### 1. Applicant's Name:

a. Application Date: 20 January 2021

b. Date Received: 2 February 2021

c. Counsel: None

F

- 2. REQUEST, ISSUES, BOARD TYPE, AND DECISION:
- **a. Applicant's Requests and Issues:** The current characterization of service for the period under review is general (under honorable conditions). The applicant requests an upgrade of their characterization of service to honorable to be eligible for service benefits.
- **b. Board Type and Decision:** In a records review conducted on 27 March 2024, and by a 5-0 vote, the Board denied the request upon finding the separation was both proper and equitable.

#### 3. DISCHARGE DETAILS:

- a. Reason / Authority / Codes / Characterization: Pattern of Misconduct / Army Regulation 635-200, Paragraph 14-12b / JKA / RE-3 / General (Under Honorable Conditions)
  - b. Date of Discharge: 21 March 2012
  - c. Separation Facts:
    - (1) Date of Notification of Intent to Separate: NIF
    - (2) Basis for Separation: NIF
    - (3) Recommended Characterization: NIF
    - (4) Legal Consultation Date: NIF
    - (5) Administrative Separation Board: NA
- **(6) Separation Decision Date / Characterization:** 2 March 2012 / General (Under Honorable Conditions)

### 4. SERVICE DETAILS:

- a. Date / Period of Enlistment: 1 August 2008 / 5 Years
- b. Age at Enlistment / Education / GT Score: 24 / NIF / 95
- **c. Highest Grade Achieved / MOS / Total Service:** E-4 / 92F1O, Petroleum Supply Specialist / 5 years, 7 months, 16 days
  - d. Prior Service / Characterizations: None
  - e. Overseas Service / Combat Service: None

f. Awards and Decorations: AGCM, NDSM

g. Performance Ratings: NA

- h. Disciplinary Action(s) / Evidentiary Record:
- (1) A memorandum, Headquarters, 4th Sustainment Brigade, subject: Separation under Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14-12b, A Pattern of Misconduct, [Applicant], 2 March 2012, the separation authority having carefully considered the separation packet of the applicant, directed the applicant be separated from the Army prior to the expiration of current term of service, be discharged and furnished a General (Under Honorable Conditions) discharge.
  - (2) An Enlisted Record Brief, dated 7 March 2012, reflects
    - the applicant was advanced in rank/grade to specialist/E-4 on 1 November 2008 and reduced in rank/grade to private/E-1 on 10 February 2012
    - the applicant received a suspension of favorable actions (Flag) on 6 October 2011 for adverse actions
- (3) On 21 March 2012, the applicant was discharged accordingly, the DD Form 214(Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty) provides the applicant completed 5 years, 7 months, and 16 days of net active service this period and they completed their first full term of service. Item 13 (Remarks) does not reflect the applicant's Continuous Honorable Active Service 17 July 2006 through 31 July 2008. (Note: the applicant did not complete their reenlistment service obligation of 5 years).
  - i. Lost Time / Mode of Return: 23 August 2011 23 August 2011 / NIF

7 November 2011 – 8 November 2011 / NIF 16 November 2011 – 17 November 2011 / NIF 28 November 2011 – 29 November 2011 / NIF 16 December 2011 – 27 December 2011 / NIF

- j. Behavioral Health Condition(s): None
- 5. APPLICANT-PROVIDED EVIDENCE: None
- **6. Post Service Accomplishments:** None submitted with the application.
- 7. STATUTORY, REGULATORY AND POLICY REFERENCE(S):
- **a.** Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1553, (Review of Discharge or Dismissal) provides for the creation, composition, and scope of review conducted by a Discharge Review Board(s) within established governing standards. As amended by Sections 521 and 525 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020, Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1553 provides specific guidance to the Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards when considering discharge upgrade requests by Veterans claiming Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), sexual trauma, intimate partner violence (IPV), or spousal abuse, as a basis for discharge review. The amended guidance provides that Boards will include, as a voting board member, a physician trained in mental health disorders, a clinical psychologist, or a psychiatrist when the discharge upgrade claim asserts a mental health condition, including PTSD, TBI, sexual trauma, IPV, or spousal abuse, as a basis for the discharge. Further, the guidance provides that Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards will develop and provide specialized

training specific to sexual trauma, IPV, spousal abuse, as well as the various responses of individuals to trauma.

- **b.** Multiple Department of Defense (DoD) Policy Guidance Memoranda published between 2014 and 2018. The documents are commonly referred to by the signatory authorities' last names (2014 Secretary of Defense Guidance [Hagel memo], 2016 Acting Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Carson memo], 2017 Official Performing the Duties of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Kurta memo], and 2018 Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Wilkie memo].
- (1) Individually and collectively, these documents provide further clarification to the Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records when considering requests by Veterans for modification of their discharge due to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Liberal consideration will be given to Veterans petitioning for discharge relief when the application for relief is based in whole or in part on matters relating to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Special consideration will be given to Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) determinations that document a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment potentially contributed to the circumstances resulting in a less than honorable discharge characterization. Special consideration will also be given in cases where a civilian provider confers diagnoses of a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment if the case records contain narratives supporting symptomatology at the time of service or when any other evidence which may reasonably indicate that a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment existed at the time of discharge might have mitigated the misconduct that caused a discharge of lesser characterization.
- (2) Conditions documented in the service record that can reasonably be determined to have existed at the time of discharge will be considered to have existed at the time of discharge. In cases in which a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment may be reasonably determined to have existed at the time of discharge, those conditions will be considered potential mitigating factors in the misconduct that caused the characterization of service in question. All Boards will exercise caution in weighing evidence of mitigation in cases in which serious misconduct precipitated a discharge with a less than Honorable characterization of service. Potentially mitigating evidence of the existence of undiagnosed combat related PTSD, PTSD-related conditions due to TBI or sexual assault/harassment as causative factors in the misconduct resulting in discharge will be carefully weighed against the severity of the misconduct. PTSD is not a likely cause of premeditated misconduct. Caution shall be exercised in weighing evidence of mitigation in all cases of misconduct by carefully considering the likely causal relationship of symptoms to the misconduct.
- **c.** Army Regulation 15-180 (Army Discharge Review Board) sets forth the policies and procedures under which the Army Discharge Review Board is authorized to review the character, reason, and authority of any Servicemember discharged from active military service within 15 years of the Servicemember's date of discharge. Additionally, it prescribes actions and composition of the Army Discharge Review Board under Public Law 95-126; Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1553; and DoD Directive 1332.41 and Instruction 1332.28.
- **d.** Army Regulation 635-200 (Active Duty Enlisted Administrative Separations), dated 6 September 2011, set policies, standards, and procedures to ensure the readiness and competency of the force while providing for the orderly administrative separation of Soldiers for a variety of reasons. Readiness is promoted by maintaining high standards of conduct and performance.

- (1) An Honorable discharge is a separation with honor and is appropriate when the quality of the Soldier's service generally has met the standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty for Army personnel or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.
- **(2)** A General discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions and is issued to a Soldier whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.
- (3) A Under Other Than Honorable Conditions Discharge is an administrative separation from the Service under conditions other than honorable. It may be issued for misconduct, fraudulent entry, security reasons, or in lieu of trial by court-martial.
- (4) Chapter 1 (General Provisions) sets policies, standards, and procedures to ensure readiness and competency of the force while providing for the orderly administrative separation of Soldiers, it provides in pertinent part:
- (a) When a separation is ordered, the approved proceedings will be sent to the commander who has the Soldier's records for separation processing. The original copy of the proceedings will be filed in the permanent part of the Soldiers official personnel record.
- **(b)** Army leaders at all levels must be continually aware of their obligation to provide purpose, direction, and motivation to Soldiers. It is essential that Soldiers who falter, but have the potential to serve honorably and well, be given every opportunity to succeed. Except as otherwise indicated, commanders must make maximum use of counseling and rehabilitation before determining that a Soldier has no potential for further useful service and ensure it occurs prior to initiating separation proceedings for reason to include Minor Disciplinary Infractions (14-12a) or a Pattern of Misconduct (14-12b).
- (5) Chapter 14 (Separation for Misconduct) established policy and prescribed procedures for separating members for misconduct. Action will be taken to separate a member for misconduct when it is clearly established that rehabilitation is impractical or unlikely to succeed. Paragraph 14-12b (Pattern of Misconduct), stated, a pattern of misconduct consisting of one of the following discreditable involvement with civil or military authorities, or discreditable conduct and conduct prejudicial to good order and discipline including conduct violating the accepted standards of personal conduct found in the UCMJ, Army regulations, the civil law, and time-honored customs and traditions of the Army.
- **(6)** Paragraph 14-3 (Characterization of Service or Description of Separation) prescribed a discharge under other than honorable conditions is normally appropriate for a Soldier discharged under this chapter. However, the separation authority may direct a general discharge if such is merited by the Soldier's overall record.
- (7) Chapter 15 (Secretarial Plenary Authority), currently in effect, provides explicitly for separation under the prerogative of the Secretary of the Army. Secretarial plenary separation authority is exercised sparingly and seldom delegated. Ordinarily, it is used when no other provision of this regulation applies, and early separation is clearly in the Army's best interest. Separations under this paragraph are effective only if approved in writing by the Secretary of the Army or the Secretary's approved designee as announced in updated memoranda. Secretarial separation authority is normally exercised on a case-by-case basis.
- **e**. Army Regulation 635-5-1 (Separation Program Designator (SPD) Codes) provides the specific authorities (regulatory or directive), reasons for separating Soldiers from active duty,

and the SPD codes to be entered on the DD Form 214. It identifies the SPD code of "JKA" as the appropriate code to assign enlisted Soldiers who are discharged under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14, paragraph 12b, (Pattern of Misconduct).

- **f.** Army Regulation 601-210 (Regular Army and Reserve Components Enlistment Program) governs eligibility criteria, policies, and procedures for enlistment and processing of persons into the Regular Army, the U.S. Army Reserve, and Army National Guard for enlistment per DoD Instructions 1304.26. It also prescribes the appointment, reassignment, management, and mobilization of Reserve Officers' Training Corps cadets under the Simultaneous Membership Program. Chapter 4 provides the criteria and procedures for waiverable and nonwaiverable separations. Table 3-1, defines reentry eligibility (RE) codes:
- (1) RE-1 Applies to: Person completing his or her term of active service who is considered qualified to reenter the U.S. Army. Eligibility: Qualified for enlistment if all other criteria are met.
- **(2)** RE-3 Applies to: Person who is not considered fully qualified for reentry or continuous service at time of separation, but disqualification is waiverable. Eligibility: Ineligible unless a waiver is granted.
- (3) RE-4 Applies to: Person separated from last period of service with a nonwaiverable disqualification. This includes anyone with a DA imposed bar to reenlistment in effect at time of separation or separated for any reason (except length of service retirement) with 18 or more years active Federal service. Eligibility: Ineligible for enlistment.
- **g.** Army Regulation 635-8 (Separation Processing and Documents) prescribes policy and procedures regarding separation documents, it states in the preparation of the DD Form 214 for soldiers who have previously reenlisted without being issued a DD Form 214 and are separated with any characterization of service except "Honorable," enter in item 18 (Remarks) "Continuous Honorable Active Service From (first day of service which DD Form 214 was not issued) until (date before commencement of current enlistment).

### 8. SUMMARY OF FACT(S):

- **a.** The Army Discharge Review Board considers applications for upgrade as instructed by Department of Defense Instruction 1332.28.
- **b.** A review of the available evidence provides an administrative irregularity in the proper retention of records, specifically the applicant's AMHRR case files for approved separation only contains the separation authority memorandum. The AMHRR does not reflect the applicant's adverse documents leading to their discharge for a pattern of misconduct. The DD Form 214, unsigned by the applicant, provides the applicant was discharged with a character of service of General (Under Honorable Conditions) for pattern of misconduct. They completed 5 years, 7 months, and 16 days of net active service this period; however, the applicant did not complete their contractual reenlistment obligation of 5 years.
- **c.** Chapter 14 establishes policy and prescribes procedures for separation members for misconduct. Specific categories include minor disciplinary infractions, a pattern of misconduct, commission of a serious offense and convictions by civil authorities. Action will be taken to separate a member for misconduct when it is clearly established that rehabilitation is impracticable or is unlikely to succeed. A discharge under other than honorable conditions is normally appropriate for a Soldier discharged under this chapter. However, the separation authority may direct a general discharge if such is merited by the Soldier's overall record.

- **d.** The applicant's AMHRR nor did the applicant provide any documentation of a diagnosis of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder or Other Mental Health diagnoses during the applicant's military service.
- **e.** Published Department of Defense guidance indicates that the guidance is not intended to interfere or impede on the Board's statutory independence. The Board will determine the relative weight of the action that led to the discharge and whether it supports relief or not. In reaching its determination, the Board shall consider the applicant's petition, available records and/or submitted documents in support of the petition.

#### 9. BOARD DISCUSSION AND DETERMINATION:

- **a.** As directed by the 2017 memo signed by A.M. Kurta, the board considered the following factors:
- (1) Did the applicant have a condition or experience that may excuse or mitigate the discharge? **Yes**. The Board's Medical Advisor, a voting member, reviewed the applicant's DOD and VA health records, applicant's statement, and/or civilian provider documentation and found that the applicant has the following potentially-mitigating diagnoses/experiences: Dysthymic Disorder; Depression with Anxiety; Major Depressive DO (MDD-70%SC).
- (2) Did the condition exist, or experience occur during military service? **Yes.** The Board's Medical Advisor found the diagnoses of Dysthymic Disorder; Depression with Anxiety were made during active service. VA service connection for MDD establishes it began or occurred during service.
- (3) Does the condition or experience actually excuse or mitigate the discharge? N/A The Board's Medical Advisor applied liberal consideration and opined that as the basis for separation is not known, the writer cannot make any decision regarding medical mitigation under liberal consideration. If, however, the basis was drug/alcohol use and AWOL, these offenses would be mitigated under liberal consideration given the relationship between MDD, self-medication and avoidant behaviors.
- (4) Does the condition or experience outweigh the discharge? N/A Based on liberally considering all the evidence before the Board, the ADRB determined that the determination cannot be made as the basis of separation is unknown.

#### **b.** Prior Decisions Cited:

- **c.** Response to Contention: The applicant contends they request an upgrade of their characterization of service to honorable to be eligible for service benefits. The Board considered this contention and determined that eligibility for Veteran's benefits, to include educational benefits under the Post-9/11 or Montgomery GI Bill, healthcare, or VA loans, do not fall within the purview of the Army Discharge Review Board. Accordingly, the applicant should contact a local office of the Department of Veterans Affairs for further assistance.
- **d.** The Board determined that the discharge is, at this time, proper and equitable, in light of the current evidence of record. However, the applicant may request a personal appearance hearing to address the issues before the Board. The applicant is responsible for satisfying the burden of proof and providing documents or other evidence sufficient to support the applicant's contention(s) that the discharge was improper or inequitable.

#### e. Rationale for Decision:

- (1) The Board voted not to change the applicant's characterization of service because, the Board's Medical Advisor applied liberal consideration and opined that as the basis for separation is not known, the writer cannot make any decision regarding medical mitigation under liberal consideration. If, however, the basis was drug/alcohol use and AWOL, these offenses would be mitigated under liberal consideration given the relationship between MDD, self-medication and avoidant behaviors. The Board carefully considered the applicant's request, supporting documents, evidence in the records, a medical review, and published Department of Defense guidance for liberal consideration of discharge upgrade requests. The Board considered the applicant's statement, record of service, the frequency and nature of misconduct, and the reason for separation. The Board concurred with the conclusion of the medical advisor that because the basis for separation is not known, no decision regarding medical mitigation can be made. Additionally, all Board Members concurred that there is not enough evidence in the file to make a determination for upgrade and therefore, recommend a Personal Appearance. Board members also expressed concerns that the applicant was charged for child and spousal abuse, and he did not complete the required parenting classes and training. All of these questions can be answered at a personal appearance.
- (2) The Board voted not to change the applicant's reason for discharge or accompanying SPD code under the same pretexts, as the reason the applicant was discharged was both proper and equitable.
- (3) The RE code will not change, as the current code is consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the regulation.

#### 10. BOARD ACTION DIRECTED:

a. Issue a New DD-214 / Separation Order: No

**b.** Change Characterization to: No change

c. Change Reason / SPD code to: No change

d. Change RE Code to: No change

e. Change Authority to: No change

### **Authenticating Official:**

4/3/2024



Presiding Officer, COL, U.S. ARMY Army Discharge Review Board

AWOL – Absent Without Leave AMHRR – Army Military Human Resource Record BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge BH - Behavioral Health CG – Company Grade Article 15 CID – Criminal Investigation Division

ELS - Entry Level Status

FG - Field Grade Article 15

GD - General Discharge HS - High School

HD – Honorable Discharge IADT – Initial Active Duty Training MP – Military Police

MST - Military Sexual Trauma

N/A - Not applicable NCO - Noncommissioned Officer NIF - Not in File NOS - Not Otherwise Specified OAD - Ordered to Active Duty

OBH (I) – Other Behavioral Health (Issues)

OMPF - Official Military Personnel File PTSD - Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder RE – Re-entry

SCM – Summary Court Martial SPCM – Special Court Martial

SPD – Separation Program Designator TBI – Traumatic Brain Injury UNC – Uncharacterized Discharge

UOTHC – Under Other Than Honorable Conditions VA – Department of Veterans Affairs