1) Date of Notification of Intent to Separate: 26 April 2011

(2) Basis for Separation: The applicant was informed of the following reasons: Misconduct, abuse of illegal drugs, and on 16 October 2010, without authority, absent themselves from their unit, to wit: Company C, 2nd Battalion, 12th Infantry Regiment at Fort Carson, Colorado, and did remain absent until 16 November 2010.

(3) Recommended Characterization: General (Under Honorable Conditions)

(4) Legal Consultation Date: 27 April 2011

(5) Administrative Separation Board: NA

(6) Separation Decision Date / Characterization: 9 May 2011 / General (Under Honorable Conditions)

4. SERVICE DETAILS:

a. Date / Period of Enlistment: 15 November 2008 / 6 years

b. Age at Enlistment / Education / GT Score: 19 / HS Graduate / 94

c. Highest Grade Achieved / MOS / Total Service: E-4 / 11B10, Infantryman / 3 year, 10 months, 14 days

d. Prior Service / Characterizations: RA, 13 June 2007 – 14 November 2008 / HD

e. Overseas Service / Combat Service: SWA / Afghanistan (16 December 2009 – 18 May 2010); Iraq (21 November 2007 – 5 January 2009)

f. Awards and Decorations: ARCOM, AAM, VUA, NDSM, GWOTSM, ICM-CS, ASR, OSR, CIB

g. Performance Ratings: NA

h. Disciplinary Action(s) / Evidentiary Record: Two Personnel Action forms, reflect the applicant's duty status changed as follows:

From Present for Duty (PDY) to Absent Without Leave (AWOL), effective 16 October 2010; and

From AWOL to PDY, effective 16 November 2010.

Electronic Copy of DD Form 2624, 23 December 2010, reflects the applicant tested positive for MDMA 970 (methylenedioxymethamphetamine) and THC > LOL (marijuana), during a Probable Cause (PO) urinalysis testing, conducted on 18 November 2010.

Electronic Copy of DD Form 2624, 12 January 2011, reflects the applicant tested positive for THC 29 (marijuana), during an Inspection Unit (IU) urinalysis testing, conducted on 5 December 2010.

Company Grade Article 15, 18 January 2011, for on three occasions, failing to go at the time prescribed to their appointed place of duty (6, 7, and 12 October 2010); and making a false official statement (5 October 2010). The punishment consisted of a reduction to E-3; forfeiture of \$455 pay; and extra duty and restriction for 14 days.

Field Grade Article 15, 30 March 2011, for wrongfully using ecstasy (between 12 and 18 November 2010) and marijuana, on two occasions (between 19 October and 18 November 2010 and 16 November and 5 December 2010). The punishment consisted of a reduction to E-1; forfeiture of \$733 pay per month for two months (suspended); and extra duty and restriction for 45 days.

i. Lost Time / Mode of Return: 32 days (AWOL, 16 October 2010 – 16 November 2010) / NIF

j. Behavioral Health Condition(s):

(1) Applicant provided: Department of Veterans Affairs letter, 15 December 2011, reflects the applicant was rated 50 percent disabled for PTSD; 10 percent for TBI with photosensitivity, memory loss, migraine headaches, and vertigo (also claimed as headache syndrome); 10 percent for tinnitus; 10 percent for lumbosacral degenerative joint disease; and 0 percent for left knee retropatellar pain syndrome.

(2) AMHRR Listed: Report of Behavioral Health Evaluation, 20 December 2010, reflects the applicant was diagnosed with adjustment disorder with disturbance of emotions and conduct; polysubstance abuse; previous diagnosis of PTSD; and cluster B traits. The applicant was screened for symptoms associated with head injuries or traumatic experiences, and did not report symptoms of PTSD, at the time. The applicant was diagnosed with PTSD in April 2009, but subsequently denied symptoms associated with traumatic events. The applicant was seen in the TBI clinic and required clearance from the clinic for administrative separation.

Report of Medical History, 15 March 2011, the examining medical physician noted in the comments section: Memory loss problems since 2009; concussions on deployments during blasts; reported symptoms associated with PTSD; anxiety associated with PTSD since 2009; sleep disorder associated with PTSD; depression before first deployment, seen by Behavioral Health; and diagnosed with PTSD and major depression.

Report of Medical Examination, 16 November 2011, the examining medical physician noted in the summary of defects and diagnoses section: Drug abuse, knee pain, PTSD, and sleep disorder.

The ARBA's medical advisor reviewed DoD and VA medical records and not solely those documents listed in 4j(1) and (2) above.

5. APPLICANT-PROVIDED EVIDENCE: Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty; Application for the Review of Discharge; and VA letter.

6. POST SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS: None submitted with the application.

7. STATUTORY, REGULATORY AND POLICY REFERENCE(S):

a. Section 1553, Title 10, United States Code (Review of Discharge or Dismissal) provides for the creation, composition, and scope of review conducted by a Discharge Review Board(s) within established governing standards. As amended by Sections 521 and 525 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020, 10 USC 1553 provides specific guidance to the Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards when considering discharge upgrade requests by Veterans claiming Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), sexual trauma, intimate partner violence (IPV), or spousal abuse, as a basis for discharge review. The amended guidance provides that Boards will include, as a voting board member, a physician trained in mental health disorders, a clinical psychologist, or a psychiatrist when the discharge upgrade claim asserts a mental health condition, including PTSD, TBI, sexual trauma, IPV, or spousal abuse, as a basis for the discharge. Further, the guidance provides that Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards will develop and provide specialized training specific to sexual trauma, IPV, spousal abuse, as well as the various responses of individuals to trauma.

b. Multiple Department of Defense Policy Guidance Memoranda published between 2014 and 2018. The documents are commonly referred to by the signatory authorities' last names

(2014 Secretary of Defense Guidance [Hagel memo], 2016 Acting Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Carson memo], 2017 Official Performing the Duties of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Kurta memo], and 2018 Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Wilkie memo].

(1) Individually and collectively, these documents provide further clarification to the Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records when considering requests by Veterans for modification of their discharge due to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Liberal consideration will be given to Veterans petitioning for discharge relief when the application for relief is based in whole or in part on matters relating to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Special consideration will be given to Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) determinations that document a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment potentially contributed to the circumstances resulting in a less than honorable discharge characterization. Special consideration will also be given in cases where a civilian provider confers diagnoses of a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment if the case records contain narratives supporting symptomatology at the time of service or when any other evidence which may reasonably indicate that a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment existed at the time of discharge might have mitigated the misconduct that caused a discharge of lesser characterization.

(2) Conditions documented in the service record that can reasonably be determined to have existed at the time of discharge will be considered to have existed at the time of discharge. In cases in which a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment may be reasonably determined to have existed at the time of discharge, those conditions will be considered potential mitigating factors in the misconduct that caused the characterization of service in question. All Boards will exercise caution in weighing evidence of mitigation in cases in which serious misconduct precipitated a discharge with a less than Honorable characterization of service. Potentially mitigating evidence of the existence of undiagnosed combat related PTSD, PTSD-related conditions due to TBI or sexual assault/harassment as causative factors in the misconduct resulting in discharge will be carefully weighed against the severity of the misconduct. PTSD is not a likely cause of premeditated misconduct. Caution shall be exercised in weighing evidence of mitigation in all cases of misconduct by carefully considering the likely causal relationship of symptoms to the misconduct.

c. Army Regulation 15-180 (Army Discharge Review Board), sets forth the policies and procedures under which the Army Discharge Review Board is authorized to review the character, reason, and authority of any Servicemember discharged from active military service within 15 years of the Servicemember's date of discharge. Additionally, it prescribes actions and composition of the Army Discharge Review Board under Public Law 95-126; Section 1553, Title 10 United States Code; and Department of Defense Directive 1332.41 and Instruction 1332.28.

d. Army Regulation 635-200 (Active Duty Enlisted Administrative Separations), provides the basic authority for the separation of enlisted personnel.

(1) Chapter 3, Section II provides the authorized types of characterization of service or description of separation.

(2) Paragraph 3-5c, provides the reasons for separation, including the specific circumstances that form the basis for the separation, will be considered on the issue of characterization. As a general matter, characterization will be based upon a pattern of behavior other than an isolated incident. There are circumstances, however, in which the conduct or performance of duty reflected by a single incident provides the basis for characterization.

(3) Paragraph 3-7a states an Honorable discharge is a separation with honor and is appropriate when the quality of the Soldier's service generally has met the standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty for Army personnel or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.

(4) Paragraph 3-7b states a General discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions and is issued to a Soldier whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.

(5) Chapter 14 establishes policy and prescribes procedures for separating members for misconduct. Specific categories include minor disciplinary infractions, a pattern of misconduct, and commission of a serious offense, to include abuse of illegal drugs, convictions by civil authorities and desertion or being absent without leave. Action will be taken to separate a member for misconduct when it is clearly established that rehabilitation is impractical or unlikely to succeed.

(6) Paragraph 14-3 prescribes a discharge under other than honorable conditions is normally appropriate for a Soldier discharged under this chapter. However, the separation authority may direct a general discharge if such is merited by the Soldier's overall record.

(7) Paragraph 14-12c(2) terms abuse of illegal drugs as serious misconduct. It continues; however, by recognizing relevant facts may mitigate the nature of the offense. Therefore, a single drug abuse offense may be combined with one or more minor disciplinary infractions or incidents of other misconduct and processed for separation under paragraph 14-12a or 14-12b as appropriate.

e. Army Regulation 635-5-1 (Separation Program Designator (SPD) Codes), provides the specific authorities (regulatory or directive), reasons for separating Soldiers from active duty, and the SPD codes to be entered on the DD Form 214. It identifies the SPD code of "JKK" as the appropriate code to assign enlisted Soldiers who are discharged under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14, misconduct (drug abuse).

f. Army Regulation 601-210, (Regular Army and Reserve Components Enlistment Program), governs eligibility criteria, policies, and procedures for enlistment and processing of persons into the Regular Army, the U.S. Army Reserve, and Army National Guard for enlistment per DODI 1304.26. It also prescribes the appointment, reassignment, management, and mobilization of Reserve Officers' Training Corps cadets under the Simultaneous Membership Program. Chapter 4 provides the criteria and procedures for waiverable and nonwaiverable separations. Table 3-1, defines reentry eligibility (RE) codes. RE-4 Applies to: Person separated from last period of service with a nonwaiverable disqualification. This includes anyone with a DA imposed bar to reenlistment in effect at time of separation or separated for any reason (except length of service retirement) with 18 or more years active Federal service. Eligibility: Ineligible for enlistment.

8. SUMMARY OF FACT(S): The Army Discharge Review Board considers applications for upgrade as instructed by Department of Defense Instruction 1332.28.

The applicant requests an upgrade to honorable. The applicant's Army Military Human Resources Record (AMHRR), the issues, and documents submitted with the application were carefully reviewed.

The applicant contends severe PTSD affected behavior, which led to the discharge. The applicant provided a VA letter indicating the applicant was rated, among other conditions: 50 percent disabled for PTSD; 10 percent for TBI with photosensitivity, memory loss, migraine headaches, and vertigo (also claimed as headache syndrome); and 10 percent for tinnitus. The record shows the applicant underwent a behavioral health evaluation (BHE) on 20 December 2010, which indicates the applicant was diagnosed with adjustment disorder with disturbance of emotions and conduct; polysubstance abuse; previous diagnosis of PTSD; and cluster B traits. The applicant was screened for symptoms associated with head injuries or traumatic experiences and did not report symptoms of PTSD at the time. The applicant was diagnosed with PTSD in April 2009, but subsequently denied symptoms associated with traumatic events. The applicant was seen in the TBI clinic and required clearance from the clinic for administrative separation. The applicant underwent a medical examination on 16 November 2011, and the examining medical physician noted in the summary of defects and diagnoses section: Drug abuse, knee pain, PTSD, and sleep disorder. The documents in the applicant's AMHRR were considered by the separation authority.

The applicant contends good service, including two combat tours. The Board considered the applicant's service accomplishments and the quality of service according to the DODI 1332.28.

The applicant contends the event which led to the discharge from the Army was an isolated incident. Army Regulation 635-200, paragraph 3-5, in pertinent part, stipulates there are circumstances in which the conduct or performance of duty reflected by a single incident provides the basis for a characterization.

9. BOARD DISCUSSION AND DETERMINATION:

a. As directed by the 2017 memo signed by A.M. Kurta, the board considered the following factors:

(1) Did the applicant have a condition or experience that may excuse or mitigate the discharge? **Yes.** The Board reviewed the applicant's DOD and VA health records, applicant's statement, and/or civilian provider documentation and found that the applicant has the following potentially-mitigating diagnoses/experiences: PTSD, Anxiety Disorder NOS, MDD, various Adjustment Disorders.

(2) Did the condition exist or experience occur during military service? **Yes.** The Board found the applicant is 50 percent SC for PTSD.

(3) Does the condition or experience actually excuse or mitigate the discharge? **Yes.** The Board determined, based on the BMA's opine, that the applicant's behavioral health conditions partially mitigate the discharge. Given the nexus between PTSD and avoidant behavior and PTSD and the use of substances to self-medicate, the applicant's offenses of AWOL, wrongful use of MDMA, and wrongful use of marijuana are mitigated.

(4) Does the condition or experience outweigh the discharge? **Yes.** After applying liberal consideration to the evidence, including the Board Medical Advisor opine, the Board determined that the applicant's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder outweighed the applicant's offenses of AWOL and illegal substance abuse.

b. Response to Contention(s):

(1) The applicant contends severe PTSD affected behavior, which led to the discharge. The Board liberally considered this contention and determined that the applicant's Post

Traumatic Stress Disorder outweighed the applicant's offenses of AWOL and illegal substance abuse.

(2) The applicant contends good service, including two combat tours. The Board considered this contention during proceedings, but ultimately did not address the contention due to an upgrade being granted based on the applicant's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder outweighing the applicant's offenses of AWOL and illegal substance abuse.

(3) The applicant contends the event which led to the discharge from the Army was an isolated incident. The Board considered this contention during proceedings, but ultimately did not address the contention due to an upgrade being granted based on the applicant's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder outweighing the applicant's offenses of AWOL and illegal substance abuse.

c. The Board determined the discharge is inequitable based on the applicant's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder outweighing the applicant's offenses of AWOL and illegal substance abuse. Therefore, the Board voted to grant relief in the form of an upgrade of the characterization of service to Honorable and changed to the separation authority to AR 635-200, paragraph 14-12a, the narrative reason for separation to Misconduct (Minor Infractions), with a corresponding separation code of JKN. The Board determined the reentry code is proper and equitable and voted not to change it.

d. Rationale for Decision:

(1) The Board voted to change the applicant's characterization of service to Honorable because the applicant's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder outweighed the applicant's offenses of AWOL and illegal substance abuse. Thus, the prior characterization is no longer appropriate.

(2) The Board voted to change the reason for discharge to Misconduct (Minor Infractions) under the same pretexts, thus the reason for discharge is no longer appropriate. The SPD code associated with the new reason for discharge is JKN.

(3) The RE code will not change, as the current code is consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the regulation.

ARMY DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD CASE REPORT AND DIRECTIVE


AR20210000408

10. BOARD ACTION DIRECTED:

- a. Issue a New DD-214: Yes
- b. Change Characterization to: Honorable
- c. Change Reason / SPD Code to: Misconduct (Minor Infractions)/JKN
- d. Change RE Code to: No Change
- e. Change Authority to: AR 635-200

Authenticating Official:

3/21/2025



Legend:

AWOL – Absent Without Leave
AMHRR – Army Military Human
Resource Record
BCD – Bad Conduct Discharge
BH – Behavioral Health
CG – Company Grade Article 15
CID – Criminal Investigation
Division
ELS – Entry Level Status
FG – Field Grade Article 15

GD – General Discharge
HS – High School
HD – Honorable Discharge
IADT – Initial Active Duty Training
MP – Military Police
MST – Military Sexual Trauma
N/A – Not applicable
NCO – Noncommissioned Officer
NIF – Not in File
NOS – Not Otherwise Specified

OAD – Ordered to Active Duty
OBH (I) – Other Behavioral
Health (Issues)
OMPF – Official Military
Personnel File
PTSD – Post-Traumatic Stress
Disorder
RE – Re-entry
SCM – Summary Court Martial
SPCM – Special Court Martial

SPD – Separation Program
Designator
TBI – Traumatic Brain Injury
UNC – Uncharacterized
Discharge
UOTHHC – Under Other Than
Honorable Conditions
VA – Department of Veterans
Affairs