1. Applicant's Name:

a. Application Date: 26 April 2021

b. Date Received: 26 April 2021

c. Counsel: None

2. REQUEST, ISSUES, BOARD TYPE, AND DECISION:

a. Applicant's Requests and Issues: The current characterization of service for period under review is general (under honorable conditions). The applicant requests an upgrade to honorable.

The applicant seeks relief contending, in effect, serving two combat deployments, never being reprimanded or receiving negative counseling or NCOERs. The applicant was a great Soldier. The applicant was discharged due to misconduct by a civilian court; however, the charges were dropped except for a traffic violation. The applicant desires to pursue a career in commercial driving and would love to be able to state they served the country honorably.

b. Board Type and Decision: In a records review conducted on 25 June 2024, and by a 5-0 vote, the Board denied the request upon finding the separation was both proper and equitable.

Please see Section 9 of this document for more detail regarding the Board's decision.

(Board member names available upon request)

3. DISCHARGE DETAILS:

- a. Reason / Authority / Codes / Characterization: Misconduct (Serious Offense) / AR 635-200, Chapter 14-12c / JKQ / RE-3 / General (Under Honorable Conditions)
 - **b. Date of Discharge:** 26 November 2010
 - c. Separation Facts:
 - (1) Date of Notification of Intent to Separate: 5 October 2010
- (2) Basis for Separation: The applicant was informed of the following reasons: On or about 24 July 2010, the applicant was arrested by Lee County Police for fleeing/eluding arrest and felony hit and run with serious injury.
 - (3) Recommended Characterization: Under Other Than Honorable Conditions
 - (4) Legal Consultation Date: 6 October 2010
- **(5)** Administrative Separation Board: On 6 October 2010, the applicant conditionally waived consideration of the case before an administrative separation board, contingent upon receiving a characterization of service no less favorable than general (under honorable conditions) discharge.
- **(6) Separation Decision Date / Characterization:** 4 November 2010 / General (Under Honorable Conditions)

4. SERVICE DETAILS:

- a. Date / Period of Enlistment: 10 January 2007 / 4 years, 20 weeks
- **b.** Age at Enlistment / Education / GT Score: 19 / High School Letter / 100
- c. Highest Grade Achieved / MOS / Total Service: E-5 / 13F2P, Fire Support Specialist / 3 years, 10 months, 17 days
 - d. Prior Service / Characterizations: None
- e. Overseas Service / Combat Service: SWA / Iraq (18 July 2007 27 October 2007;
 10 December 2008 16 November 2009)
- f. Awards and Decorations: ICM-2CS, ARCOM, AGCM, NDSM, GWOTSM, ASR, OSR, CAB
 - g. Performance Ratings: 1 June 2009 31 May 2010 / Marginal
- h. Disciplinary Action(s) / Evidentiary Record: State of North Carolina Document, 24 July 2010, reflects the applicant was authorized release upon execution of a secured bond.

State of North Carolina Court Document, 4 August 2010, reflects the applicant's secured bond was reduced from \$50,000 to \$3,000.

Sanford Police Department, 1 October 2010, reflects the applicant was arrested on 24 July 2010 for Speeding to Elude Arrest; Hit and Run – Failure to Stop; Damage to Property; Fail to Reduce Speed; Reckless Driving; and Fail to Stop at Stop Sign.

State of North Carolina Court Document, undated, reflects the court ordered the applicant to be imprisoned for a term of 30 days in the custody of N.C. DAC. The applicant was given credit for 19 days spent in confinement prior to the date of the judgement. Subject to conditions the execution of this sentence was suspended, and the applicant was placed on unsupervised probation for 12 months.

- i. Lost Time / Mode of Return: None
- j. Behavioral Health Condition(s):
 - (1) Applicant provided: None
- **(2) AMHRR Listed:** Report of Medical Examination, 8 September 2010, the examining medical physician noted the applicant's medical conditions in the comments section.

Report of Medical History, 8 September 2010, the examining medical physician noted the applicant's medical conditions in the comments section.

Report of Medical Assessment, 8 September 2010, the health care provider noted the applicant's medical conditions in the comments section.

Report of Behavioral Health Evaluation (BHE), 20 September 2010, reflects the applicant was mentally responsible with a clear-thinking process and had the mental capacity to understand

and participate in the proceedings. The applicant was cleared for any administrative actions deemed appropriate by command. The evaluation contains a diagnosis.

The ARBA's medical advisor reviewed DoD and VA medical records and not solely those documents listed in 4j(1) and (2) above.

- **5. APPLICANT-PROVIDED EVIDENCE:** DD Form 293; DD Form 214; ERB; State of North Carolina Court Document.
- **6. Post Service Accomplishments:** None submitted with the application.

7. STATUTORY, REGULATORY AND POLICY REFERENCE(S):

- **a.** Section 1553, Title 10, United States Code (Review of Discharge or Dismissal) provides for the creation, composition, and scope of review conducted by a Discharge Review Board(s) within established governing standards. As amended by Sections 521 and 525 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020, 10 USC 1553 provides specific guidance to the Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards when considering discharge upgrade requests by Veterans claiming Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), sexual trauma, intimate partner violence (IPV), or spousal abuse, as a basis for discharge review. The amended guidance provides that Boards will include, as a voting board member, a physician trained in mental health disorders, a clinical psychologist, or a psychiatrist when the discharge upgrade claim asserts a mental health condition, including PTSD, TBI, sexual trauma, IPV, or spousal abuse, as a basis for the discharge. Further, the guidance provides that Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards will develop and provide specialized training specific to sexual trauma, IPV, spousal abuse, as well as the various responses of individuals to trauma.
- **b.** Multiple Department of Defense Policy Guidance Memoranda published between 2014 and 2018. The documents are commonly referred to by the signatory authorities' last names (2014 Secretary of Defense Guidance [Hagel memo], 2016 Acting Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Carson memo], 2017 Official Performing the Duties of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Kurta memo], and 2018 Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Wilkie memo].
- (1) Individually and collectively, these documents provide further clarification to the Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records when considering requests by Veterans for modification of their discharge due to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Liberal consideration will be given to Veterans petitioning for discharge relief when the application for relief is based in whole or in part on matters relating to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Special consideration will be given to Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) determinations that document a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment potentially contributed to the circumstances resulting in a less than honorable discharge characterization. Special consideration will also be given in cases where a civilian provider confers diagnoses of a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment if the case records contain narratives supporting symptomatology at the time of service or when any other evidence which may reasonably indicate that a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment existed at the time of discharge might have mitigated the misconduct that caused a discharge of lesser characterization.
- (2) Conditions documented in the service record that can reasonably be determined to have existed at the time of discharge will be considered to have existed at the time of discharge.

In cases in which a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment may be reasonably determined to have existed at the time of discharge, those conditions will be considered potential mitigating factors in the misconduct that caused the characterization of service in question. All Boards will exercise caution in weighing evidence of mitigation in cases in which serious misconduct precipitated a discharge with a less than Honorable characterization of service. Potentially mitigating evidence of the existence of undiagnosed combat related PTSD, PTSD-related conditions due to TBI or sexual assault/harassment as causative factors in the misconduct resulting in discharge will be carefully weighed against the severity of the misconduct. PTSD is not a likely cause of premeditated misconduct. Caution shall be exercised in weighing evidence of mitigation in all cases of misconduct by carefully considering the likely causal relationship of symptoms to the misconduct.

- **c.** Army Regulation 15-180 (Army Discharge Review Board), sets forth the policies and procedures under which the Army Discharge Review Board is authorized to review the character, reason, and authority of any Servicemember discharged from active military service within 15 years of the Servicemember's date of discharge. Additionally, it prescribes actions and composition of the Army Discharge Review Board under Public Law 95-126; Section 1553, Title 10 United States Code; and Department of Defense Directive 1332.41 and Instruction 1332.28.
- **d.** Army Regulation 635-200 (Active Duty Enlisted Administrative Separations), provides the basic authority for the separation of enlisted personnel.
- (1) Chapter 3, Section II provides the authorized types of characterization of service or description of separation.
- (2) Paragraph 3-5c, provides the reasons for separation, including the specific circumstances that form the basis for the separation, will be considered on the issue of characterization. As a general matter, characterization will be based upon a pattern of behavior other than an isolated incident. There are circumstances, however, in which the conduct or performance of duty reflected by a single incident provides the basis for characterization.
- (3) Paragraph 3-7a states an Honorable discharge is a separation with honor and is appropriate when the quality of the Soldier's service generally has met the standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty for Army personnel or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.
- **(4)** Paragraph 3-7b states a General discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions and is issued to a Soldier whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.
- (5) Chapter 14 establishes policy and prescribes procedures for separating members for misconduct. Specific categories include minor disciplinary infractions, a pattern of misconduct, and commission of a serious offense, to include abuse of illegal drugs, convictions by civil authorities and desertion or being absent without leave. Action will be taken to separate a member for misconduct when it is clearly established that rehabilitation is impractical or unlikely to succeed.
- **(6)** Paragraph 14-3 prescribes a discharge under other than honorable conditions is normally appropriate for a Soldier discharged under this chapter. However, the separation authority may direct a general discharge if such is merited by the Soldier's overall record.
- (7) Paragraph 14-12c prescribes a Soldier is subject to action per this section for commission of a serious military or civilian offense, if the specific circumstances of the offense

warrant separation and a punitive discharge is, or would be, authorized for the same or a closely related offense under the Manual for Courts-Martial.

- **e.** Army Regulation 635-5-1 (Separation Program Designator (SPD) Codes), provides the specific authorities (regulatory or directive), reasons for separating Soldiers from active duty, and the SPD codes to be entered on the DD Form 214. It identifies the SPD code of "JKQ" as the appropriate code to assign enlisted Soldiers who are discharged under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14, paragraph 12c, misconduct (serious offense).
- f. Army Regulation 601-210, (Regular Army and Reserve Components Enlistment Program), governs eligibility criteria, policies, and procedures for enlistment and processing of persons into the Regular Army, the U.S. Army Reserve, and Army National Guard for enlistment per DODI 1304.26. It also prescribes the appointment, reassignment, management, and mobilization of Reserve Officers' Training Corps cadets under the Simultaneous Membership Program. Chapter 4 provides the criteria and procedures for waiverable and nonwaiverable separations. Table 3-1, defines reentry eligibility (RE) codes: RE-3 Applies to: Person who is not considered fully qualified for reentry or continuous service at time of separation, but disqualification is waiverable. Eligibility: Ineligible unless a waiver is granted.
- **8. SUMMARY OF FACT(S):** The Army Discharge Review Board considers applications for upgrade as instructed by Department of Defense Instruction 1332.28.

The applicant requests an upgrade to honorable.

The applicant contends good service, including two combat tours. The applicant had never received any punishment, been reprimanded, or had a negative counseling or NCOERs.

The applicant contends the charges were dropped except for a traffic violation. The dismissal of charges is a procedural step, which is part of a normal process when an alternative forum is chosen. In this case, the charges were consolidated, and the applicant was sentenced to 30 days in the custody of N.C. DAC with 19 days credit for time spent in confinement prior to the date of the judgment. The sentence was suspended subject to the conditions of the applicant being placed on unsupervised probation for 12 months.

The applicant contends an upgrade of the discharge will allow the applicant to obtain better employment. The Board does not grant relief to gain employment or enhance employment opportunities.

9. BOARD DISCUSSION AND DETERMINATION:

- **a.** As directed by the 2017 memo signed by A.M. Kurta, the board considered the following factors:
- (1) Did the applicant have a condition or experience that may excuse or mitigate the discharge? Yes. The Board's Medical Advisor, a voting member, reviewed the applicant's DOD and VA health records, applicant's statement, and/or civilian provider documentation and found that the applicant has the following potentially-mitigating diagnoses/experiences: PTSD, Depression NOS, Adjustment Disorder with Anxiety and Depressed Mood, and ADHD.
- (2) Did the condition exist or experience occur during military service? **Yes.** The Board's Medical Advisor found the applicant is 70 percent service connected (SC) for PTSD.

- (3) Does the condition or experience actually excuse or mitigate the discharge? **No.** The Board's Medical Advisor applied liberal consideration and opined that a review of the available information reflects the applicant has a BH condition that potentially mitigates the misconduct of fleeing/eluding arrest and felony hit and run with serious injury. The applicant is 70 percent SC for PTSD, and have additional diagnoses of Depression NOS, Adjustment Disorder with Anxiety and Depressed Mood, and ADHD, however, the misconduct characterized by fleeing/eluding arrest, and felony hit and run with serious injury is not mitigated by PTSD or any of the other potentially mitigating diagnoses as the behavior is not natural sequela of either disorder and the applicant did not have a condition to rendered him unable to differentiate between right and wrong and adhere to the right.
 - (4) Does the condition or experience outweigh the discharge? N/A.
 - **b.** Response to Contention(s):
- (1) The applicant contends good service, including two combat tours. The applicant had never received any punishment, been reprimanded, or had a negative counseling or NCOERs. The Board considered the applicant's 3 years of service, including 2 combat tours in Iraq and the numerous awards received by the applicant but determined that these factors did not outweigh the applicant's fleeing/eluding arrest and felony hit and run with serious injury.
- (2) The applicant contends the charges were dropped except for a traffic violation. The Board considered this contention and determined there is insufficient evidence to support the applicant's charges were dropped. There is evidence to support the charges were suspended and the applicant was placed on 12-month suspension. Ultimately, suspended or dropped charges do not excuse the applicant's responsibility for the misconduct. The discharge is proper and equitable.
- (3) The applicant contends an upgrade of the discharge will allow the applicant to obtain better employment. The Board considered this contention but does not grant relief to gain employment or enhance employment opportunities.
- **c.** The Board determined that the discharge is, at this time, proper and equitable, in light of the current evidence of record. However, the applicant may request a personal appearance hearing to address the issues before the Board. The applicant is responsible for satisfying the burden of proof and providing documents or other evidence sufficient to support the applicant's contention(s) that the discharge was improper or inequitable.

d. Rationale for Decision:

- (1) The Board voted not to change the applicant's characterization of service because, despite applying liberal consideration of all the evidence before the Board, the applicant's PTSD, Depression NOS, Adjustment Disorder with Anxiety and Depressed Mood, and ADHD did not excuse or mitigate the offenses of fleeing/eluding arrest and felony hit and run with serious injury. The discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the regulation, was within the discretion of the separation authority, and the applicant was provided full administrative due process. Therefore, the applicant's General discharge was proper and equitable as the applicant's misconduct fell below that level of meritorious service warranted for an upgrade to Honorable discharge.
- (2) The Board voted not to change the applicant's reason for discharge or accompanying SPD code under the same pretexts, and the reason the applicant was discharged was both proper and equitable.

(3) The RE code will not change, as the current code is consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the regulation.

10. BOARD ACTION DIRECTED:

a. Issue a New DD-214 / Separation Order: No

b. Change Characterization to: No Change

c. Change Reason / SPD code to: No Change

d. Change RE Code to: No Change

e. Change Authority to: No Change

Authenticating Official:

11/22/2024



Presiding Officer, COL, U.S. ARMY Army Discharge Review Board

Legend:

AWOL – Absent Without Leave AMHRR – Army Military Human Resource Record BCD – Bad Conduct Discharge BH – Behavioral Health CG – Company Grade Article 15 CID – Criminal Investigation ELS - Entry Level Status FG – Field Grade Article 15

GD - General Discharge HS – High School HD - Honorable Discharge IADT – Initial Active Duty Training MP - Military Police MST - Military Sexual Trauma N/A – Not applicable NCO - Noncommissioned Officer NIF - Not in File NOS - Not Otherwise Specified

OAD – Ordered to Active Duty OBH (I) – Other Behavioral Health (Issues) OMPF - Official Military Personnel File PTSD - Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder RE – Re-entry SCM – Summary Court Martial SPCM - Special Court Martial

SPD - Separation Program Designator TBI – Traumatic Brain Injury UNC – Uncharacterized Discharge
UOTHC – Under Other Than Honorable Conditions VA - Department of Veterans Affairs