

**1. Applicant's Name:** [REDACTED]**a. Application Date:** 26 May 2021**b. Date Received:** 2 June 2021**c. Counsel:** None.**2. REQUEST, ISSUES, BOARD TYPE, AND DECISION:**

**a. Applicant's Requests and Issues:** The current characterization of service for the period under review is under honorable conditions (general). The applicant requests an upgrade to honorable and a narrative reason change.

The applicant states in effect, despite what happened or the cause for their discharge, they served their time overseas which makes them a veteran. They believe that the medical evidence from the Army doctors stating PTSD is justification that their discharge should be upgraded. They were advised by their Army doctor to see a psychiatrist prior/post separation, after separation they were evaluated. The misbehavior and actions by them were caused by their PTSD. The Army doctors telling them to see a psychiatrist, shows that the Army doctor knew something was going on in their head during their military service. They believe if they would have received a referral to see a psychiatrist while they were still active duty, they could have finished their military career. The conditions were not cited on their entrance examinations, they believe if the conditions were noted prior to entering active duty they Army would not have accepted them.

**b. Board Type and Decision:** In a records review conducted on 9 April 2025, and by a 5-0 vote, the board, determined the discharge is inequitable. This decision was based on the applicant's in-service factors (length, quality, combat) and diagnosis of Adjustment Disorder, which served as a mitigating factor in the applicant's DUI charge. Post-service, the applicant was recognized as having PTSD, along with secondary diagnoses of Persistent Mood Disorder, Psychotic Disorder, and Alcohol Dependence. As a result, the board granted relief by upgrading the characterization of service to Honorable, modifying the separation authority to AR 635-200, paragraph 14-12a, and revising the narrative reason for separation to Misconduct (Minor Infractions), with an updated separation code of JKN. Please see Section 9 of this document for more detail regarding the Board's decision.

*(Board member names available upon request)*

**3. DISCHARGE DETAILS:**

**a. Reason / Authority / Codes / Characterization:** Misconduct (Serious Offense) / AR 635-200 / JKQ / RE-3 / Under Honorable Conditions (General).

**b. Date of Discharge:** 25 May 2018**c. Separation Facts:**

**(1) Date of Notification of Intent to Separate:** 20 April 2018

**(2) Basis for Separation:** On 31 March 2018 the applicant operated a motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol and refused to provide a breath sample in violation of Alaska state law.

**(3) Recommended Characterization:** General, under honorable conditions.

**(4) Legal Consultation Date:** Waived, 23 April 2018

**(5) Administrative Separation Board:** N/A

**(6) Separation Decision Date / Characterization:** 27 April 2018 / GD

**4. SERVICE DETAILS:**

**a. Date / Period of Enlistment:** 4 August 2015 / NIF

**b. Age at Enlistment / Education / GT Score:** 18 / NIF / 105

**c. Highest Grade Achieved / MOS / Total Service:** E-4 (Specialist) / 11B10 Infantryman / 4 years, 11 months, 15 days.

**d. Prior Service / Characterizations:** RA; 20130611 – 20150803 / Concurrent Service

**e. Overseas Service / Combat Service:** Alaska, Kuwait / Iraq; 20160815 – 20170124

**f. Awards and Decorations:** AAM-2, AGCM, NDSM, GWTEM, GWTSM, ASR, IRCM-CS

**g. Performance Ratings:** N/A

**h. Disciplinary Action(s) / Evidentiary Record:**

**(1)** A Developmental Counseling Form dated 23 March 2018 provides the applicant failed to report to first formation five times since 6 March 2018.

**(2)** A DD Form 2708 indicates the applicant was under custody on 31 March 2018. Offenses/Charges: Drunken or reckless operation of a vehicle, refusal to submit to chemical test, and failure to obey a general order.

**(3)** A Developmental Counseling Form dated 31 March 2018 provides the applicant was stopped at an ACP (Access Control Point) the ACP guard smelled alcohol and contacted the military police. They applicant failed a field sobriety test and refused to consent to a portable breathalyzer test, they stated they wanted to have their blood drawn at their own expense.

**(4)** On 20 April 2018 the applicant's immediate commander notified them of their intent to separate them for commission of a serious offense. The commander recommended a general, under honorable characterization of service. The applicant acknowledged the commander's notification and basis for separation, they waived consulting with counseling and completed their election of rights.

**(5)** On 23 April 2020 the chain of command endorsed and concurred with the commander's discharge recommendations and on 27 April 2020 the appropriate authority approved the separation and directed a General, under honorable conditions characterization of service.

**(6)** An Enlisted Record Brief (ERB) indicates that the applicant received a rank reduction to E-1 on 14 May 2018.

i. **Lost Time / Mode of Return:** None

j. **Behavioral Health Condition(s):** PTSD, other mental health.

(1) **Applicant provided:** A Department of Veteran Affairs Rating Decision that indicates the applicant is diagnosed with PTSD.

(2) **AMHRR Listed:** Adjustment disorder with mixed anxiety and depressed mood.

5. **APPLICANT-PROVIDED EVIDENCE:** DD Form 293 (Record Review) application, DD Form 214, and a Rating Decision document in support of their application.

6. **POST SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** None submitted in support of their application.

7. **STATUTORY, REGULATORY AND POLICY REFERENCE(S):**

a. Section 1553, Title 10, United States Code (Review of Discharge or Dismissal) provides for the creation, composition, and scope of review conducted by a Discharge Review Board(s) within established governing standards. As amended by Sections 521 and 525 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020, 10 USC 1553 provides specific guidance to the Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards when considering discharge upgrade requests by Veterans claiming Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), sexual trauma, intimate partner violence (IPV), or spousal abuse, as a basis for discharge review. The amended guidance provides that Boards will include, as a voting board member, a physician trained in mental health disorders, a clinical psychologist, or a psychiatrist when the discharge upgrade claim asserts a mental health condition, including PTSD, TBI, sexual trauma, IPV, or spousal abuse, as a basis for the discharge. Further, the guidance provides that Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards will develop and provide specialized training specific to sexual trauma, IPV, spousal abuse, as well as the various responses of individuals to trauma.

b. Multiple Department of Defense Policy Guidance Memoranda published between 2014 and 2018. The documents are commonly referred to by the signatory authorities' last names (2014 Secretary of Defense Guidance [Hagel memo], 2016 Acting Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Carson memo], 2017 Official Performing the Duties of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Kurta memo], and 2018 Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Wilkie memo].

(1) Individually and collectively, these documents provide further clarification to the Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records when considering requests by Veterans for modification of their discharge due to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Liberal consideration will be given to Veterans petitioning for discharge relief when the application for relief is based in whole or in part on matters relating to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Special consideration will be given to Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) determinations that document a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment potentially contributed to the circumstances resulting in a less than honorable discharge characterization. Special consideration will also be given in cases where a civilian provider confers diagnoses of a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment if the case records contain narratives supporting symptomatology at the time of service or when any other evidence which may reasonably indicate that a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment existed at the time of discharge might have mitigated the misconduct that caused a discharge of lesser characterization.

(2) Conditions documented in the service record that can reasonably be determined to have existed at the time of discharge will be considered to have existed at the time of discharge. In cases in which a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment may be reasonably determined to have existed at the time of discharge, those conditions will be considered potential mitigating factors in the misconduct that caused the characterization of service in question. All Boards will exercise caution in weighing evidence of mitigation in cases in which serious misconduct precipitated a discharge with a less than Honorable characterization of service. Potentially mitigating evidence of the existence of undiagnosed combat related PTSD, PTSD-related conditions due to TBI or sexual assault/harassment as causative factors in the misconduct resulting in discharge will be carefully weighed against the severity of the misconduct. PTSD is not a likely cause of premeditated misconduct. Caution shall be exercised in weighing evidence of mitigation in all cases of misconduct by carefully considering the likely causal relationship of symptoms to the misconduct.

c. Army Regulation 15-180 (Army Discharge Review Board), dated 25 September 2019, sets forth the policies and procedures under which the Army Discharge Review Board is authorized to review the character, reason, and authority of any Servicemember discharged from active military service within 15 years of the Servicemember's date of discharge. Additionally, it prescribes actions and composition of the Army Discharge Review Board under Public Law 95-126; Section 1553, Title 10 United States Code; and Department of Defense Directive 1332.41 and Instruction 1332.28.

d. Army Regulation 635-200 provides the basic authority for the separation of enlisted personnel provides the authorized types of characterization of service or description of separation.

(1) An Honorable discharge is a separation with honor and is appropriate when the quality of the Soldier's service generally has met the standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty for Army personnel or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.

(2) A General discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions and is issued to a Soldier whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.

(3) An Under other-than-honorable-conditions discharge is an administrative separation from the Service under conditions other than honorable and it may be issued for misconduct, fraudulent entry, security reasons, or in lieu of trial by court martial based on certain circumstances or patterns of behavior or acts or omissions that constitute a significant departure from the conduct expected of Soldiers in the Army.

(4) Except as otherwise indicated in this regulation, commanders must make maximum use of counseling and rehabilitation before determining that a Soldier has no potential for further useful service and, therefore, should be separated. In this regard, commanders will ensure that adequate counseling and rehabilitative measures are taken before initiating separation proceedings for the following reasons. Rehabilitative requirements are not required for individuals separated under Chapter 14-12c.

- Involuntary separation due to parenthood
- Personality disorder
- Other designated physical or mental conditions
- Entry-level performance and conduct
- Unsatisfactory performance

- Minor disciplinary infractions or a pattern of misconduct
- Failure to meet body fat standards.

**(5)** Chapter 14 establishes policy and prescribes procedures for separating members for misconduct. Specific categories include minor disciplinary infractions, a pattern of misconduct, and commission of a serious offense, to include abuse of illegal drugs, convictions by civil authorities and desertion or being absent without leave. Action will be taken to separate a member for misconduct when it is clearly established that rehabilitation is impractical or unlikely to succeed. A discharge under other than honorable conditions is normally appropriate for a Soldier discharged under this chapter. However, the separation authority may direct a general discharge if such is merited by the Soldier's overall record. A soldier subject to this discharge under this regulation will be considered and processed for discharge even though he/she has filed an appeal or has stated his/her intention to do so. Paragraph 14-12c, states a Soldier is subject to action per this section for commission of a serious military or civilian offense, if the specific circumstances of the offense warrant separation and a punitive discharge is, or would be, authorized for the same or a closely related offense under the Manual for Courts-Martial.

**(6)** Chapter 15 provides explicitly for separation under the prerogative of the Secretary of the Army. Secretarial plenary separation authority is exercised sparingly and seldom delegated. Ordinarily, it is used when no other provision of this regulation applies, and early separation is clearly in the Army's best interest. Separations under this paragraph are effective only if approved in writing by the Secretary of the Army or the Secretary's approved designee as announced in updated memoranda. Secretarial separation authority is normally exercised on a case-by-case basis.

**e.** Army Regulation 600-85 (Army Substance Abuse Program (ASAP)) governs the program and identifies Army policy on alcohol and other drug abuse, and responsibilities. The ASAP is a command program that emphasizes readiness and personal responsibility. It provides the ultimate decision regarding separation or retention of abusers is the responsibility of the Soldier's chain of command. Abuse of alcohol or the use of illicit drugs by military personnel is inconsistent with Army values and the standards of performance, discipline, and readiness necessary to accomplish the Army's missions. Individuals who do not self-refer for treatment and are subsequently identified as positive for controlled substances for which they do not have a valid prescription may be considered in violation of the UCMJ for drug misuse/abuse.

**f.** Army Regulation 635-5-1 (Separation Program Designator (SPD) Codes) provides the specific authorities (regulatory or directive), reasons for separating Soldiers from active duty, and the SPD codes to be entered on the DD Form 214. It identifies the SPD code of "JKQ" as the appropriate code to assign enlisted Soldiers who are discharged under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14, paragraph 12c, misconduct (serious offense).

**g.** Title 38, U.S. Code, Sections 1110 and 1131, permits the VA to award compensation for a medical condition which was incurred in or aggravated by active military service. The VA, however, is not required by law to determine medical unfitness for further military service. The VA, in accordance with its own policies and regulations, awards compensation solely on the basis that a medical condition exists and that said medical condition reduces or impairs the social or industrial adaptability of the individual concerned. Consequently, due to the two concepts involved, an individual's medical condition, although not considered medically unfitting for military service at the time of processing for separation, discharge, or retirement, may be sufficient to qualify the individual for VA benefits based on an evaluation by the agency.

**h.** Army Regulation 601-210, Regular Army, and Reserve Components Enlistment Program, governs eligibility criteria, policies, and procedures for enlistment and processing of persons into the Regular Army, the U.S. Army Reserve, and Army National Guard for enlistment per DODI 1304.26. It also prescribes the appointment, reassignment, management, and mobilization of Reserve Officers' Training Corps cadets under the Simultaneous Membership Program. Chapter 4 provides the criteria and procedures for waiverable and nonwaiverable separations. Table 3-1, defines reentry eligibility (RE) codes:

- RE-1 Applies to: Person completing his or her term of active service who is considered qualified to reenter the U.S. Army. Eligibility: Qualified for enlistment if all other criteria are met
- RE-3 Applies to: Person who is not considered fully qualified for reentry or continuous service at time of separation, but disqualification is waiverable. Eligibility: Ineligible unless a waiver is granted
- RE-4 Applies to: Person separated from last period of service with a nonwaiverable disqualification. This includes anyone with a DA imposed bar to reenlistment in effect at time of separation or separated for any reason (except length of service retirement) with 18 or more years active Federal service. Eligibility: Ineligible for enlistment

**8. SUMMARY OF FACT(S):** The Army Discharge Review Board considers applications for upgrade as instructed by Department of Defense Instruction 1332.28.

**a.** The applicant requests an upgrade to honorable. The applicant's DD-214 provides that the applicant received a General (under honorable conditions) characterization of service, rather than an under other than honorable conditions (UOTCH) discharge which is normally considered appropriate for a soldier discharged for serious misconduct.

**b.** Based on the available evidence the applicant enlisted in the army at the age of 18, they advanced to rank of Specialist, reenlisted, and deployed to Iraq. One year after they returned from Iraq, they failed to report to morning formation five times in a 17 day period, additionally they failed a field sobriety test and were apprehended after they refused to submit to a breathalyzer. The applicant was processed for administrative separation; they received a rank reduction 11 days before they were discharged.

**c.** The applicant was notified of the Intent to separate them for using spice, A properly constituted DD Form 214, authenticated by the applicant's signature indicates they were discharged under the provisions of AR 635-200, CH 14-12c, by reason of misconduct (Serious Offense) with a general, under honorable conditions characterization of service on 25 May 2018.

**d.** Chapter 14 establishes policy and prescribes procedures for members being separated for misconduct. Specific categories include minor disciplinary infractions, a pattern of misconduct, commission of a serious offense and convictions by civil authorities. Action will be taken to separate a member for misconduct when it is clearly established that rehabilitation is impracticable or is unlikely to succeed. A discharge under other than honorable conditions is normally appropriate for a soldier discharged under this chapter. However, the separation authority may direct a general discharge if such is merited by the soldier's overall record.

**e.** Published Department of Defense guidance indicates that the guidance is not intended to interfere or impede on the Board's statutory independence. The Board will determine the

relative weight of the action that led to the discharge and whether it supports relief or not. In reaching its determination, the Board shall consider the applicant's petition, available records and/or submitted documents in support of the petition.

#### **9. BOARD DISCUSSION AND DETERMINATION:**

**a.** As directed by the 2017 memo signed by A.M. Kurta, the board considered the following factors:

**(1)** Did the applicant have a condition or experience that may excuse or mitigate the discharge? **Yes.** The Board's Medical Advisor, a voting member, reviewed the applicant's DOD and VA health records, applicant's statement, and/or civilian provider documentation and found that the applicant has the following potentially mitigating diagnoses: In-service diagnosed with variations of Adjustment Disorder, this advisor believes he had a Depressive Disorder likely complicated by symptoms secondary to pre-enlistment trauma. Post-service, PTSD and secondary Persistent Mood Disorder, Psychotic Disorder, and Alcohol Dependence.

**(2)** Did the condition exist, or experience occur during military service? **Yes.** In-service diagnosed with variations of Adjustment Disorder; this advisor believes he had a Depressive Disorder likely complicated by symptoms secondary to pre-enlistment trauma.

**(3)** Does the condition or experience actually excuse or mitigate the discharge? **Yes.** The Board's Medical Advisor applied liberal consideration and opined that given the severity of his mood symptoms in-service, nexus between significant mood symptoms and substance use, and understanding that although the applicant may acknowledge some choices at the end of service were conscious, they were still driven by his distress leading to desperation in the face of behavioral health declining an appropriate discharge.

**(4)** Does the condition or experience outweigh the discharge? **Yes.** The board concurred with the opinion of the Board's Medical Advisor, a voting member. As a result, the ADRB applied liberal consideration and found that the applicant's in-service factors (length, quality, combat) and Adjustment Disorder, which served as a mitigating factor that outweigh the DUI charge. Post-service, the applicant was recognized as having PTSD, along with secondary diagnoses of Persistent Mood Disorder, Psychotic Disorder, and Alcohol Dependence.

**b.** Prior Decisions Cited: None

**c.** Response to Contention(s): The applicant contends their misbehavior, and actions were caused by their PTSD. The board considered this contention and based on the applicant's medical diagnosis. The board considered this contention and based on the applicant's medical diagnosis outlined above in Paragraph 9a (1-4) of this document the board voted to grant relief in the form of an upgrade of the characterization of service to honorable and changed the separation authority to AR 635-200, paragraph 14-12a, the narrative reason for separation to Misconduct (Minor Infractions), with a corresponding separation code of JKN.

**d.** The board determined the discharge is inequitable based on the applicant's in-service factors (length, quality, combat) and Adjustment Disorder, which served as a mitigating factor that outweigh the DUI charge. Post-service, the applicant was recognized as having PTSD, along with secondary diagnoses of Persistent Mood Disorder, Psychotic Disorder, and Alcohol Dependence. Therefore, the board voted to grant relief in the form of an upgrade of the characterization of service to honorable and changed the separation authority to AR 635-200, paragraph 14-12a, the narrative reason for separation to Misconduct (Minor Infractions), with a

**ARMY DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD CASE REPORT AND DIRECTIVE****AR20210014727**

corresponding separation code of JKN. Based on the applicant's medical diagnosis the Board determined the reentry code was proper and equitable and voted not to change it.

**e. Rationale for Decision:**

(1) The board voted to change the applicant's characterization of service to honorable because applicant's BH condition outlined above in paragraph 9a (1-4) of this document mitigate the applicant's misconduct (DUI and refused to provide a breath sample). Thus, the prior characterization is no longer appropriate.

(2) The board voted to change the reason for discharge to Misconduct (Minor Infractions) under the same pretexts, thus the reason for discharge is no longer appropriate. The SPD code associated with the new reason for discharge is JKN.


(3) The RE code will not change, as the current code is consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the regulation.

**10. BOARD ACTION DIRECTED:**

- a. Issue a New DD-214: Yes**
- b. Change Characterization to: Honorable**
- c. Change Reason / SPD code to: Misconduct (Minor Infractions)/JKN**
- d. Change RE Code to: No Change**
- e. Change Authority to: AR 635-200, paragraph 14-12a**

**Authenticating Official:**

7/29/2025



**Legend:**

AWOL – Absent Without Leave  
AMHRR – Army Military Human  
Resource Record  
BCD – Bad Conduct Discharge  
BH – Behavioral Health  
CG – Company Grade Article 15  
CID – Criminal Investigation  
Division  
ELS – Entry Level Status  
FG – Field Grade Article 15

GD – General Discharge  
HS – High School  
HD – Honorable Discharge  
IADT – Initial Active Duty Training  
MP – Military Police  
MST – Military Sexual Trauma  
N/A – Not applicable  
NCO – Noncommissioned Officer  
NIF – Not in File  
NOS – Not Otherwise Specified

OAD – Ordered to Active Duty  
OBH (I) – Other Behavioral  
Health (Issues)  
OMPF – Official Military  
Personnel File  
PTSD – Post-Traumatic Stress  
Disorder  
RE – Re-entry  
SCM – Summary Court Martial  
SPCM – Special Court Martial

SPD – Separation Program  
Designator  
TBI – Traumatic Brain Injury  
UNC – Uncharacterized  
Discharge  
UOTHC – Under Other Than  
Honorable Conditions  
VA – Department of Veterans  
Affairs