

1. Applicant's Name:

- a. **Application Date:** 26 April 2021
- b. **Date Received:** 26 April 2021
- c. **Counsel:** None

2. REQUEST, ISSUES, BOARD TYPE, AND DECISION:

- a. **Applicant's Requests and Issues:** The current characterization of service for period ~~under~~ review is under other than honorable conditions. The applicant requests an upgrade to honorable.

The applicant seeks relief contending, in effect, suffering from PTSD. The applicant was 17 years old when they enlisted and sent overseas six or seven months later. When the applicant returned from deployment, they did not know how to cope with their problems and began to self-medicate with drugs and alcohol which led to the ~~under~~ other than honorable conditions discharge. The applicant believes they should be able to use some form of benefit which would help with their and the families future.

- b. **Board Type and Decision:** In a records review conducted on 19 September 2024, and by a 5-0 vote, the Board denied the request upon finding the separation was both proper and equitable.

Please see Section 9 of this document for more details regarding the Board's decision. Board member names available upon request.

3. DISCHARGE DETAILS:

- a. **Reason / Authority / Codes / Characterization:** In Lieu of Trial by Court-Martial / AR 635-200, Chapter 10 / KFS / RE-4 / Under Other Than Honorable Conditions
- b. **Date of Discharge:** 28 October 2004
- c. **Separation Facts:**

(1) Date and Charges Preferred (DD Form 458, Charge Sheet): On 23 August 2004, the applicant was charged with:

Charge I: Violating Article 92, UCMJ.

Specification 1: On or about 1 December 2003, violate a lawful regulation, by wrongfully possessing a firearm in the living space.

Specification 2: On about 1 December 2003, violate a lawful regulation, by wrongfully possessing ammunition in the living space.

Charge II: Violating Article 112a, UCMJ.

Specification 1: On or about 1 December 2003, wrongfully possess approximately 0.8 grams of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

Specification 2: Between on or about 3 November 2003 and on or about 2 December 2003, wrongfully use marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

Specification 3: Between on or about 7 March 2004 and on or about 6 April 2004, wrongfully use marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance.

(2) Legal Consultation Date: 7 October 2004

(3) Basis for Separation: Pursuant to the applicant's request for discharge under the provisions of AR 635-200, Chapter 10, in lieu of trial by court-martial.

(4) Recommended Characterization: Under Other Than Honorable Conditions

(5) Separation Decision Date / Characterization: 15 October 2004 / Under Other Than Honorable Conditions

4. SERVICE DETAILS:

a. Date / Period of Enlistment: 29 July 2002 / 4 years

b. Age at Enlistment / Education / GT Score: 17 / High School Graduate /101

c. Highest Grade Achieved / MOS / Total Service: E-3 / 92A10, Automated Logistical Specialist / 2 years, 3 months

d. Prior Service / Characterizations: None

e. Overseas Service / Combat Service: SWA / Iraq (21 March 2003 – 24 August 2003)

f. Awards and Decorations: PUC, NDSM, GWOTEM, GWOTSM, ASR

g. Performance Ratings: NA

h. Disciplinary Action(s) / Evidentiary Record: Electronic Copy of DD Form 2624, 10 December 2003, reflects the applicant tested positive for THC (marijuana) 1021, during a Probable Cause (PO) urinalysis testing, conducted on 2 December 2003.

Electronic Copy of DD Form 2624, 19 April 2004, reflects the applicant tested positive for THC (marijuana) 107, during an Inspection Random (IR) urinalysis testing, conducted on 6 April 2004.

CID Report of Investigation – 2d Status – 0386-2003-CID093-45287-5L2B, 29 December 2003, preliminary investigation established the applicant committed the offenses of wrongful use, possession, and distribution of marihuana, failure to obey an order, and dealing in captured or abandoned property when an anonymous phone call reported Soldiers were smoking marihuana in the barracks room. A subsequent search revealed the applicant was in possession of approximately .8 grams of marihuana, drug paraphernalia, drug packing products, and an Iraq 9 mm pistol with a magazine containing six rounds of ammunition.

MPR Number 03044-2003-MPC093-1, 1 January 2004, reflects the applicant was charged with Wrongful Distribution of Marihuana (Article# 112a, UCMJ) (On Post); Dealing in Captured Property (Article# 103, UCMJ) (On Post); Failure to Obey General Order (Article# 92, UCMJ) (On Post); Wrongful Possession of Marijuana (Article# 112a, UCMJ) (On Post); Wrongful Use of

Marihuana (Article# 112a, UCMJ) (On Post); and Obstruction of Justice (Article# 134, UCMJ) (On Post). Investigation revealed the applicant and P., were reported by an anonymous phone call stating Soldiers were smoking marihuana in the barracks room. A subsequent search reveled the applicant was in possession of marihuana, drug paraphernalia, drug packing products and an Iraqi 9 MM pistol with magazine containing six rounds of live ammunition.

Charge sheet as described in previous paragraph 3c(1).

i. **Lost Time / Mode of Return:** None

j. **Behavioral Health Condition(s):**

(1) **Applicant provided:** None

(2) **AMHRR Listed:** None

The ARBA's medical advisor reviewed DoD and VA medical records and not solely those documents listed in 4j(1) and (2) above.

5. APPLICANT-PROVIDED EVIDENCE: Application for the Review of Discharge and Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty.

6. POST SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS: None provided with the application.

7. STATUTORY, REGULATORY AND POLICY REFERENCE(S):

a. Section 1553, Title 10, United States Code (Review of Discharge or Dismissal) provides for the creation, composition, and scope of review conducted by a Discharge Review Board(s) within established governing standards. As amended by Sections 521 and 525 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020, 10 USC 1553 provides specific guidance to the Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards when considering discharge upgrade requests by Veterans claiming Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), sexual trauma, intimate partner violence (IPV), or spousal abuse, as a basis for discharge review. The amended guidance provides that Boards will include, as a voting board member, a physician trained in mental health disorders, a clinical psychologist, or a psychiatrist when the discharge upgrade claim asserts a mental health condition, including PTSD, TBI, sexual trauma, IPV, or spousal abuse, as a basis for the discharge. Further, the guidance provides that Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards will develop and provide specialized training specific to sexual trauma, IPV, spousal abuse, as well as the various responses of individuals to trauma.

b. Multiple Department of Defense Policy Guidance Memoranda published between 2014 and 2018. The documents are commonly referred to by the signatory authorities' last names (2014 Secretary of Defense Guidance [Hagel memo], 2016 Acting Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Carson memo], 2017 Official Performing the Duties of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Kurta memo], and 2018 Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Wilkie memo].

(1) Individually and collectively, these documents provide further clarification to the Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records when considering requests by Veterans for modification of their discharge due to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Liberal consideration will be given to Veterans petitioning for discharge relief when the application for relief is based in

whole or in part on matters relating to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Special consideration will be given to Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) determinations that document a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment potentially contributed to the circumstances resulting in a less than honorable discharge characterization. Special consideration will also be given in cases where a civilian provider confers diagnoses of a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment if the case records contain narratives supporting symptomatology at the time of service or when any other evidence which may reasonably indicate that a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment existed at the time of discharge might have mitigated the misconduct that caused a discharge of lesser characterization.

(2) Conditions documented in the service record that can reasonably be determined to have existed at the time of discharge will be considered to have existed at the time of discharge. In cases in which a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment may be reasonably determined to have existed at the time of discharge, those conditions will be considered potential mitigating factors in the misconduct that caused the characterization of service in question. All Boards will exercise caution in weighing evidence of mitigation in cases in which serious misconduct precipitated a discharge with a less than Honorable characterization of service. Potentially mitigating evidence of the existence of undiagnosed combat related PTSD, PTSD-related conditions due to TBI or sexual assault/harassment as causative factors in the misconduct resulting in discharge will be carefully weighed against the severity of the misconduct. PTSD is not a likely cause of premeditated misconduct. Caution shall be exercised in weighing evidence of mitigation in all cases of misconduct by carefully considering the likely causal relationship of symptoms to the misconduct.

c. Army Regulation 15-180 (Army Discharge Review Board) sets forth the policies and procedures under which the Army Discharge Review Board is authorized to review the character, reason, and authority of any Servicemember discharged from active military service within 15 years of the Servicemember's date of discharge. Additionally, it prescribes actions and composition of the Army Discharge Review Board under Public Law 95-126; Section 1553, Title 10 United States Code; and Department of Defense Directive 1332.41 and Instruction 1332.28.

d. Army Regulation 635-200 (Active Duty Enlisted Administrative Separations) provides the basic authority for the separation of enlisted personnel.

(1) Chapter 3, Section II provides the authorized types of characterization of service or description of separation.

(2) Paragraph 3-7a states an Honorable discharge is a separation with honor and is appropriate when the quality of the Soldier's service generally has met the standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty for Army personnel or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.

(3) Paragraph 3-7b states a General discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions and is issued to a Soldier whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.

(4) Paragraph 3-7c states Under Other Than Honorable Conditions discharge is an administrative separation from the Service under conditions other than honorable and it may be issued for misconduct, fraudulent entry, security reasons, or in lieu of trial by court martial based on certain circumstances or patterns of behavior or acts or omissions that constitute a significant departure from the conduct expected of Soldiers in the Army.

(5) Chapter 10 provides, in pertinent part, that a member who has committed an offense or offenses for which the authorized punishment includes a punitive discharge may submit a request for a discharge for the good of the Service in lieu of trial by court-martial. The request may be submitted at any time after charges have been preferred and must include the individual's admission of guilt.

(6) Paragraph 10-8a stipulates a discharge under other than honorable conditions normally is appropriate for a Soldier who is discharged in lieu of trial by court-martial. However, the separation authority may direct a general discharge if such is merited by the Soldier's overall record during the current enlistment. (See chap 3, sec II.)

e. Army Regulation 635-5-1 (Separation Program Designator (SPD) Codes), provides the specific authorities (regulatory or directive), reasons for separating Soldiers from active duty, and the SPD codes to be entered on the DD Form 214. It identifies the SPD code of "KFS" as the appropriate code to assign enlisted Soldiers who are discharged under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 10, In Lieu of Trial by Court-Martial.

f. Army Regulation 601-210, (Regular Army and Reserve Components Enlistment Program), governs eligibility criteria, policies, and procedures for enlistment and processing of persons into the Regular Army, the U.S. Army Reserve, and Army National Guard for enlistment per DODI 1304.26. It also prescribes the appointment, reassignment, management, and mobilization of Reserve Officers' Training Corps cadets under the Simultaneous Membership Program. Chapter 4 provides the criteria and procedures for waivable and nonwaivable separations. Table 3-1 defines reentry eligibility (RE) codes: RE-4 Applies to: Person separated from last period of service with a nonwaivable disqualification. This includes anyone with a DA imposed bar to reenlistment in effect at time of separation or separated for any reason (except length of service retirement) with 18 or more years active Federal service. Eligibility: Ineligible for enlistment.

8. SUMMARY OF FACT(s): The Army Discharge Review Board considers applications for upgrade as instructed by Department of Defense Instruction 1332.28.

The applicant requests an upgrade to honorable. The applicant's Army Military Human Resources Record (AMHRR), the issues, and documents submitted with the application were carefully reviewed.

The applicant contends youth and immaturity affected the applicant's behavior at the time of the discharge. The AMHRR shows the applicant met entrance qualification standards to include age.

The applicant contends suffering from PTSD and began to self-medicate with drugs and alcohol to cope with their problems after returning from deployment; and led to the other than honorable conditions discharge. The applicant did not submit any evidence, other than the applicant's statement, to support the contention the discharge resulted from any medical condition. The AMHRR does not contain a Mental Status Evaluation (MSE).

The applicant contends an upgrade of the discharge would allow veterans benefits. Eligibility for veteran's benefits does not fall within the purview of the Army Discharge Review Board. Accordingly, the applicant should contact a local office of the Department of Veterans Affairs for further assistance.

The applicant contends an upgrade would allow educational benefits through the GI Bill. Eligibility for veteran's benefits to include educational benefits under the Post-9/11 or

Montgomery GI Bill does not fall within the purview of the Army Discharge Review Board. Accordingly, the applicant should contact a local office of the Department of Veterans Affairs for further assistance.

9. BOARD DISCUSSION AND DETERMINATION:

a. As directed by the 2017 memo signed by A.M. Kurta, the board considered the following factors:

(1) Did the applicant have a condition or experience that may excuse or mitigate the discharge? **Yes.** The Board's Medical Advisor, a voting member, reviewed the applicant's DOD and VA health records, applicant's statement, and/or civilian provider documentation and found that the applicant has the following potentially mitigating diagnoses/experiences: None. However, the applicant asserts PTSD, which may be sufficient evidence to establish the existence of a condition that could mitigate or excuse the discharge.

(2) Did the condition exist, or experience occur during military service? **Yes.** The Board's Medical Advisor found that the applicant self-asserts PTSD during military service.

(3) Does the condition or experience excuse or mitigate the discharge? **No.** The Board determined, based on the BMA's opine, that the applicant's behavioral health conditions do not mitigate the discharge. While PTSD could mitigate offenses of possessing and using marijuana, there is insufficient evidence in the medical record or in evidence provided by the applicant that the applicant received a diagnosis or experienced symptoms of PTSD. Without medical evidence supporting any experienced symptoms or a diagnosis, the asserted PTSD does not provide any mitigation.

(4) Does the condition or experience outweigh the discharge? **No.** After applying liberal consideration to the evidence, including the Board Medical Advisor's opine, the Board determined that the available evidence did not support a conclusion that the applicant's asserted Post Traumatic Stress Disorder outweighed the applicant's medically unmitigated illegal substance abuse offenses.

b. Response to Contention(s):

(1) The applicant contends suffering from PTSD and began to self-medicate with drugs and alcohol to cope with their problems which led to the under other than honorable conditions discharge. The Board liberally considered this contention and determined that the available evidence did not support a conclusion that the applicant's asserted Post Traumatic Stress Disorder outweighed the applicant's medically unmitigated illegal substance abuse offenses.

(2) The applicant contends youth and immaturity affected the applicant's behavior at the time of the discharge. The Board considered this contention and found insufficient evidence in the applicant's AMHRR or applicant-provided evidence to show that the applicant was not given sufficient access to behavioral health resources. Additionally, the applicant met minimum age requirements for entry into the military.

(3) The applicant contends an upgrade of the discharge would allow veterans benefits. The Board considered this contention and determined that eligibility for Veteran's benefits, to include educational benefits under the Post-9/11 or Montgomery GI Bill, healthcare or VA loans, do not fall within the purview of the Army Discharge Review Board. Accordingly, the applicant should contact a local office of the Department of Veterans Affairs for further assistance.

c. The Board determined that the discharge is, at this time, proper and equitable, considering the current evidence of record. The applicant has exhausted all available appeal options available with ADRB. However, the applicant may still apply to the Army Board for Correction of Military Records. The applicant is responsible for satisfying the burden of proof and providing documents or other evidence sufficient to support the applicant's contention(s) that the discharge was improper or inequitable.

d. Rationale for Decision:

(1) The Board voted not to change the applicant's characterization of service because, despite applying liberal consideration to all the evidence before the Board, the applicant's self-asserted Post Traumatic Stress Disorder did not outweigh the applicant's medically unmitigated illegal substance abuse offenses. The Board also considered the applicant's contention regarding youth and immaturity and found that the totality of the applicant's record does not warrant a discharge upgrade. The applicant did not present any issues of impropriety for the Board's consideration. The discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the regulation, was within the discretion of the separation authority, and the applicant was provided full administrative due process. Therefore, the applicant's Under Other Than Honorable Conditions discharge was proper and equitable as the applicant's conduct fell below that level of satisfactory service warranting a General discharge or meritorious service warranted for an Honorable characterization.

(2) The Board voted not to change the applicant's reason for discharge or accompanying SPD code under the same pretexts, and the reason the applicant was discharged was both proper and equitable.

(3) The RE code will not change, as the current code is consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the regulation.

10. BOARD ACTION DIRECTED:

- a. **Issue a New DD-214 / Separation Order:** No
- b. **Change Characterization to:** No Change
- c. **Change Reason / SPD Code to:** No Change
- d. **Change RE Code to:** No Change
- e. **Change Authority to:** No Change

Authenticating Official:

X

Presiding Officer, COL, U.S. ARMY

Army Discharge Review Board

ARMY DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD CASE REPORT AND DIRECTIVE
AR20210000733

Legend:

AWOL – Absent Without Leave	GD – General Discharge	OAD – Ordered to Active Duty	SPD – Separation Program
AMHRR – Army Military Human Resource Record	HS – High School	OBH (I) – Other Behavioral Health (Issues)	Designator
BCD – Bad Conduct Discharge	HD – Honorable Discharge	OMPF – Official Military Personnel File	TBI – Traumatic Brain Injury
BH – Behavioral Health	IADT – Initial Active Duty Training	PTSD – Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder	UNC – Uncharacterized
CG – Company Grade Article 15	MP – Military Police	RE – Re-entry	Discharge
CID – Criminal Investigation	MST – Military Sexual Trauma	SCM – Summary Court Martial	UOTHC – Under Other Than Honorable Conditions
Division	N/A – Not applicable	SPCM – Special Court Martial	VA – Department of Veterans Affairs
ELS – Entry Level Status	NCO – Noncommissioned Officer		
FG – Field Grade Article 15	NIF – Not in File		
	NOS – Not Otherwise Specified		