1. Applicant's Name:

- a. Application Date: 26 April 2021
- b. Date Received: 26 April 2021
- c. Counsel: None
- 2. REQUEST, ISSUES, BOARD TYPE, AND DECISION:

a. Applicant's Requests and Issues: The current characterization of service for the period under review is uncharacterized. The applicant requests an upgrade to honorable.

The applicant seeks relief contending, in effect, being informed the uncharacterized discharge would change to an honorable discharge in 6 to 12 weeks and it has not changed after almost 5 years.

b. Board Type and Decision: In a records review conducted on 5 December 2024, and by a 5-0 vote, the Board denied the request upon finding the separation was both proper and equitable.

However, notwithstanding the propriety of the applicant's discharge, the Board found that the applicant's DD Form 214, blocks 25, 26, and 28, contain outdated entries not reflecting changes to the separation regulation. The Board directed the following administrative corrections and reissue of the applicant's DD Form 214, as approved by the separation authority:

- a. block 25, separation authority changed to AR 635-200, paragraph 5-14,
- b. block 26, separation code changed to JFV,
- c. block 28, narrative reason for separation changed to Condition, Not a Disability.

Please see Section 9 of this document for more detail regarding the Board's decision.

(Board member names available upon request)

3. DISCHARGE DETAILS:

a. Reason / Authority / Codes / Characterization: Personality Disorder / AR 635-200, Paragraph 5-13 / JFX / RE-3 / Uncharacterized

- b. Date of Discharge: 28 August 2008
- c. Separation Facts:

(1) Date of Notification of Intent to Separate: 9 August 2008

(2) Basis for Separation: The applicant was informed of the following reasons: The applicant was seen by Behavioral Medicine Clinic personnel for a psychiatric evaluation because of their inability to function effectively in a military environment. The applicant was diagnosed with schizophreniform disorder (Axis I) and paranoid personality disorder (Axis II). This is a perversive pattern of preoccupation with orderliness, perfectionism, and interpersonal control which causes severe anxiety and interferes with task completion. The applicant was not fit for duty in the Army because of their personality disorder, a disorder with a series of deeply ingrained maladaptive coping mechanisms that hinders their adaptability to the military lifestyle.

ARMY DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD CASE REPORT AND DIRECTIVE AR20210000570

It was highly likely the applicant was prone to psychologically crumble under the stress and structure of the military environment. The applicant had a history of these conditions and had been treated for these conditions prior to their enlistment. Based on the current evidence, it was very unlikely the applicant would succeed in training and would have likely pose a severe threat to themselves and the people around them if they were ever engaged in a combat scenario. The commander recommended expeditious separation from the service being in the best interest of the Army and the applicant.

- (3) Recommended Characterization: Uncharacterized
- (4) Legal Consultation Date: 19 August 2008
- (5) Administrative Separation Board: NA
- (6) Separation Decision Date / Characterization: 25 August 2008 / Uncharacterized

4. SERVICE DETAILS:

- a. Date / Period of Enlistment: 21 May 2008 / 3 years, 17 weeks
- b. Age at Enlistment / Education / GT Score: 21 / GED / 113
- c. Highest Grade Achieved / MOS / Total Service: E-2 / None / 3 months, 8 days
- d. Prior Service / Characterizations: None
- e. Overseas Service / Combat Service: None

f. Awards and Decorations: None / The applicant's AMHRR reflects award of the NDSM and the ASR, however, the awards are not reflected on the DD Form 214.

g. Performance Ratings: NA

h. Disciplinary Action(s) / Evidentiary Record: Two Developmental Counseling Forms 21 July 2008, for being recommended for separation under Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 5-13, personality disorder.

- i. Lost Time / Mode of Return: None
- j. Behavioral Health Condition(s):
 - (1) Applicant provided: None

(2) **AMHRR Listed:** Report of Mental Status Evaluation, 21 July 2008, reflects the applicant was diagnosed with schizophreniform disorder and paranoid personality disorder. The provider recommended expeditious separation from service in accordance with Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 5-13, personality disorder, which would be in the best interest of the Army and the applicant.

The ARBA's medical advisor reviewed DoD and VA medical records and not solely those documents listed in 4j(1) and (2) above.

5. APPLICANT-PROVIDED EVIDENCE: Application for Correction of Military Record; two Certificates of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (Member 4 and Service-2 copies); Army Review Boards Agency Congressional and Special Actions letter; Privacy Act Release form; and separation orders.

6. POST SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS: None submitted with the application.

7. STATUTORY, REGULATORY AND POLICY REFERENCE(S):

a. Section 1553, Title 10, United States Code (Review of Discharge or Dismissal) provides for the creation, composition, and scope of review conducted by a Discharge Review Board(s) within established governing standards. As amended by Sections 521 and 525 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020, 10 USC 1553 provides specific guidance to the Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards when considering discharge upgrade requests by Veterans claiming Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), sexual trauma, intimate partner violence (IPV), or spousal abuse, as a basis for discharge review. The amended guidance provides that Boards will include, as a voting board member, a physician trained in mental health disorders, a clinical psychologist, or a psychiatrist when the discharge upgrade claim asserts a mental health condition, including PTSD, TBI, sexual trauma, IPV, or spousal abuse, as a basis for the discharge provides that Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards will develop and provide specialized training specific to sexual trauma, IPV, spousal abuse, as well as the various responses of individuals to trauma.

b. Multiple Department of Defense Policy Guidance Memoranda published between 2014 and 2018. The documents are commonly referred to by the signatory authorities' last names (2014 Secretary of Defense Guidance [Hagel memo], 2016 Acting Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Carson memo], 2017 Official Performing the Duties of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Kurta memo], and 2018 Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Wilkie memo].

(1) Individually and collectively, these documents provide further clarification to the Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records when considering requests by Veterans for modification of their discharge due to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Liberal consideration will be given to Veterans petitioning for discharge relief when the application for relief is based in whole or in part on matters relating to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Special consideration will be given to Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) determinations that document a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment potentially contributed to the circumstances resulting in a less than honorable discharge characterization. Special consideration will also be given in cases where a civilian provider confers diagnoses of a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment if the case records contain narratives supporting symptomatology at the time of service or when any other evidence which may reasonably indicate that a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment existed at the time of discharge might have mitigated the misconduct that caused a discharge of lesser characterization.

(2) Conditions documented in the service record that can reasonably be determined to have existed at the time of discharge will be considered to have existed at the time of discharge. In cases in which a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment may be reasonably determined to have existed at the time of discharge, those conditions will be considered potential mitigating factors in the misconduct that caused the characterization of service in question. All Boards will exercise caution in weighing evidence of mitigation in cases

in which serious misconduct precipitated a discharge with a less than Honorable characterization of service. Potentially mitigating evidence of the existence of undiagnosed combat related PTSD, PTSD-related conditions due to TBI or sexual assault/harassment as causative factors in the misconduct resulting in discharge will be carefully weighed against the severity of the misconduct. PTSD is not a likely cause of premeditated misconduct. Caution shall be exercised in weighing evidence of mitigation in all cases of misconduct by carefully considering the likely causal relationship of symptoms to the misconduct.

c. Army Regulation 15-180 (Army Discharge Review Board), sets forth the policies and procedures under which the Army Discharge Review Board is authorized to review the character, reason, and authority of any Servicemember discharged from active military service within 15 years of the Servicemember's date of discharge. Additionally, it prescribes actions and composition of the Army Discharge Review Board under Public Law 95-126; Section 1553, Title 10 United States Code; and Department of Defense Directive 1332.41 and Instruction 1332.28.

d. Army Regulation 635-200 (Active Duty Enlisted Administrative Separations), provides the basic authority for the separation of enlisted personnel.

(1) Chapter 3, Section II provides the authorized types of characterization of service or description of separation.

(2) Paragraph 3-7a states an Honorable discharge is a separation with honor and is appropriate when the quality of the Soldier's service generally has met the standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty for Army personnel or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.

(3) Paragraph 3-7b states a General discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions and is issued to a Soldier whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.

(4) Paragraph 3-9a states a separation will be described as entry-level with service uncharacterized if processing is initiated while a Soldier is in entry-level status, except when (1) under other than honorable conditions is authorized under the reason for separation and is warranted under the circumstances; (2) Headquarters, Department of the Army, on a case by case basis, determines characterization of service as honorable is clearly warranted by the presence of unusual circumstances involving personal conduct and performance of duty; and (3) the Soldier has less than 181 days of continuous active military service, has completed Initial Entry Training, has been awarded a military occupational specialty, and has reported for duty at a follow-on unit of assignment.

(5) Chapter 5 provides for the basic separation of enlisted personnel for the convenience of the government.

(6) Paragraph 5-13, in effect at the time, provided that a Soldier may be separated for a personality disorder, not amounting to disability, when the condition interfered with assignment to or performance of duty. The regulation requires that the condition is a deeply ingrained maladaptive pattern of behavior of long duration that interferes with the Soldier's ability to perform military duties. The regulation also directs that commanders will not take action prescribed in this Chapter in lieu of disciplinary action and requires that the disorder is so severe that the Soldier's ability to function in the military environment is significantly impaired. Army policy requires the award of a fully honorable discharge in such case.

(7) Paragraph 5-13h, stipulates a characterization of a Soldier separated per this paragraph will be characterized as honorable unless an entry-level separation is required under chapter 3, section II. Characterization of service under honorable conditions may be awarded to a Soldier who has been convicted of an offense by general court-martial or who has been convicted by more than one special court-martial in the current enlistment, period of obligated service, or any extension thereof.

(8) Glossary defines entry-level status for RA Soldiers is the first 180 days of continuous AD or the first 180 days of continuous AD following a break of more than 92 days of active military service.

e. Army Regulation 635-5-1 (Separation Program Designator (SPD) Codes), in effect at the time, provided the specific authorities (regulatory or directive), reasons for separating Soldiers from active duty, and the SPD codes to be entered on the DD Form 214. It identified the SPD code of "JFX" as the appropriate code to assign enlisted Soldiers who were discharged under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 5-13, personality disorder.

f. Army Regulation 601-210 (Regular Army and Reserve Components Enlistment Program), governs eligibility criteria, policies, and procedures for enlistment and processing of persons into the Regular Army, the U.S. Army Reserve, and Army National Guard for enlistment per DODI 1304.26. It also prescribes the appointment, reassignment, management, and mobilization of Reserve Officers' Training Corps cadets under the Simultaneous Membership Program. Chapter 4 provides the criteria and procedures for waiverable and nonwaiverable separations. Table 3-1, defines reentry eligibility (RE) codes. RE-3 Applies to: Person who is not considered fully qualified for reentry or continuous service at time of separation, but disqualification is waiverable. Eligibility: Ineligible unless a waiver is granted.

8. SUMMARY OF FACT(S): The Army Discharge Review Board considers applications for upgrade as instructed by Department of Defense Instruction 1332.28.

The applicant requests an upgrade to honorable.

Evidence in the applicant's Army Military Human Resource Record (AMHRR) confirms the applicant was diagnosed by a competent medical authority with a personality disorder: schizophreniform disorder and paranoid personality disorder.

The applicant contends the discharge was unfair and should have received an honorable discharge. Army Regulation 635-200 states a separation will be described as entry-level with service uncharacterized if, at the time separation action is initiated, the Soldier has less than 180 days of continuous active duty service. The evidence of the Army Military Human Resource Record (AMHRR) reflects the applicant was notified on 9 August 2008 of the intent to initiate separation proceedings from the Army. At the time of the notification, the applicant had 81 days of continuous active duty service. Based on the time in service, the applicant was in an ELS status, and the uncharacterized discharge was appropriate.

The applicant contends being informed the discharge would be upgraded to honorable in 6 to 12 weeks. The applicant's issue about an upgrade based on the passage of time was carefully considered. The U.S. Army does not have, nor has it ever had, a policy to automatically upgrade discharges. Each case is decided on its own merits when an applicant submits an application requesting a change in discharge. Changes may be warranted if the Board determines the characterization of service or the reasons for discharge, or both were improper or inequitable. The AMHRR does not include any indication or evidence of arbitrary or capricious actions by the command.

9. BOARD DISCUSSION AND DETERMINATION:

a. As directed by the 2017 memo signed by A.M. Kurta, the board considered the following factors:

(1) Did the applicant have a condition or experience that may excuse or mitigate the discharge? No. The Board reviewed the applicant's DOD and VA health records, applicant's statement, and/or civilian provider documentation and found evidence of BH conditions during military service to include an Adjustment Disorder and Schizophreniform Disorder. However, there is no misconduct associated with the discharge to potentially excuse or mitigate. The applicant's Uncharacterized Chapter 5-13 separation for Paranoid Personality Disorder was in accordance with the separation guidelines at the time. Due to a change in regulations since the applicant's discharge, a narrative reason change to Condition, Not a Disability is warranted.

- (2) Did the condition exist or experience occur during military service? N/A
- (3) Does the condition or experience actually excuse or mitigate the discharge? N/A
- (4) Does the condition or experience outweigh the discharge? N/A
- **b.** Response to Contention(s):

(1) The applicant contends the discharge was unfair and should have received an honorable discharge. The Board considered this contention and determined in accordance with AR 635-200 that, based on the applicant's official record, applicant was separated while in an entry level status and an UNC is the proper characterization of service except when the DCS, G-1 determines that an HD is warranted based on unusual circumstances involving personal conduct and performance of duty, which is not applicable in this case. Therefore, no change is warranted.

(2) The applicant contends being informed the discharge would be upgraded to honorable in 6 to 12 weeks. The Board considered this contention but found no evidence to support that the applicant was informed of an automatic right to a discharge upgrade.

c. The Board determined that the discharge is, at this time, proper and equitable, in light of the current evidence of record. The applicant has exhausted all available appeal options available with ADRB. The applicant may still apply to the Army Board for Correction of Military Records. The applicant is responsible for satisfying the burden of proof and providing documents or other evidence sufficient to support the applicant's contention(s) that the discharge was improper or inequitable.

d. Rationale for Decision:

(1) The Board voted not to change the applicant's characterization of service because there were no mitigating factors for the Board to consider. Since the applicant was discharged for an inability to function effectively in a military environment, Uncharacterized is proper and equitable. The discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the regulation, was within the discretion of the separation authority, and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

ARMY DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD CASE REPORT AND DIRECTIVE AR20210000570

(2) The Board voted to change the reason for discharge to Condition, Not a Disability due to updates to AR 635-200, the separation regulation. The SPD code associated with the new reason for discharge is JFV.

(3) The RE code will not change, as the current code is consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the regulation.

10. BOARD ACTION DIRECTED:

- a. Issue a New DD-214: Yes
- b. Change Characterization to: No Change
- c. Change Reason / SPD Code to: Condition, Not a Disability/JFV
- d. Change RE Code to: No Change
- e. Change Authority to: AR 635-200

Authenticating Official:



AWOL – Absent Without Leave AMHRR – Army Military Human Resource Record BCD – Bad Conduct Discharge BH – Behavioral Health CG – Company Grade Article 15 CID – Criminal Investigation Division ELS – Entry Level Status FG – Field Grade Article 15 GD – General Discharge HS – High School HD – Honorable Discharge IADT – Initial Active Duty Training MP – Military Sevual Trauma N/A – Not applicable NCO – Noncommissioned Officer NIF – Not in File NOS – Not Otherwise Specified OAD – Ordered to Active Duty OBH (I) – Other Behavioral Health (Issues) OMPF – Official Military Personnel File PTSD – Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder RE – Re-entry SCM – Summary Court Martial SPCM – Special Court Martial SPD – Separation Program Designator TBI – Traumatic Brain Injury UNC – Uncharacterized Discharge UOTHC – Under Other Than Honorable Conditions VA – Department of Veterans Affairs