

1. Applicant's Name: [REDACTED]

- a. Application Date: 27 August 2021
- b. Date Received: 30 August 2021
- c. Counsel: None

2. REQUEST, ISSUES, BOARD TYPE, AND DECISION:

a. **Applicant Requests:** The current characterization of service for the period under review is general (under honorable conditions). The applicant requests an upgrade to honorable.

b. **Applicant Contention(s)/Issue(s):** The applicant requests relief contending, in effect, the applicant made a mistake due to personal issues which caused a lapse in judgement, but the overall service was honorable.

c. **Board Type and Decision:** In a records review conducted on 04 June 2025, and by a 3-2 vote, the Board determined the discharge is inequitable based on the applicant's age at the time of the misconduct, length of service and acceptance of responsibility, mitigates the misconduct and warrants an upgrade. Therefore, the Board voted to grant relief in the form of an upgrade of the characterization of service to Honorable and changed the separation authority to AR 635-200, paragraph 14- 12a, the narrative reason for separation to Misconduct (Minor Infractions), with a corresponding separation code of JKN. The Board determined the reentry code is proper and equitable and voted not to change it. Please see **Board Discussion and Determination** section for more detail regarding the Board's decision. Board member names are available upon request.

3. DISCHARGE DETAILS:

a. **Reason / Authority / Codes / Characterization:** Misconduct (Serious Offense) / AR 635-200, Paragraph 14-12c / JKQ / RE-3 / General (Under Honorable Conditions)

b. **Date of Discharge:** 10 August 2020

c. **Separation Facts:**

(1) **Date of Notification of Intent to Separate:** 7 July 2020

(2) **Basis for Separation:** The applicant was informed of the following reasons: On or about 14 June 2020, at or near Manhattan, Kansas, the applicant was driving under the influence of alcohol.

(3) **Recommended Characterization:** General (Under Honorable Conditions)

(4) **Legal Consultation Date:** The applicant waived the opportunity to consult with counsel on 14 July 202.

(5) **Administrative Separation Board:** NA

(6) **Separation Decision Date / Characterization:** 27 July 2020

4. SERVICE DETAILS:

- a. **Date / Period of Enlistment:** 2 October 2017 / 3 years, 19 weeks
- b. **Age at Enlistment / Education / GT Score:** 18 / HS Graduate / 96
- c. **Highest Grade Achieved / MOS / Total Service:** E-4 / 888M10, Motor Transport Operator / 2 years, 10 months, 9 days
- d. **Prior Service / Characterizations:** None
- e. **Overseas Service / Combat Service:** None
- f. **Awards and Decorations:** NDSM
- g. **Performance Ratings:** NA
- h. **Disciplinary Action(s) / Evidentiary Record:**

(1) General Officer Memorandum of Reprimand (GOMOR), 17 June 2020, reflects the applicant was reprimanded for driving under the influence of alcohol while being under a "Limited Movement Order."

(2) Company Grade Article 15, 25 June 2020, reflects the applicant failed to obey a lawful order by failing to follow the training plan. The punishment consisted of reduction to private first class/E-3; forfeiture of \$506 pay, suspended, to be automatically remitted if not vacated on or before 22 December 2020; and restriction for 14 days.

- i. **Lost Time / Mode of Return:** None

j. **Behavioral Health Condition(s):** The following documents have been provided to the ARBA Medical Advisor, if applicable. See **"Board Discussion and Determination"** for Medical Advisor Details.

- (1) **Applicant provided:** None
- (2) **AMHRR provided:** None

5. APPLICANT-PROVIDED EVIDENCE: DD Form 293

6. POST SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS: None submitted with the application.

7. STATUTORY, REGULATORY AND POLICY REFERENCE(S):

- a. Section 1553, Title 10, United States Code (Review of Discharge or Dismissal) provides for the creation, composition, and scope of review conducted by a Discharge Review Board(s) within established governing standards. As amended by Sections 521 and 525 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020, 10 USC 1553 provides specific guidance to the Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards when considering discharge upgrade requests by Veterans claiming Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), sexual trauma, intimate partner violence (IPV), or spousal abuse, as a basis for discharge review. The amended guidance provides that Boards will include, as a voting board member, a physician trained in mental health disorders, a clinical

psychologist, or a psychiatrist when the discharge upgrade claim asserts a mental health condition, including PTSD, TBI, sexual trauma, IPV, or spousal abuse, as a basis for the discharge. Further, the guidance provides that Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards will develop and provide specialized training specific to sexual trauma, IPV, spousal abuse, as well as the various responses of individuals to trauma.

b. Office, Secretary of Defense memorandum (Supplemental Guidance to Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records Considering Discharge Upgrade Requests by Veterans Claiming Post Traumatic Stress Disorder), 3 September 2014, directed the Service Discharge Review Boards (DRBs) and Service Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records (BCM/NRs) to carefully consider the revised PTSD criteria, detailed medical considerations and mitigating factors when taking action on applications from former service members administratively discharged UOTHC and who have been diagnosed with PTSD by a competent mental health professional representing a civilian healthcare provider in order to determine if it would be appropriate to upgrade the characterization of the applicant's service.

c. Office, Under Secretary of Defense memorandum (Clarifying Guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records Considering Requests by Veterans for Modification of their Discharge Due to Mental Health Conditions, Sexual Assault, or Sexual Harassment), 25 August 2017 issued clarifying guidance for the Secretary of Defense Directive to DRBs and BCM/NRs when considering requests by Veterans for modification of their discharges due in whole or in part to mental health conditions, including PTSD; Traumatic Brain Injury; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Boards are to give liberal consideration to Veterans petitioning for discharge relief when the application for relief is based in whole or in part to those conditions or experiences. The guidance further describes evidence sources and criteria and requires Boards to consider the conditions or experiences presented in evidence as potential mitigation for misconduct that led to the discharge.

d. Office, Under Secretary of Defense memorandum (Guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records Regarding Equity, Injustice, or Clemency Determinations), 25 July 2018 issued guidance to Military DRBs and BCM/NRs regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations. Clemency generally refers to relief specifically granted from a criminal sentence. However, the guidance applies to more than clemency from a sentencing in a court-martial; it also applies to other corrections, including changes in a discharge, which may be warranted based on equity or relief from injustice.

(1) This guidance does not mandate relief but rather provides standards and principles to guide Boards in application of their equitable relief authority. In determining whether to grant relief on the basis of equity, injustice, or clemency grounds, DRBs shall consider the prospect for rehabilitation, external evidence, sworn testimony, policy changes, relative severity of misconduct, mental and behavioral health conditions, official governmental acknowledgement that a relevant error or injustice was committed, and uniformity of punishment.

(2) Changes to the narrative reason for discharge and/or an upgraded character of service granted solely on equity, injustice, or clemency grounds normally should not result in separation pay, retroactive promotions, and payment of past medical expenses or similar benefits that might have been received if the original discharge had been for the revised reason or had the upgraded service characterization.

e. Army Regulation 15-180 (Army Discharge Review Board), dated 25 September 2019, sets forth the policies and procedures under which the Army Discharge Review Board is authorized to review the character, reason, and authority of any Servicemember discharged

from active military service within 15 years of the Servicemember's date of discharge. Additionally, it prescribes actions and composition of the Army Discharge Review Board under Public Law 95-126; Section 1553, Title 10 United States Code; and Department of Defense Directive 1332.41 and Instruction 1332.28.

f. Army Regulation 635-200 provides the basic authority for the separation of enlisted personnel.

(1) Chapter 3, Section II provides the authorized types of characterization of service or description of separation. It states:

(a) An honorable discharge is a separation with honor and is appropriate when the quality of the Soldier's service generally has met the standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty for Army personnel or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.

(b) A general discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions and is issued to a Soldier whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.

(2) Chapter 14 establishes policy and prescribes procedures for separating members for misconduct. Specific categories include minor disciplinary infractions, a pattern of misconduct, and commission of a serious offense, to include abuse of illegal drugs, convictions by civil authorities and desertion or being absent without leave. Action will be taken to separate a member for misconduct when it is clearly established that rehabilitation is impractical or unlikely to succeed. A discharge under other than honorable conditions is normally appropriate for a Soldier discharged under this chapter. However, the separation authority may direct a general discharge if such is merited by the Soldier's overall record. Paragraph 14-12c, states a Soldier is subject to action per this section for commission of a serious military or civilian offense, if the specific circumstances of the offense warrant separation and a punitive discharge is, or would be, authorized for the same or a closely related offense under the Manual for Courts-Martial.

(3) Chapter 15 provides explicitly for separation under the prerogative of the Secretary of the Army. Secretarial plenary separation authority is exercised sparingly and seldom delegated. Ordinarily, it is used when no other provision of this regulation applies, and early separation is clearly in the Army's best interest. Separations under this paragraph are effective only if approved in writing by the Secretary of the Army or the Secretary's approved designee as announced in updated memoranda. Secretarial separation authority is normally exercised on a case-by-case basis. If Secretarial Authority is granted normally correct the record to show the following:

- Separation Authority: Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 15
- Separation Code: JFF
- Reenlistment Code: RE1
- Narrative Reason for Separation: Secretarial Plenary Authority
- Character of Service: Honorable

8. SUMMARY OF FACT(s): Standard of Review. The Army Discharge Review Board considers applications for upgrade as instructed by Department of Defense Instruction 1332.28.

a. The applicant requests an upgrade to honorable. The applicant's Army Military Human Resources Record (AMHRR), the issues, and documents submitted with the application were carefully reviewed

b. The applicant was separated under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14-12c, due to Misconduct (Serious Offense), with a General (Under Honorable Conditions) discharge, and RE Code of '3.' The applicant completed 2 years, 10 months, and 9 days of a 3-year, 19-week enlistment.

c. The applicant contends the applicant made a mistake due to personal issues which caused a lapse in judgement, but the overall service was honorable. There is no evidence in the AMHRR the applicant ever sought assistance before committing the misconduct, which led to the separation action under review. The Board considered the applicant's service accomplishments and the quality of service according to the DODI 1332.28.

9. BOARD DISCUSSION AND DETERMINATION:

a. As directed by the 2017 memo signed by A.M. Kurta, the board considered the following factors:

(1) Did the applicant have a condition or experience that may excuse or mitigate the discharge? **No.** The Board's Medical Advisor reviewed DoD and VA medical records and found no mitigating BH diagnoses on the applicant. The applicant provided no documents or testimony of a condition or experience, that, when applying liberal consideration, could have excused or mitigated a discharge.

(2) Did the condition exist, or experience occur during military service? **N/A**

(3) Does the condition or experience actually excuse or mitigate the discharge? **N/A**

(4) Does the condition or experience outweigh the discharge? **N/A**

b. Prior Decisions Cited: NA – Applies to Personal Appearances only.

c. Response to Contention(s): The applicant contends the applicant made a mistake due to personal issues which caused a lapse in judgement, but the overall service was honorable. The Board considered this contention and found that the applicant's age at the time of the misconduct, length of service and acceptance of responsibility, mitigates the misconduct and warrants an upgrade

d. The Board determined: By a 3-2, the Board determined the applicant's discharge is inequitable. The applicant's age at the time of the misconduct, length of service and acceptance of responsibility, mitigates the misconduct and warrants an upgrade. The board voted to upgrade the discharge to HD/JKN with no change to the RE code.

e. Rationale for Decision:

(1) Published Department of Defense guidance indicates the guidance is not intended to interfere or impede on the Board's statutory independence. The Board determines the relative weight of the action that was the basis for the discharge and whether it supports relief or not. In reaching its determination, the Board considers the applicant's petition, available records and any supporting documents included with the petition.

ARMY DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD CASE REPORT AND DIRECTIVE
AR20210014788

(2) The Board voted to change the applicant's characterization of service to Honorable because the applicant's age at the time of the misconduct, length of service and acceptance of responsibility, mitigates the misconduct and warrants an upgrade. Thus, the prior characterization is no longer appropriate

(3) The Board voted to change the reason for discharge to Misconduct (Minor Infractions) under the same pretexts, thus the reason for discharge is no longer appropriate. The SPD code associated with the new reason for discharge is JKN.


(4) The RE code will not change, as the current code is consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the regulation.

10. BOARD ACTION DIRECTED:

- a. **Issue a New DD-214 / Separation Order:** Yes
- b. **Change Characterization to:** Honorable
- c. **Change Reason / SPD code to:** Misconduct (Minor Infractions) / JKN
- d. **Change RE Code to:** No Change
- e. **Change Authority to:** No Change

Authenticating Official:

6/25/2025



Legend:

AWOL – Absent Without Leave	GD – General Discharge	OAD – Ordered to Active Duty	SPD – Separation Program
AMHRR – Army Military Human Resource Record	HS – High School	OBH (I) – Other Behavioral Health (Issues)	Designator
BCD – Bad Conduct Discharge	HD – Honorable Discharge	OMPF – Official Military Personnel File	TBI – Traumatic Brain Injury
BH – Behavioral Health	IADT – Initial Active-Duty Training	PTSD – Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder	UNC – Uncharacterized Discharge
CG – Company Grade Article 15	MP – Military Police	RE – Re-entry	UOTH – Under Other Than Honorable Conditions
CID – Criminal Investigation Division	MST – Military Sexual Trauma	SCM – Summary Court Martial	VA – Department of Veterans Affairs
ELS – Entry Level Status	N/A – Not applicable	SPCM – Special Court Martial	
FG – Field Grade Article 15	NCO – Noncommissioned Officer		
FTR – Failure to Report	NIF – Not in File		
	NOS – Not Otherwise Specified		