1. Applicant's Name:

a. Application Date: 10 September 2020

b. Date Received: 5 October 2020

c. Counsel: None

2. REQUEST, ISSUES, BOARD TYPE, AND DECISION:

a. Applicant's Requests and Issues:

- (1) The current characterization of service for the period under review is general (under honorable conditions). The applicant requests an upgrade to honorable.
- (2) The applicant seeks relief stating their discharge was inequitable because it was based on one isolated incident with no other adverse actions. An upgrade of discharge is requested to reflect true characterization of their service. Their intentions has always been to further their education; however, they now find themselves limited by the level of their general (under honorable conditions) discharge that could inhibit their future. This type of discharge may inhibit their education, ability in securing clearances, passing certain background checks, and ultimately obtaining positions in career fields that would continue to support their family and community.
- **b. Board Type and Decision:** In a records review conducted on 7 February 2024, and by a 5-0 vote, the Board determined the discharge is inequitable based on the circumstances surrounding the applicant's discharge (one-time drug use). Therefore, the Board voted to grant relief in the form of an upgrade of the characterization of service to Honorable and changed to the separation authority to AR 635-200, paragraph 14-12a, the narrative reason for separation to Misconduct (Minor Infractions), with a corresponding separation code of JKN, and the reentry code to RE-3.

3. DISCHARGE DETAILS:

- **a.** Reason / Authority / Codes / Characterization: Misconduct (Drug Abuse) / Army Regulations 635-200, Paragraph 14-12c(2) / JKK / RE-4 / General (Under Honorable Conditions)
 - **b. Date of Discharge:** 10 March 2020
- **c. Separation Facts:** The applicant's case separation file is void of several documents from the Army Military Human Resource Record (AMHRR); however, the applicant provided the Notification of Separation memorandum and their battalion commander's recommendation. The AMHRR did contain the adverse action (DA Form 2627 (Record of Proceedings under the Provisions of Article 15, Unform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ))), Commander's Report, and the Separation Authority memorandum. The information in 3c(1) through (6) were derived from those documents.
 - (1) Date of Notification of Intent to Separate: NIF
- **(2) Basis for Separation:** between on or about 10 May 2019 and on or about 13 May 2019, wrongfully use Oxymorphone, a Schedule II controlled substance

(3) Recommended Characterization: Honorable

(4) Legal Consultation Date: NIF

(5) Administrative Separation Board: NA

(6)Separation Decision Date / Characterization: 13 December 2019 / General (Under Honorable Conditions)

4. SERVICE DETAILS:

- a. Date / Period of Enlistment: 6 March 2018 / 3 years, 23 weeks
- b. Age at Enlistment / Education / GT Score: 28 / HS Graduate / 100
- **c. Highest Grade Achieved / MOS / Total Service:** E-3 / 92F1O, Petroleum Supply Specialist / 2 years, 5 days
 - d. Prior Service / Characterizations: None
 - e. Overseas Service / Combat Service: None
 - f. Awards and Decorations: NDSM, GWTSM, ASR
 - g. Performance Ratings: NA
 - h. Disciplinary Action(s) / Evidentiary Record:
- (1) A DA Form 2627, dated 8 August 2019, reflects the applicant received nonjudicial punishment for between on or about 10 May 2019 and on or about 13 May 2019, wrongfully use Oxymorphone, a Schedule II controlled substance, in violation of Article 112a, UCMJ.
- (a) The applicant elected to submit matters in defense, attesting to their desire to remain in the U.S. Army and how they have worked hard to regain the trust of their chain of command. They are humiliated to admit that they failed the urinalysis examination as they never intentionally taken any prescription drugs recreationally nor do they intend to.
- **(b)** Their punishment consisted of a reduction in rank/grade to private/E-1, forfeiture of \$840.00 pay for 2 months, and extra duty and restriction for 45 days. The applicant elected not to appeal.
- (2) A memorandum, Alpha Company, 325th Brigade Support Battalion, subject: Separation under Army Regulation 635-200, Paragraph 14-12c(2), Misconduct-Abuse of Illegal Drugs [Applicant], undated, the applicant's company commander notified the applicant of their intent to separate them under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14-12c(2), misconduct-abuse of illegal drugs, with a recommended characterization of service of honorable for between on or about 10 May 2019 and on or about 13 May 2019, wrongfully use Oxymorphone, a Schedule II controlled substance. [The applicant's acknowledgement of receipt of separation notice and their Election of Rights, are not in evidence for review.]
- (3) A memorandum, Alpha Company, 325th Brigade Support Battalion, subject: Commander's Report Proposed Separation under Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14-12c(2), Misconduct Abuse of Illegal Drugs, [Applicant], undated, the applicant's company

commander submitted a request to separate them prior to their expiration term of service. The company commander states disposition by other means is not warranted due to the Soldier's record. The applicant was advanced to the rank/grade of private first class/E-3 on 6 March 2019 and reduced to the rank/grade of private/E-1 on 8 August 2019.

- (4) A memorandum, 3rd Infantry Brigade Combat Team, 25th Infantry Division, subject: Separation under Army Regulation 635-200, Paragraph 14-12c(2), Misconduct-Abuse of Illegal Drugs [Applicant], dated 13 December 2019, the separation authority having reviewed the separation packet of the applicant, directed the applicant be separated from the Army prior to the expiration of current term of service and their service be characterized as general (under honorable conditions). After reviewing he rehabilitative transfer requirement, the commanding general determined the requirements are waived, as the transfer will serve no useful purpose or produce a quality Soldier.
- **(5)** A DD Form 214 (Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty) reflects the applicant was discharged on 10 March 2020, with 2 years and, 5 days of net active service this period. The applicant has not completed their first full term of service.
 - i. Lost Time / Mode of Return: None
 - j. Behavioral Health Condition(s): None

5. APPLICANT-PROVIDED EVIDENCE:

- DD Forms 293 (Application for the Review of Discharge from the Armed Forces of the United States), with attached letter
- Notification of Separation memorandum
- Battalion Commander Recommendation on Separation memorandum, reflecting their recommendation for the applicant's service characterization of honorable
- four 3rd Party Statement, attesting to the applicant's character, integrity, and exceptional Soldier
- Matters in Response to Separation under Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14-12c(2), Misconduct-Abuse of Illegal Drugs
- DA Form 2823 (Sworn Statement), reflecting the applicant's statement regarding their claims of hazing and being denied access to trial defense services
- DD Form 214
- **6. POST SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** None submitted with the application.

7. STATUTORY, REGULATORY AND POLICY REFERENCE(S):

a. Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1553, (Review of Discharge or Dismissal) provides for the creation, composition, and scope of review conducted by a Discharge Review Board(s) within established governing standards. As amended by Sections 521 and 525 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020, Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1553 provides specific guidance to the Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards when considering discharge upgrade requests by Veterans claiming Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), sexual trauma, intimate partner violence (IPV), or spousal abuse, as a basis for discharge review. The amended guidance provides that Boards will include, as a voting board member, a physician trained in mental health disorders, a clinical psychologist, or a psychiatrist when the discharge upgrade claim asserts a mental health condition, including PTSD, TBI, sexual trauma, IPV, or spousal abuse, as a basis for the discharge. Further, the guidance provides that Military Boards for Correction

of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards will develop and provide specialized training specific to sexual trauma, IPV, spousal abuse, as well as the various responses of individuals to trauma.

- **b.** Multiple Department of Defense (DoD) Policy Guidance Memoranda published between 2014 and 2018. The documents are commonly referred to by the signatory authorities' last names (2014 Secretary of Defense Guidance [Hagel memo], 2016 Acting Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Carson memo], 2017 Official Performing the Duties of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Kurta memo], and 2018 Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Wilkie memo].
- (1) Individually and collectively, these documents provide further clarification to the Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records when considering requests by Veterans for modification of their discharge due to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Liberal consideration will be given to Veterans petitioning for discharge relief when the application for relief is based in whole or in part on matters relating to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Special consideration will be given to Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) determinations that document a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment potentially contributed to the circumstances resulting in a less than honorable discharge characterization. Special consideration will also be given in cases where a civilian provider confers diagnoses of a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment if the case records contain narratives supporting symptomatology at the time of service or when any other evidence which may reasonably indicate that a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment existed at the time of discharge might have mitigated the misconduct that caused a discharge of lesser characterization.
- (2) Conditions documented in the service record that can reasonably be determined to have existed at the time of discharge will be considered to have existed at the time of discharge. In cases in which a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment may be reasonably determined to have existed at the time of discharge, those conditions will be considered potential mitigating factors in the misconduct that caused the characterization of service in question. All Boards will exercise caution in weighing evidence of mitigation in cases in which serious misconduct precipitated a discharge with a less than Honorable characterization of service. Potentially mitigating evidence of the existence of undiagnosed combat related PTSD, PTSD-related conditions due to TBI or sexual assault/harassment as causative factors in the misconduct resulting in discharge will be carefully weighed against the severity of the misconduct. PTSD is not a likely cause of premeditated misconduct. Caution shall be exercised in weighing evidence of mitigation in all cases of misconduct by carefully considering the likely causal relationship of symptoms to the misconduct.
- **c.** Army Regulation 15-180 (Army Discharge Review Board) sets forth the policies and procedures under which the Army Discharge Review Board is authorized to review the character, reason, and authority of any Servicemember discharged from active military service within 15 years of the Servicemember's date of discharge. Additionally, it prescribes actions and composition of the Army Discharge Review Board under Public Law 95-126; Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1553; and DoD Directive 1332.41 and DoD Instruction 1332.28.
- **d.** Army Regulation 635-200 (Active Duty Enlisted Administrative Separations), 19 December 2016, set policies, standards, and procedures to ensure the readiness and competency of the force while providing for the orderly administrative separation of Soldiers for a variety of reasons. Readiness is promoted by maintaining high standards of conduct and performance.

- (1) An Honorable discharge is a separation with honor and is appropriate when the quality of the Soldier's service generally has met the standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty for Army personnel or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.
- **(2)** A General discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions and is issued to a Soldier whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.
- (3) A Under Other Than Honorable Conditions Discharge is an administrative separation from the Service under conditions other than honorable. It may be issued for misconduct, fraudulent entry, security reasons, or in lieu of trial by court-martial.
- (4) Chapter 14 (Separation for Misconduct) established policy and prescribed procedures for separating members for misconduct. Action will be taken to separate a member for misconduct when it is clearly established that rehabilitation is impractical or unlikely to succeed. Paragraph 14-12c(2) (Abuse of Illegal Drugs is Serious Misconduct), stated, however; relevant facts may mitigate the nature of the offense. Therefore, a single drug abuse offense may be combined with one or more minor disciplinary infractions or incidents of other misconduct and processed for separation. A discharge under other than honorable conditions is normally appropriate for a Soldier discharged under this chapter. However, the separation authority may direct a general discharge if such is merited by the Soldier's overall record.
- **e.** Army Regulation 635-5-1 (Separation Program Designator (SPD) Codes) provides the specific authorities (regulatory or directive), reasons for separating Soldiers from active duty, and the SPD codes to be entered on the DD Form 214. It identifies the SPD code of "JKK" as the appropriate code to assign enlisted Soldiers who are discharged under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14, paragraph 12c(2), misconduct (drug abuse).
- **f.** Army Regulation 601-210 (Regular Army and Reserve Components Enlistment Program) governs eligibility criteria, policies, and procedures for enlistment and processing of persons into the Regular Army, the U.S. Army Reserve, and Army National Guard for enlistment per DoD Instructions 1304.26. It also prescribes the appointment, reassignment, management, and mobilization of Reserve Officers' Training Corps cadets under the Simultaneous Membership Program. Chapter 4 provides the criteria and procedures for waiverable and nonwaiverable separations. Table 3-1, defines reentry eligibility (RE) codes:
- (1) RE-1 Applies to: Person completing his or her term of active service who is considered qualified to reenter the U.S. Army. Eligibility: Qualified for enlistment if all other criteria are met.
- (2) RE-3 Applies to: Person who is not considered fully qualified for reentry or continuous service at time of separation, but disqualification is waiverable. Eligibility: Ineligible unless a waiver is granted.
- (3) RE-4 Applies to: Person separated from last period of service with a nonwaiverable disqualification. This includes anyone with a DA imposed bar to reenlistment in effect at time of separation or separated for any reason (except length of service retirement) with 18 or more years active Federal service. Eligibility: Ineligible for enlistment.
- **g.** Army Regulation 600-85 (Army Substance Abuse Program (ASAP)) dated 28 November 2016, provided a comprehensive alcohol and drug abuse prevention and control policies,

procedures, and responsibilities for Soldiers of all components. The ASAP is a command program that emphasizes readiness and personal responsibility. The ultimate decision regarding separation or retention of abusers is the responsibility of the Soldier's chain of command. Abuse of alcohol or the use of illicit drugs by military personnel is inconsistent with Army Values, the Warrior Ethos, and the standards of performance, discipline, and readiness necessary to accomplish the Army's mission.

- (1) Unit commanders must intervene early and refer all Soldiers suspected or identified as alcohol and/or drug abusers to the ASAP. The unit commander should recommend enrollment based on the Soldier's potential for continued military service in terms of professional skills, behavior, and potential for advancement.
- (2) ASAP participation is mandatory for all Soldiers who are command referred. Failure to attend a mandatory counseling session may constitute a violation of Article 86 (Absence Without Leave) of the UCMJ.
- (3) Alcohol and/or other drug abusers, and in some cases dependent alcohol users, may be enrolled in the ASAP when such enrollment is clinically recommended. Soldiers who fail to participate adequately in, or to respond successfully to, rehabilitation will be processed for administrative separation and not be provided another opportunity for rehabilitation except under the most extraordinary circumstances, as determined by the Clinical Director in consultation with the unit commander.
- (4) All Soldier who test positive for illicit drugs for the first time will be evaluated for dependency, disciplined, as appropriate, and processed for separation within 30 calendar days of the company commander receiving notification of the positive result from the ASAP. Retention should be reserved for Soldiers that show clear potential for both excellent future service in the Army and for remaining free from substance abuse. Soldiers diagnosed as drug dependent will be offered rehabilitation prior to separation.
- h. Manual for Courts-Martial, United States (2016 Edition) stated, military law consists of the statutes governing the military establishment and regulations issued thereunder, the constitutional powers of the President and regulations issued thereunder, and the inherent authority of military commanders. Military law includes jurisdiction exercised by courts-martial and the jurisdiction exercised by commanders with respect to nonjudicial punishment. The purpose of military law is to promote justice, to assist in maintaining good order and discipline in the Armed Forces. Appendix 12 (Maximum Punishment Chart) Manual for Courts-Martial shows the maximum punishments include punitive discharge for violating the following Article 112a (Wrongful Use, Possession, etc., of Controlled Substances).

8. SUMMARY OF FACT(S):

- **a.** The Army Discharge Review Board considers applications for upgrade as instructed by Department of Defense Instruction 1332.28.
- **b.** The applicant's Army Military Human Resource Record (AMHRR) reflects the applicant received nonjudicial punishment for wrongfully using oxymorphone and was involuntary separation from the service. The applicant's DD Form 214 indicates their discharge under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14, paragraph 14-12c(2), by reason of Misconduct (Drug Abuse), with a characterization of service of general (under honorable conditions). The applicant completed 2 years and 5 days of net active service this period; however, the applicant did not complete their 3-year, 23-week contractual enlistment obligation.

- **c.** Chapter 14 establishes policy and prescribes procedures for separation members for misconduct. Specific categories include minor disciplinary infractions, a pattern of misconduct, commission of a serious offense and convictions by civil authorities. Action will be taken to separate a member for misconduct when it is clearly established that rehabilitation is impracticable or is unlikely to succeed. A discharge under other than honorable conditions is normally appropriate for a Soldier discharged under this chapter. However, the separation authority may direct a general discharge if such is merited by the Soldier's overall record.
- **d.** Published Department of Defense guidance indicates that the guidance is not intended to interfere or impede on the Board's statutory independence. The Board will determine the relative weight of the action that led to the discharge and whether it supports relief or not. In reaching its determination, the Board shall consider the applicant's petition, available records and/or submitted documents in support of the petition.

9. BOARD DISCUSSION AND DETERMINATION:

- **a.** As directed by the 2017 memo signed by A.M. Kurta, the board considered the following factors:
- (1) Did the applicant have a condition or experience that may excuse or mitigate the discharge? **No.** The Board's Medical Advisor reviewed DoD and VA medical records and found the applicant had no mitigating behavioral health diagnoses. The applicant provided no documents or testimony of an in-service condition or experience, that, when applying liberal consideration, could have excused or mitigated a discharge.
 - (2) Did the condition exist, or experience occur during military service? N/A
 - (3) Does the condition or experience actually excuse or mitigate the discharge? N/A
 - (4) Does the condition or experience outweigh the discharge? N/A
 - **c.** Response to Contention(s):
- (1) The applicant contends their discharge was inequitable because it was based on one isolated incident with no other adverse actions. The Board determined that this contention was valid and voted to upgrade the characterization of service due to the applicant's one-time drug abuse offense and acceptance of responsibility.
- (2) The applicant contends an upgrade of discharge is requested to reflect true characterization of their service. The Board considered this contention during proceedings, but ultimately did not address the contention due to an upgrade being granted based on the applicant's one-time drug abuse offense and acceptance of responsibility.
- (3) The applicant contends their intentions has always been to further their education; however, they now find themselves limited by the level of their general (under honorable conditions) discharge that could inhibit their future. This type of discharge may inhibit their education, ability in securing clearances, passing certain background checks, and ultimately obtaining positions in career fields that would continue to support their family and community. The Board considered this contention during proceedings, but ultimately did not address the contention due to an upgrade being granted based on the applicant's one-time drug abuse offense and acceptance of responsibility.
 - d. The Board determined in a records review conducted on 7 February 2024, and

by a 5-0 vote, the discharge is inequitable based on the circumstances surrounding the applicant's discharge (one-time drug use). Therefore, the Board voted to grant relief in the form of an upgrade of the characterization of service to Honorable and changed to the separation authority to AR 635-200, paragraph 14-12a, the narrative reason for separation to Misconduct (Minor Infractions), with a corresponding separation code of JKN, and the reentry code to RE-3.

- e. Rationale for Decision:
- (1) The Board voted to change the applicant's characterization of service to Honorable because the acceptance of responsibility mitigated the applicant's misconduct of one-time drug abuse offense. Thus, the prior characterization is no longer appropriate.
- (2) The Board voted to change the reason for discharge to Misconduct (Minor Infractions) under the same pretexts, thus the reason for discharge is no longer appropriate. The SPD code associated with the new reason for discharge is JKN.
 - (3) The Board voted to change the RE code to RE-1.

10. BOARD ACTION DIRECTED:

a. Issue a New DD-214 / Separation Order: Yes

b. Change Characterization to: Honorable

c. Change Reason / SPD code to: Misconduct (Minor Infractions)/JKN

d. Change RE Code to: RE-3

e. Change Authority to: AR 635-200

Authenticating Official:



AMHRR – Army Military Human Resource Record BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge BH - Behavioral Health CG - Company Grade Article 15

CID - Criminal Investigation FLS - Entry Level Status

FG - Field Grade Article 15

GD - General Discharge

HS - High School HD - Honorable Discharge

IADT - Initial Active Duty Training MP – Military Police MST - Military Sexual Trauma

N/A - Not applicable NCO – Noncommissioned Officer NIF - Not in File

NOS - Not Otherwise Specified

OAD - Ordered to Active Duty OBH (I) - Other Behavioral Health (Issues) OMPF - Official Military

Personnel File PTSD - Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder

RE – Re-entry

SCM - Summary Court Martial SPCM - Special Court Martial

SPD - Separation Program Designator

TBI - Traumatic Brain Injury UNC - Uncharacterized Discharge

UOTHC - Under Other Than Honorable Conditions VA – Department of Veterans

Affairs