1. Applicant's Name:

- a. Application Date: 26 April 2021
- b. Date Received: 26 April 2021
- c. Counsel: None
- 2. REQUEST, ISSUES, BOARD TYPE, AND DECISION:

a. Applicant's Requests and Issues: The current characterization of service for period under review is general (under honorable conditions). The applicant requests an upgrade to honorable and a change to the narrative reason for separation.

The applicant seeks relief contending, in effect, being diagnosed with a mild depression disorder before their deployment to Afghanistan. While deployed, the applicant was acting noncommissioned officer in charge (NCOIC) of communications in their unit until the arrival of Sergeant First Class (SFC) A. R. Upon SFC R's arrival, ongoing conflicts escalated between the applicant and the SFC K. The applicant requested a transfer into another unit, but as SFC R. explained, the only way the applicant would leave the unit is by being chaptered out. The applicant was then removed from the position, given uncertain job demands, and selected for extra duties on a constant basis. Once SFC R. became the acting first sergeant (1SG), upon their return, conflicts escalated even more between SFC R. and the applicant. In addition to the paranoia, humiliation, depression, sleep disorder, exclusion, ambiguous job demands, and unresolved conflicts, the applicant was helpless, excluded, unable to defend oneself, or focus effectively on completing tasks. As a result, this further damaged the applicant's emotional, mental, and physical health. Upon returning from deployment, the applicant was diagnosed with PTSD. As conflicts between the two escalated, so did the applicant's anger. Suddenly, the applicant was disrespectful to 1SG R. in front of their unit's command sergeant major (CSM), which motivated the 1SG's decision to have the applicant discharged. Recent studies indicate depression, stress, paranoia, escalated conflicts, sleep disorders, and dangerous contexts, such as a war zone, have all been associated with PTSD. It is possible that SFC R. could unknowingly suffer from the same complications. The applicant acknowledges not handling the situation between the applicant and SFC R. in the most professional manner. The applicant accepts full responsibility for their actions in this process. Before and after being diagnosed with mild depression, sleep disorder, and PTSD, the applicant has been participating in ongoing counseling sessions, which help them learn how to control the long-term issue. The applicant has successfully maintained employment and proven to be a good citizen since being discharged from the Army, and hopes to continue to further their education, but is unable to because of the discharge.

b. Board Type and Decision: In a records review conducted on 19 November 2024, and by a 5-0 vote, the Board denied the request upon finding the separation was both proper and equitable.

Please see Section 9 of this document for more detail regarding the Board's decision.

(Board member names available upon request)

3. DISCHARGE DETAILS:

a. Reason / Authority / Codes / Characterization: Pattern of Misconduct / AR 635-200, Paragraph 14-12b / JKA / RE-3 / General (Under Honorable Conditions)

b. Date of Discharge: 6 June 2013

c. Separation Facts: The applicant's Army Military Human Resource Record (AMHRR) is void of the case separation file.

- (1) Date of Notification of Intent to Separate: NIF
- (2) Basis for Separation: NIF
- (3) Recommended Characterization: NIF
- (4) Legal Consultation Date: NIF
- (5) Administrative Separation Board: NIF

(6) Separation Decision Date / Characterization: 16 May 2013 / General (Under Honorable Conditions)

- 4. SERVICE DETAILS:
 - a. Date / Period of Enlistment: 2 September 2010 / 4 years
 - b. Age at Enlistment / Education / GT Score: 19 / HS Graduate / NIF

c. Highest Grade Achieved / MOS / Total Service: E-3 / 25U10, Signal Support System Specialist / 4 years, 10 months, 6 days

d. Prior Service / Characterizations: USAR, 31 July 2008 – 1 September 2010 / HD IADT, (NIF) (Concurrent Service)

e. Overseas Service / Combat Service: SWA / Afghanistan (16 June 2011 – 17 June 2012)

- f. Awards and Decorations: AAM, NDSM, ACM-2CS, GWOTSM, ASR, OSR, NATOMDL
- g. Performance Ratings: NA

h. Disciplinary Action(s) / Evidentiary Record: Orders 149-0145, 29 May 2013, as amended by Orders 149-0159, 29 May 2013, reflect the applicant was to be reassigned to the U.S. Army Transition Point and discharged on 6 June 2013 from the Regular Army.

The applicant's DD Form 214 (Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty), reflects the applicant had not completed the first full term of service. The applicant was discharged under the authority of AR 635-200, paragraph 14-12b, with a narrative reason of Pattern of Misconduct. The DD Form 214 was authenticated with the applicant's electronic signature.

- i. Lost Time / Mode of Return: None
- j. Behavioral Health Condition(s):

(1) Applicant provided: Georgetown Sleep Center letter, 10 October 2012, reflecting an impression of moderate obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, eliminated with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP); adjustment insomnia; and inadequate sleep hygiene.

Medical Record, 17 June 2013, reflecting the applicant was diagnosed with chronic PTSD; anxiety disorder, not otherwise specified (NOS); adjustment disorder with depressed mood; assess condition work-related occupational disease; and sleep disturbances. The applicant underwent a mental status evaluation and was cleared for any administrative action deemed appropriate by the command.

(2) AMHRR Listed: None

The ARBA's medical advisor reviewed DoD and VA medical records and not solely those documents listed in 4j(1) and (2) above.

5. APPLICANT-PROVIDED EVIDENCE: Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty; three Applications for the Review of Discharge; Georgetown Sleep Center medical record; and Chronological Record of Medical Care.

6. **POST SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** The applicant has successfully maintained employment and has been a good citizen.

7. STATUTORY, REGULATORY AND POLICY REFERENCE(S):

a. Section 1553, Title 10, United States Code (Review of Discharge or Dismissal) provides for the creation, composition, and scope of review conducted by a Discharge Review Board(s) within established governing standards. As amended by Sections 521 and 525 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020, 10 USC 1553 provides specific guidance to the Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards when considering discharge upgrade requests by Veterans claiming Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), sexual trauma, intimate partner violence (IPV), or spousal abuse, as a basis for discharge review. The amended guidance provides that Boards will include, as a voting board member, a physician trained in mental health disorders, a clinical psychologist, or a psychiatrist when the discharge upgrade claim asserts a mental health condition, including PTSD, TBI, sexual trauma, IPV, or spousal abuse, as a basis for the discharge provides that Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards will develop and provide specialized training specific to sexual trauma, IPV, spousal abuse, as well as the various responses of individuals to trauma.

b. Multiple Department of Defense Policy Guidance Memoranda published between 2014 and 2018. The documents are commonly referred to by the signatory authorities' last names (2014 Secretary of Defense Guidance [Hagel memo], 2016 Acting Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Carson memo], 2017 Official Performing the Duties of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Kurta memo], and 2018 Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Wilkie memo].

(1) Individually and collectively, these documents provide further clarification to the Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records when considering requests by Veterans for modification of their discharge due to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Liberal consideration will be given to Veterans petitioning for discharge relief when the application for relief is based in whole or in part on matters relating to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Special consideration will be given to Department of Veterans

Affairs (VA) determinations that document a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment potentially contributed to the circumstances resulting in a less than honorable discharge characterization. Special consideration will also be given in cases where a civilian provider confers diagnoses of a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment if the case records contain narratives supporting symptomatology at the time of service or when any other evidence which may reasonably indicate that a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment existed at the time of discharge might have mitigated the misconduct that caused a discharge of lesser characterization.

(2) Conditions documented in the service record that can reasonably be determined to have existed at the time of discharge will be considered to have existed at the time of discharge. In cases in which a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment may be reasonably determined to have existed at the time of discharge, those conditions will be considered potential mitigating factors in the misconduct that caused the characterization of service in question. All Boards will exercise caution in weighing evidence of mitigation in cases in which serious misconduct precipitated a discharge with a less than Honorable characterization of service. Potentially mitigating evidence of the existence of undiagnosed combat related PTSD, PTSD-related conditions due to TBI or sexual assault/harassment as causative factors in the misconduct resulting in discharge will be carefully weighed against the severity of the misconduct. PTSD is not a likely cause of premeditated misconduct. Caution shall be exercised in weighing evidence of mitigation in all cases of misconduct by carefully considering the likely causal relationship of symptoms to the misconduct.

c. Army Regulation 15-180 (Army Discharge Review Board), sets forth the policies and procedures under which the Army Discharge Review Board is authorized to review the character, reason, and authority of any Servicemember discharged from active military service within 15 years of the Servicemember's date of discharge. Additionally, it prescribes actions and composition of the Army Discharge Review Board under Public Law 95-126; Section 1553, Title 10 United States Code; and Department of Defense Directive 1332.41 and Instruction 1332.28.

d. Army Regulation 635-200 (Active Duty Enlisted Administrative Separations), provides the basic authority for the separation of enlisted personnel.

(1) Chapter 3, Section II provides the authorized types of characterization of service or description of separation.

(2) Paragraph 3-7a states an Honorable discharge is a separation with honor and is appropriate when the quality of the Soldier's service generally has met the standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty for Army personnel or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.

(3) Paragraph 3-7b states a General discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions and is issued to a Soldier whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.

(4) Chapter 14 establishes policy and prescribes procedures for separating members for misconduct. Specific categories include minor disciplinary infractions, a pattern of misconduct, and commission of a serious offense, to include abuse of illegal drugs, convictions by civil authorities and desertion or being absent without leave. Action will be taken to separate a member for misconduct when it is clearly established that rehabilitation is impractical or unlikely to succeed.

(5) Paragraph 14-3, prescribes a discharge under other than honorable conditions is normally appropriate for a Soldier discharged under this chapter. However, the separation authority may direct a general discharge if such is merited by the Soldier's overall record.

(6) Paragraph 14-12b, addresses a pattern of misconduct consisting of either discreditable involvement with civilian or military authorities or discreditable conduct and conduct prejudicial to good order and discipline including conduct violating the accepted standards of personal conduct found in the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Army Regulations, the civilian law and time-honored customs and traditions of the Army.

e. Army Regulation 635-5-1 (Separation Program Designator (SPD) Codes), provides the specific authorities (regulatory or directive), reasons for separating Soldiers from active duty, and the SPD codes to be entered on the DD Form 214. It identifies the SPD code of "JKA" as the appropriate code to assign enlisted Soldiers who are discharged under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14, paragraph 12b, pattern of misconduct.

f. Army Regulation 601-210 (Regular Army and Reserve Components Enlistment Program), governs eligibility criteria, policies, and procedures for enlistment and processing of persons into the Regular Army, the U.S. Army Reserve, and Army National Guard for enlistment per DODI 1304.26. It also prescribes the appointment, reassignment, management, and mobilization of Reserve Officers' Training Corps cadets under the Simultaneous Membership Program. Chapter 4 provides the criteria and procedures for waiverable and nonwaiverable separations. Table 3-1, defines reentry eligibility (RE) codes. RE-3 Applies to: Person who is not considered fully qualified for reentry or continuous service at time of separation, but disqualification is waiverable. Eligibility: Ineligible unless a waiver is granted.

8. SUMMARY OF FACT(S): The Army Discharge Review Board considers applications for upgrade as instructed by Department of Defense Instruction 1332.28.

The applicant requests an upgrade to honorable.

The applicant's Army Military Human Resources Record (AMHRR) is void of the specific facts and circumstances concerning the events which led to the discharge from the Army. The applicant's AMHRR does contain a properly constituted DD Form 214 (Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty), which was authenticated by the applicant's electronic signature. The applicant's DD Form 214 indicates the applicant was discharged under the provisions of AR 635-200, Chapter 14, paragraph 14-12b, by reason of Pattern of Misconduct, with a characterization of service of general (under honorable conditions).

The applicant contends the narrative reason for the discharge needs to be changed. The applicant was separated under the provisions of Chapter 14, paragraph 14-12b, AR 635-200 with a general (under honorable conditions) discharge. The narrative reason specified by Army Regulations for a discharge under this paragraph is "Pattern of Misconduct," and the separation code is "JKA." Army Regulation 635-8 (Separation Processing and Documents), governs preparation of the DD Form 214, and dictates entry of the narrative reason for separation, entered in block 28 and separation code, entered in block 26 of the form, will be exactly as listed in tables 2-2 or 2-3 of AR 635-5-1 (Separation Program Designator (SPD) Codes). The regulation stipulates no deviation is authorized. There is no provision for any other reason to be entered under this regulation.

The applicant contends being diagnosed with mental health issues. The applicant provided several medical documents indicating a diagnosis of in-service chronic PTSD, anxiety disorder, not otherwise specified (NOS); adjustment disorder with depressed mood; assess condition

ARMY DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD CASE REPORT AND DIRECTIVE AR20210000651

work-related occupational disease; inadequate sleep hygiene; and insomnia. The applicant underwent a mental status evaluation and was cleared for any administrative action deemed appropriate by the command. The applicant's AMHRR is void of a mental status evaluation.

The applicant contends harassment by a member of the unit. There is no evidence in the AMHRR the applicant sought assistance or reported the harassment. The applicant's AMHRR does not include any indication or evidence of arbitrary or capricious actions by the command.

The applicant contends good service, including a combat tour.

The applicant contends an upgrade would allow educational benefits through the GI Bill. Eligibility for veteran's benefits to include educational benefits under the Post-9/11 or Montgomery GI Bill does not fall within the purview of the Army Discharge Review Board. Accordingly, the applicant should contact a local office of the Department of Veterans Affairs for further assistance.

The applicant contends the discharge should have been for medical reasons. The applicant's request does not fall within this board's purview. The applicant may apply to the Army Board for Correction of Military Records (ABCMR), using the enclosed DD Form 149 regarding this matter. A DD Form 149 may also be obtained from a Veterans' Service Organization.

The applicant contends maintaining employment and being a good citizen. The Army Discharge Review Board is authorized to consider post-service factors in the recharacterization of a discharge. No law or regulation provides for the upgrade of an unfavorable discharge based solely on the passage of time or good conduct in civilian life after leaving the service. The Board reviews each discharge on a case-by-case basis to determine if post-service accomplishments help demonstrate previous in-service misconduct was an aberration and not indicative of the member's overall character.

9. BOARD DISCUSSION AND DETERMINATION:

a. As directed by the 2017 memo signed by A.M. Kurta, the board considered the following factors:

(1) Did the applicant have a condition or experience that may excuse or mitigate the discharge? **Yes.** The Board reviewed the applicant's DOD and VA health records, applicant's statement, and/or civilian provider documentation and found that the applicant has the following potentially-mitigating diagnoses/experiences: PTSD, Anxiety Disorder NOS, Adjustment Disorder w/Depressed Mood.

(2) Did the condition exist or experience occur during military service? Yes. The Board's Medical Advisor found the applicant is 70 percent SC for PTSD.

(3) Does the condition or experience actually excuse or mitigate the discharge? **Partial.** The Board determined, based on the BMA's opine, that the applicant's behavioral health conditions partially mitigated the discharge. The misconduct of disrespect to an NCO subsequent to deployment is mitigated given the nexus between PTSD and problems with authority figures. However, the applicant's offense of disrespect toward an NCO during deployment was an outgrowth of a previous disagreement between the two and not secondary to the applicant's trauma, therefore it is not mitigated. The applicant's FTR offenses are also not mitigated as the initial FTR occurred before the applicant's Criteria A trauma. The applicant's second FTR showed the applicant making an informed decision and acting with willful intent to violate quarters confining the applicant's to the barracks. The misconduct is also not mitigated

ARMY DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD CASE REPORT AND DIRECTIVE AR20210000651

by the applicant's other diagnosed BH conditions as Adjustment Disorder w/Depressed Mood was not of a severity to impact judgement, cognition, or behavior, and the the Anxiety Disorder NOS was deemed secondary to stressors associated with being separated for misconduct. Regarding the applicant contention of being diagnosed with Depression prior to deployment. A review of the records does not support this assertion.

(4) Does the condition or experience outweigh the discharge? **No.** After applying liberal consideration to the evidence, including the Board Medical Advisor opine, the Board determined that the available evidence did not support a conclusion that the applicant's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, Anxiety Disorder, Adjustment Disorder, and self-asserted Depression outweighed the applicant's medically unmitigated FTRs (2) and Disrespect Toward an NCO (1) offenses.

b. Response to Contention(s):

(1) The applicant contends being diagnosed with mental health issues. The Board liberally considered this contention and determined that the available evidence did not support a conclusion that the applicant's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, Anxiety Disorder, Adjustment Disorder, and self-asserted Depression outweighed the applicant's medically unmitigated FTRs (2) and Disrespect Toward an NCO (1) offenses.

(2) The applicant contends the narrative reason for the discharge needs to be changed. The Board considered this contention but determined that the applicant's Pattern of Misconduct narrative reason for separation is proper and equitable given the applicant's unmitigated FTRs (2) and Disrespect Toward an NCO (1) offenses.

(3) The applicant contends harassment by a member of the unit. The Board considered this contention but found insufficient evidence in the applicant's AMHRR or applicant-provided evidence to support the assertion that the applicant was harassed or otherwise mistreated by the applicant's unit.

(4) The applicant contends good service, including a combat tour. The Board considered the applicant's nearly five years of service, including a combat tour in Afghanistan, but found that the applicant's record did not outweigh the applicant's medically unmitigated FTRs (2) and Disrespect Toward an NCO (1) offenses.

(5) The applicant contends an upgrade would allow educational benefits through the GI Bill. The Board considered this contention and determined that eligibility for Veteran's benefits, to include educational benefits under the Post-9/11 or Montgomery GI Bill, healthcare or VA loans, do not fall within the purview of the Army Discharge Review Board. Accordingly, the applicant should contact a local office of the Department of Veterans Affairs for further assistance.

(6) The applicant contends the discharge should have been for medical reasons. The Board determined that the applicant's request for a medical discharge does not fall within the purview of the ADRB. The applicant may apply to the Army Board for Correction of Military Records (ABCMR), using a DD Form 293 regarding this matter. A DD Form 293 may be obtained online at https://www.esd.whs.mil/Portals/54/Documents/DD/forms/dd/dd0293.pdf or from a Veterans' Service Organization

(7) The applicant contends maintaining employment and being a good citizen. The Board considered the applicant's post-service accomplishments but determined that they do not

outweigh the applicant's medically unmitigated FTRs (2) and Disrespect Toward an NCO (1) offenses.

c. The Board determined that the discharge is, at this time, proper and equitable, in light of the current evidence of record. However, the applicant may request a personal appearance hearing to address the issues before the Board. The applicant is responsible for satisfying the burden of proof and providing documents or other evidence sufficient to support the applicant's contention(s) that the discharge was improper or inequitable.

d. Rationale for Decision:

(1) The Board voted not to change the applicant's characterization of service because, despite applying liberal consideration to all evidence before the Board, the applicant's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, Anxiety Disorder, Adjustment Disorder, and self-asserted Depression did not outweigh the medically unmitigated offenses of FTRs (2) and Disrespect Toward an NCO (1). The Board also considered the applicant's contentions regarding good service, harassment from the unit, and post-service accomplishments but found that the totality of the applicant's record does not warrant a discharge upgrade. The applicant did not present any issues of impropriety for the Board's consideration. The discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the regulation, was within the discretion of the separation authority, and the applicant was provided full administrative due process. Therefore, the applicant's General discharge was proper and equitable as the applicant's misconduct fell below that level of meritorious service warranted for an upgrade to Honorable discharge.

(2) The Board voted not to change the applicant's reason for discharge or accompanying SPD code under the same pretexts, as the reason the applicant was discharged was both proper and equitable.

(3) The RE code will not change, as the current code is consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the regulation.

10. BOARD ACTION DIRECTED:

- a. Issue a New DD-214 / Separation Order: No
- b. Change Characterization to: No Change
- c. Change Reason / SPD Code to: No Change
- d. Change RE Code to: No Change
- e. Change Authority to: No Change

Authenticating Official:

12/5/2024



Presiding Officer, COL, U.S. ARMY Army Discharge Review Board

Legend: AWOL – Absent Without Leave AMHRR – Army Military Human Resource Record BCD – Bad Conduct Discharge BH – Behavioral Health CG – Company Grade Article 15 CID – Criminal Investigation Division ELS – Entry Level Status FG – Field Grade Article 15 GD – General Discharge HS – High School HD – Honorable Discharge IADT – Initial Active Duty Training MP – Military Police MST – Military Sexual Trauma N/A – Not applicable NCO – Noncommissioned Officer NIF – Not in File NOS – Not Otherwise Specified OAD – Ordered to Active Duty OBH (I) – Other Behavioral Health (Issues) OMPF – Official Military Personnel File PTSD – Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder RE – Re-entry SCM – Summary Court Martial SPCM – Special Court Martial SPD – Separation Program Designator TBI – Traumatic Brain Injury UNC – Uncharacterized Discharge UOTHC – Under Other Than Honorable Conditions VA – Department of Veterans Affairs