### 1. Applicant's Name:

a. Application Date: 26 April 2021

b. Date Received: 26 April 2021

c. Counsel: None

#### 2. REQUEST, ISSUES, BOARD TYPE, AND DECISION:

a. Applicant's Requests and Issues: The current characterization of service for period under review is honorable. The applicant requests a narrative reason change.

The applicant seeks relief contending, in effect, completing their first and only full term of service. The applicant went over the 24 months active duty and believes since they completed the initial contract, the Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty should reflect the completion of active service instead of an alcohol rehabilitation failure. The applicant believes the command punished them with this separation rather than give the applicant the normal ETS, which they completed. The applicant has an honorable discharge and is afforded all the benefits which come with it; however, believes a normal separation is warranted and not the alcohol rehabilitation failure due to the being retained in the service for 77 days for the convenience of the government.

**b.** Board Type and Decision: In a records review conducted on 1 August 2024, and by a 5-0 vote, the Board denied the request upon finding the separation was both proper and equitable.

Please see Section 9 of this document for more detail regarding the Board's decision.

(Board member names available upon request)

#### 3. DISCHARGE DETAILS:

- **a.** Reason / Authority / Codes / Characterization: Alcohol Rehabilitation Failure / AR 635-200, Chapter 9 / JPD / RE-4 / Honorable
  - b. Date of Discharge: 19 June 2003
  - c. Separation Facts:
    - (1) Date of Notification of Intent to Separate: 6 June 2003
- **(2) Basis for Separation:** The applicant was informed of the following reasons: The applicant was classified as a Rehabilitation Failure in the Army Substance Abuse Program (ASAP).
  - (3) Recommended Characterization: Honorable
  - (4) Legal Consultation Date: On 6 June 2003, the applicant waived legal counsel.
  - (5) Administrative Separation Board: NA
  - (6) Separation Decision Date / Characterization: 10 June 2003 / Honorable

#### 4. SERVICE DETAILS:

a. Date / Period of Enlistment: 14 June 2001 / 2 years

b. Age at Enlistment / Education / GT Score: 17 / High School Graduate / 112

**c. Highest Grade Achieved / MOS / Total Service:** E-3 / 13P10, Multiple Launch Rocket System / Fire Direction Specialist / 2 years, 6 days

d. Prior Service / Characterizations: None

e. Overseas Service / Combat Service: None

f. Awards and Decorations: NDSM, ASR

g. Performance Ratings: NA

h. Disciplinary Action(s) / Evidentiary Record: FG Article 15, 15 April 2003, on or about 1 March 2003, willfully disobey a lawful order from CPT M.; and, on or about 1 March 2003, wrongfully consume alcohol under the age of 21. The punishment consisted of a reduction to E-1; forfeiture of \$500 pay per month for one month (suspended); and extra duty and restriction for 30 days.

Memorandum for Commander, 29 May 2003, reflects the applicant was command referred to the ASAP on 15 April 2003 following an arrest for DWI off post. The applicant was screened and referred to ADAPT and scheduled for an intake evaluation and early intervention groups. The applicant missed the first group session on 18 April 2003; however, did attend the next session on 25 April 2003. On 30 April 2003, the applicant received an intake evaluation. During the evaluation, the applicant reported an extensive history of alcohol abuse which resulted in a recommendation of enrollment in the ASAP for four to six weeks. A previous enrollment in the ASAP in May 2002 was also verified. The applicant verbalized motivation to take advantage of treatment offered and signed a treatment plan which identified abstinence from alcohol as a goal during this enrollment. The chain of command reports the applicant was arrested for another DWI two days after committing to abstinence from mood altering substances. This additional serious alcohol related incident demonstrates a lack of motivation. IAW AR 600-85, the applicant meets the criteria for rehabilitation failure and is cleared for any administrative action deemed necessary.

Developmental Counseling Form, for informing the applicant of being processed for an Administrative Separation from the Army.

i. Lost Time / Mode of Return: None

j. Behavioral Health Condition(s):

- (1) Applicant provided: Chronological Record of Medical Care, Mental Status Evaluation, 8 May 2003, reflects the applicant was psychiatrically cleared for any administrative actions deemed appropriate by the command. The applicant could understand and participate in administrative proceedings; was mentally responsible; and met retention requirements of Chapter 3, AR 40-501.
- (2) AMHRR Listed: Chronological Record of Medical Care, Mental Status Evaluation as described in previous paragraph 4j (1).

- **5. APPLICANT-PROVIDED EVIDENCE:** Online application; Application for the Review of Discharge; Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty; separation packet.
- **6. Post Service Accomplishments:** None submitted with the application.

### 7. STATUTORY, REGULATORY AND POLICY REFERENCE(S):

- **a.** Section 1553, Title 10, United States Code (Review of Discharge or Dismissal) provides for the creation, composition, and scope of review conducted by a Discharge Review Board(s) within established governing standards. As amended by Sections 521 and 525 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020, 10 USC 1553 provides specific guidance to the Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards when considering discharge upgrade requests by Veterans claiming Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), sexual trauma, intimate partner violence (IPV), or spousal abuse, as a basis for discharge review. The amended guidance provides that Boards will include, as a voting board member, a physician trained in mental health disorders, a clinical psychologist, or a psychiatrist when the discharge upgrade claim asserts a mental health condition, including PTSD, TBI, sexual trauma, IPV, or spousal abuse, as a basis for the discharge. Further, the guidance provides that Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards will develop and provide specialized training specific to sexual trauma, IPV, spousal abuse, as well as the various responses of individuals to trauma.
- **b.** Multiple Department of Defense Policy Guidance Memoranda published between 2014 and 2018. The documents are commonly referred to by the signatory authorities' last names (2014 Secretary of Defense Guidance [Hagel memo], 2016 Acting Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Carson memo], 2017 Official Performing the Duties of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Kurta memo], and 2018 Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Wilkie memo].
- (1) Individually and collectively, these documents provide further clarification to the Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records when considering requests by Veterans for modification of their discharge due to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Liberal consideration will be given to Veterans petitioning for discharge relief when the application for relief is based in whole or in part on matters relating to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Special consideration will be given to Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) determinations that document a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment potentially contributed to the circumstances resulting in a less than honorable discharge characterization. Special consideration will also be given in cases where a civilian provider confers diagnoses of a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment if the case records contain narratives supporting symptomatology at the time of service or when any other evidence which may reasonably indicate that a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment existed at the time of discharge might have mitigated the misconduct that caused a discharge of lesser characterization.
- (2) Conditions documented in the service record that can reasonably be determined to have existed at the time of discharge will be considered to have existed at the time of discharge. In cases in which a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment may be reasonably determined to have existed at the time of discharge, those conditions will be considered potential mitigating factors in the misconduct that caused the characterization of service in question. All Boards will exercise caution in weighing evidence of mitigation in cases in which serious misconduct precipitated a discharge with a less than Honorable

characterization of service. Potentially mitigating evidence of the existence of undiagnosed combat related PTSD, PTSD-related conditions due to TBI or sexual assault/harassment as causative factors in the misconduct resulting in discharge will be carefully weighed against the severity of the misconduct. PTSD is not a likely cause of premeditated misconduct. Caution shall be exercised in weighing evidence of mitigation in all cases of misconduct by carefully considering the likely causal relationship of symptoms to the misconduct.

- **c.** Army Regulation 15-180 (Army Discharge Review Board) sets forth the policies and procedures under which the Army Discharge Review Board is authorized to review the character, reason, and authority of any Servicemember discharged from active military service within 15 years of the Servicemember's date of discharge. Additionally, it prescribes actions and composition of the Army Discharge Review Board under Public Law 95-126; Section 1553, Title 10 United States Code; and Department of Defense Directive 1332.41 and Instruction 1332.28.
- **d.** Army Regulation 635-200 (Active Duty Enlisted Administrative Separations) provides the basic authority for the separation of enlisted personnel.
- (1) Chapter 3, Section II provides the authorized types of characterization of service or description of separation.
- (2) Paragraph 3-7a states an Honorable discharge is a separation with honor and is appropriate when the quality of the Soldier's service generally has met the standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty for Army personnel or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.
- (3) Chapter 9 outlines the procedures for discharging individuals because of alcohol or other drug abuse. A member who has been referred to the Army Substance Abuse Program (ASAP) for alcohol or drug abuse may be separated because of inability or refusal to participate in, cooperate in, or successfully complete such a program if there is a lack of potential for continued Army service and rehabilitation efforts are no longer practical.
- **(4)** Paragraph 9-4 stipulates the service of Soldiers discharged under this section will be characterized as honorable or under honorable conditions unless the Soldier is in entry-level status and an uncharacterized description of service is required. An honorable discharge is mandated in any case in which the Government initially introduces into the final discharge process limited use evidence as defined by AR 600-85.
- **e.** Army Regulation 635-5-1 (Separation Program Designator (SPD) Codes), provides the specific authorities (regulatory or directive), reasons for separating Soldiers from active duty, and the SPD codes to be entered on the DD Form 214. It identifies the SPD code of "JPD" as the appropriate code to assign enlisted Soldiers who are discharged under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 9, for alcohol rehabilitation failure.
- **f.** Army Regulation 601-210 (Regular Army and Reserve Components Enlistment Program) governs eligibility criteria, policies, and procedures for enlistment and processing of persons into the Regular Army, the U.S. Army Reserve, and Army National Guard for enlistment per DODI 1304.26. It also prescribes the appointment, reassignment, management, and mobilization of Reserve Officers' Training Corps cadets under the Simultaneous Membership Program. Chapter 4 provides the criteria and procedures for waiverable and nonwaiverable separations. Table 3-1 defines reentry eligibility (RE) codes: RE-4 Applies to: Person separated from last period of service with a nonwaiverable disqualification. This includes anyone with a DA imposed bar to reenlistment in effect at time of separation or separated for any reason (except length of

service retirement) with 18 or more years active Federal service. Eligibility: Ineligible for enlistment.

**8. SUMMARY OF FACT(S):** The Army Discharge Review Board considers applications for upgrade as instructed by Department of Defense Instruction 1332.28.

The applicant requests a narrative reason change. The applicant's Army Military Human Resources Record (AMHRR), the issues, and documents submitted with the application were carefully reviewed.

The evidence of Army Military Human Resource Record (AMHRR) indicates on 29 May 2003, the Clinical Director/Army Substance Abuse Program (ASAP), declared the applicant a rehabilitation failure. The applicant was arrested for another DWI two days after committing to abstinence from mood altering substances. This additional serious alcohol related incident demonstrates a lack of motivation.

The applicant contends the narrative reason for the discharge needs changed. The applicant was separated under the provisions of Chapter 9, AR 635-200 with an honorable discharge. The narrative reason specified by Army Regulations for a discharge under this paragraph is "alcohol rehabilitation failure," and the separation code is "JPD." Army Regulation 635-8 (Separation Processing and Documents) governs preparation of the DD Form 214, and dictates the entry of the narrative reason for separation, entered in block 28 and separation code, entered in block 26 of the form, will be as listed in tables 2-2 or 2-3 of AR 635-5-1 (Separation Program Designator (SPD) Codes). The regulation stipulates no deviation is authorized. There is no provision for any other reason to be entered under this regulation.

The applicant contends completing the first and only term of service. The applicant believes the command punished them with this separation rather than give the applicant the normal ETS, which they completed. The applicant has an honorable discharge and is afforded all the benefits which come with it; however, believes a normal separation is warranted and not the alcohol rehabilitation failure due to the being retained in the service for 77 days for the convenience of the government. The applicant did not submit any evidence, other than the applicant's statement, to support the contention. The evidence of record shows the command attempted to assist the applicant in performing and conducting to Army standards by providing counseling and the imposition of non-judicial punishment. The applicant's AMHRR does not contain any indication or evidence of arbitrary or capricious actions by the command. There appears to be an error in part 18 of the Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty which states the applicant was retained in the service for 77 days. Section 12c reflects net active service this period is 2 years and 6 days. The applicant was extended past the normal ETS as a result of stop loss in effect in 2003.

#### 9. BOARD DISCUSSION AND DETERMINATION:

- **a.** As directed by the 2017 memo signed by A.M. Kurta, the board considered the following factors:
- (1) Did the applicant have a condition or experience that may excuse or mitigate the discharge? **No.** The Board's Medical Advisor, a voting member, reviewed the applicant's DOD and VA health records, applicant's statement, and/or civilian provider documentation and found no evidence of any potentially mitigating Behavioral Health conditions. The applicant was not diagnosed in service with any BH conditions and is not service connected by the VA for any Behavioral Health conditions. The applicant did not self-assert any Behavioral Health conditions or submit any medical documentation for review.

- (2) Did the condition exist or experience occur during military service? N/A
- (3) Does the condition or experience actually excuse or mitigate the discharge? N/A
- (4) Does the condition or experience outweigh the discharge? N/A
- **b.** Response to Contention(s):
- (1) The applicant contends the narrative reason for the discharge needs changed. The Board liberally considered this contention but determined the applicant is responsible for satisfying the burden of proof and providing documents or other evidence sufficient to support the applicant's contention(s) that the discharge was improper or inequitable. In light of the current evidence of record, the Board determined the applicant's discharge was appropriate.
- (2) The applicant contends completing their first and only term of service. The applicant believes the command punished them with this separation rather than give the applicant the normal ETS, which they completed. The applicant has an honorable discharge and is afforded all the benefits which come with it; however, believes a normal separation is warranted and not the alcohol rehabilitation failure due to the being retained in the service for 77 days for the convenience of the government. The Board liberally considered this contention but found insufficient evidence in the applicant's AMHRR or applicant-provided evidence to show that the command acted in an arbitrary or capricious manner. Therefore, a discharge upgrade is not warranted.
- **c.** The Board determined that the discharge is, at this time, proper and equitable, in light of the current evidence of record. The applicant has exhausted their appeal options available with ADRB. However, the applicant may still apply to the Army Board for Correction of Military Records. The applicant is responsible for satisfying the burden of proof and providing documents or other evidence sufficient to support the applicant's contention(s) that the discharge was improper or inequitable.
  - **d.** Rationale for Decision:
- (1) The Board determined the discharge is proper and equitable. The applicant's current characterization of service is honorable, there is no further relief available with respect to characterization.
- (2) The Board voted not to change the applicant's reason for discharge or accompanying SPD code, the reason the applicant was discharged was both proper and equitable.
- (3) The RE code will not change, as the current code is consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the regulation.

#### 10. BOARD ACTION DIRECTED:

a. Issue a New DD-214 / Separation Order: No

**b. Change Characterization to:** No Change

c. Change Reason / SPD Code to: No Change

d. Change RE Code to: No Change

e. Change Authority to: No Change

### **Authenticating Official:**

8/14/2024



Presiding Officer, COL, U.S. ARMY Army Discharge Review Board

#### Legend:

AWOL – Absent Without Leave AMHRR – Army Military Human Resource Record BCD – Bad Conduct Discharge BH – Behavioral Health CG – Company Grade Article 15 CID – Criminal Investigation Division ELS – Entry Level Status FG – Field Grade Article 15

HD – Honorable Discharge IADT – Initial Active Duty Training MP – Military Police MST – Military Sexual Trauma N/A – Not applicable NCO – Noncommissioned Officer

GD – General Discharge HS – High School

NIF – Not in File NOS – Not Otherwise Specified

OAD – Ordered to Active Duty OBH (I) – Other Behavioral Health (Issues) OMPF – Official Military Personnel File PTSD – Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder SCM – Summary Court Martial SPCM – Special Court Martial

SPD - Separation Program SPD – Separation Program
Designator
TBI – Traumatic Brain Injury
UNC – Uncharacterized
Discharge
UOTHC – Under Other Than
Honorable Conditions
VA – Department of Veterans Affairs