

1. Applicant's Name: [REDACTED]**a. Application Date:** 9 July 2021**b. Date Received:** 12 July 2021**c. Counsel:** None**2. REQUEST, ISSUES, BOARD TYPE, AND DECISION:**

a. Applicant's Requests and Issues: The current characterization of service for the period under review is General (Under Honorable Conditions). The applicant requests an upgrade to Honorable.

b. The applicant seeks relief contending, at this stage in their life, after becoming more mature and having a family of their own, they now see the mistakes they made. The Army was a great branch to be in and a great opportunity to make a difference. The applicant realized they made a bad choice with much regret and the decision they make can have a lasting impact on their life for the rest of their life. Therefore, they are hoping to receive an upgrade.

c. Board Type and Decision: In a records review conducted on 15 August 2025, and by a 5-0 vote, The Board determined the discharge is inequitable based on the applicant's partial medical mitigation; the applicant's Adjustment Disorder with Depressed Mood and Cannabis Use Disorder mitigated the applicant's wrongful use of marijuana. The applicant's length of service and post-service accomplishments outweighed the applicant's remaining misconduct of tampering with their urinalysis exam. Therefore, the Board voted to grant relief in the form of an upgrade of the characterization of service to Honorable and changed the separation authority to AR 635-200, paragraph 14- 12a, the narrative reason for separation to Misconduct (Minor Infractions), with a corresponding separation code of JKN. The Board determined the reentry code is proper and equitable and voted not to change it.

*Please see **Board Discussion and Determination** section for more detail regarding the Board's decision.*

(Board member names available upon request)

3. DISCHARGE DETAILS:

a. Reason / Authority / Codes / Characterization: Misconduct (Drug Abuse) / AR 635-200, Chapter 14-12c (2) / JKK / RE-4 / General (Under Honorable Conditions)

b. Date of Discharge: 3 July 2017

c. Separation Facts:

(1) Date of Notification of Intent to Separate: 16 May 2017

(2) Basis for Separation: On or between 11 December 2016 – 10 January 2017, the applicant wrongfully used marijuana. Additionally, on or about 10 January 2017, they failed to obey an order by having tampered with their urinalysis exam.

(3) Recommended Characterization: General (Under Honorable Conditions)

(4) Legal Consultation Date: 23 May 2017

(5) Administrative Separation Board: NA

(6) Separation Decision Date / Characterization: 7 June 2017 / General (Under Honorable Conditions)

4. SERVICE DETAILS:

a. Date / Period of Enlistment: 9 September 2014 / 3 years, 22 weeks

b. Age at Enlistment / Education / GT Score: 23 / High School Diploma / 94

c. Highest Grade Achieved / MOS / Total Service: E-4 (SPC) / 88M10 Motor Transport Operator / 2 years, 9 months, 26 days

d. Prior Service / Characterizations: None

e. Overseas Service / Combat Service: Korea / None / 2 February 2015 – 26 January 2016 (11 months, 25 days)

f. Awards and Decorations: Below are the awards listed on their DD Form 214; however, the Enlisted Record Brief indicates the applicant was awarded a Certificate of Appreciation (COA), which was not permanently documented.

- National Defense Service Medal (Second Award)
- Global War on Terrorism Service Medal
- Korea Defense Service Medal
- Army Service Ribbon
- Overseas Service Ribbon

g. Performance Ratings: None

h. Disciplinary Action(s) / Evidentiary Record: On 9 September 2014, they enlisted in the Regular Army (RA) for 3 years and 22 weeks as a private, PVT (E-1). The Enlisted Record Brief provides on 19 June 2015, the applicant promoted to private second class, PV2 (E-2); on 9 December 2015, to private third class, PFC (E-3); and on 9 October 2016, to specialist, SPC (E-4). On 2 March and 16 May 2017, they were flagged, Suspend Favorable Personnel Actions (FLAG), for drug abuse adverse action (UA) and field-initiated involuntary separation (BA).

(1) On 5 May 2017, they accepted nonjudicial punishment in violation of Article 112a, UCMJ, for wrongful use of marijuana on or between 11 December 2016 – 10 January 2017. Their punishment imposed a reduction to PVT; forfeiture of \$799 pay per month for two months; extra duty for 45 days; restriction for 45 days, suspended, to be automatically remitted if not vacated on or before 30 October 2017; oral reprimand.

(2) On 16 May 2017, the company commander notified the applicant of their intent to initiate separation proceedings under the provisions of AR 635-200, Chapter 14-12c (2), Misconduct (Serious Offense), for wrongful use of marijuana and recommended a General (Under Honorable Conditions) characterization of service, which the battalion commander concurred with. The applicant acknowledged receipt of their separation notice and on 23 May 2017, elected to consult with legal and declined to submit a statement on their behalf.

(3) On 7 June 2017, the separation authority approved the discharge with a General (Under Honorable Conditions) characterization of service. A DD Form 214 (Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty) provides the applicant was discharged accordingly on 3 July 2017, with 2 years, 10 months, and 26 days of total service. They were unable to provide a signature and had not completed their first full term of service.

i. **Lost Time / Mode of Return:** None

j. **Behavioral Health Condition(s):** Adjustment Disorder with Depressed Mood

(1) **Applicant provided:** None

(2) **AMHRR Listed:** A mental status evaluation, dated 22 March 2017, did not recognize any diagnoses, although they were enrolled in the Army Substance Abuse Program (ASAP), and was psychiatrically cleared for administrative proceedings. Additionally, on the medical examination, dated 3 April 2017, the provider identified their diagnoses as: Adjustment Disorder with Depressed Mood and Alcohol Use, with other physical ailments.

5. APPLICANT-PROVIDED EVIDENCE: Application for the Review of Discharge; A Character Letter provides they have known the applicant for over ten year and after they returned home, the applicant has worked hard at maintaining a job in the workforce, having received their CDLs and continued their education. The applicant is a respectful person and has not been in any trouble with the law. They have remained positive, provides a home for their family, and is a good role model.

6. POST SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS: The applicant has a family of their own and has become more mature. Additionally, they have received their Class A CDL's and has continued their education.

7. STATUTORY, REGULATORY AND POLICY REFERENCE(S):

a. Section 1553, Title 10, United States Code (Review of Discharge or Dismissal) provides for the creation, composition, and scope of review conducted by a Discharge Review Board(s) within established governing standards. As amended by Sections 521 and 525 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020, 10 USC 1553 provides specific guidance to the Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards when considering discharge upgrade requests by Veterans claiming Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), sexual trauma, intimate partner violence (IPV), or spousal abuse, as a basis for discharge review. The amended guidance provides that Boards will include, as a voting board member, a physician trained in mental health disorders, a clinical psychologist, or a psychiatrist when the discharge upgrade claim asserts a mental health condition, including PTSD, TBI, sexual trauma, IPV, or spousal abuse, as a basis for the discharge. Further, the guidance provides that Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards will develop and provide specialized training specific to sexual trauma, IPV, spousal abuse, as well as the various responses of individuals to trauma.

b. Multiple Department of Defense Policy Guidance Memoranda published between 2014 and 2018. The documents are commonly referred to by the signatory authorities' last names (2014 Secretary of Defense Guidance [Hagel memo], 2016 Acting Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Carson memo], 2017 Official Performing the Duties of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Kurta memo], and 2018 Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [Wilkie memo].

(1) Individually and collectively, these documents provide further clarification to the Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records when considering requests by Veterans for modification of their discharge due to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Liberal consideration will be given to Veterans petitioning for discharge relief when the application for relief is based in whole or in part on matters relating to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Special consideration will be given to Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) determinations that document a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment potentially contributed to the circumstances resulting in a less than honorable discharge characterization. Special consideration will also be given in cases where a civilian provider confers diagnoses of a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment if the case records contain narratives supporting symptomatology at the time of service or when any other evidence which may reasonably indicate that a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment existed at the time of discharge might have mitigated the misconduct that caused a discharge of lesser characterization.

(2) Conditions documented in the service record that can reasonably be determined to have existed at the time of discharge will be considered to have existed at the time of discharge. In cases in which a mental health condition, including PTSD; TBI; or sexual assault/harassment may be reasonably determined to have existed at the time of discharge, those conditions will be considered potential mitigating factors in the misconduct that caused the characterization of service in question. All Boards will exercise caution in weighing evidence of mitigation in cases in which serious misconduct precipitated a discharge with a less than Honorable characterization of service. Potentially mitigating evidence of the existence of undiagnosed combat related PTSD, PTSD-related conditions due to TBI or sexual assault/harassment as causative factors in the misconduct resulting in discharge will be carefully weighed against the severity of the misconduct. PTSD is not a likely cause of premeditated misconduct. Caution shall be exercised in weighing evidence of mitigation in all cases of misconduct by carefully considering the likely causal relationship of symptoms to the misconduct.

c. Army Regulation 15-180 (Army Discharge Review Board), dated 25 September 2019, sets forth the policies and procedures under which the Army Discharge Review Board is authorized to review the character, reason, and authority of any Servicemember discharged from active military service within 15 years of the Servicemember's date of discharge. Additionally, it prescribes actions and composition of the Army Discharge Review Board under Public Law 95-126; Section 1553, Title 10 United States Code; and Department of Defense Directive 1332.41 and Instruction 1332.28.

d. Army Regulation 635-200 (Active Duty Enlisted Administrative Separations), set policies, standards, and procedures to ensure the readiness and competency of the force while providing for the orderly administrative separation of Soldiers for a variety of reasons. Readiness is promoted by maintaining high standards of conduct and performance.

(1) Chapter 3 provides an Honorable discharge is a separation with honor and is appropriate when the quality of the Soldier's service generally has met the standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty for Army personnel or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.

(a) A General discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions and is issued to a Soldier whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.

(b) An Under other-than-honorable-conditions discharge is an administrative separation from the Service under conditions other than honorable and it may be issued for misconduct, fraudulent entry, security reasons, or in lieu of trial by court martial based on certain circumstances or patterns of behavior or acts or omissions that constitute a significant departure from the conduct expected of Soldiers in the Army.

(2) Chapter 14 establishes policy and prescribes procedures for separating members for misconduct. Specific categories include minor disciplinary infractions, a pattern of misconduct, and commission of a serious offense, to include abuse of illegal drugs, convictions by civil authorities and desertion or being absent without leave. Action will be taken to separate a member for misconduct when it is clearly established that rehabilitation is impractical or unlikely to succeed. A discharge under other than honorable conditions is normally appropriate for a Soldier discharged under this chapter. However, the separation authority may direct a general discharge if such is merited by the Soldier's overall record. A Soldier is subject to action per this section for commission of a serious military or civilian offense, if the specific circumstances of the offense warrant separation and a punitive discharge is, or would be, authorized for the same or a closely related offense under the Manual for Courts-Martial.

(3) Chapter 15 provides explicitly for separation under the prerogative of the Secretary of the Army. Secretarial plenary separation authority is exercised sparingly and seldom delegated. Ordinarily, it is used when no other provision of this regulation applies, and early separation is clearly in the Army's best interest. Separations under this paragraph are effective only if approved in writing by the Secretary of the Army or the Secretary's approved designee as announced in updated memoranda. Secretarial separation authority is normally exercised on a case-by-case basis.

e. Army Regulation 635-5-1 (Separation Program Designator (SPD) Codes) provides the specific authorities (regulatory or directive), reasons for separating Soldiers from active duty, and the SPD codes to be entered on the DD Form 214. It identifies the SPD code of "JKK" as the appropriate code to assign enlisted Soldiers who are discharged under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14-12c (2), Misconduct (Drug Abuse).

f. Army Regulation 601-210, Regular Army, and Reserve Components Enlistment Program, governs eligibility criteria, policies, and procedures for enlistment and processing of persons into the Regular Army, the U.S. Army Reserve, and Army National Guard for enlistment per DODI 1304.26. It also prescribes the appointment, reassignment, management, and mobilization of Reserve Officers' Training Corps cadets under the Simultaneous Membership Program. Chapter 4 provides the criteria and procedures for waivable and nonwaivable separations. Table 3-1, defines reentry eligibility (RE) codes:

(1) RE-1 Applies to: Person completing his or her term of active service who is considered qualified to reenter the U.S. Army. Eligibility: Qualified for enlistment if all other criteria are met.

(2) RE-3 Applies to: Person who is not considered fully qualified for reentry or continuous service at time of separation, but disqualification is waivable. Eligibility: Ineligible unless a waiver is granted.

(3) RE-4 Applies to: Person separated from last period of service with a nonwaivable disqualification. This includes anyone with a DA imposed bar to reenlistment in effect at time of separation or separated for any reason (except length of service retirement) with 18 or more years active Federal service. Eligibility: Ineligible for enlistment.

g. Army Regulation 600-85 (Army Substance Abuse Program (ASAP)) provided a comprehensive alcohol and drug abuse prevention and control policies, procedures, and responsibilities for Soldiers for ASAP services. The ASAP is a command program that emphasizes readiness and personal responsibility. The ultimate decision regarding separation or retention of abusers is the responsibility of the Soldier's chain of command. Abuse of alcohol or the use of illicit drugs by military personnel is inconsistent with Army values and the standards of performance, discipline, and readiness necessary to accomplish the Army's mission. All Soldiers who are identified as drug abusers, without exception, will be referred to the ASAP counseling center for screening; be considered for disciplinary action under the UCMJ, as appropriate; and be processed for administrative separation in accordance with Army Regulation 635-200.

(1) Unit commanders must intervene early and refer all Soldiers suspected or identified as alcohol and/or drug abusers to the ASAP. The unit commander should recommend enrollment based on the Soldier's potential for continued military service in terms of professional skills, behavior, and potential for advancement. ASAP participation is mandatory for all Soldiers who are command referred. Failure to attend a mandatory counseling session may constitute a violation of Article 86 (Absence Without Leave) of the UCMJ.

(2) Alcohol and/or other drug abusers, and in some cases dependent alcohol users, may be enrolled in the ASAP when such enrollment is clinically recommended. Soldiers who fail to participate adequately in, or to respond successfully to, rehabilitation will be processed for administrative separation and not be provided another opportunity for rehabilitation except under the most extraordinary circumstances, as determined by the Clinical Director in consultation with the unit commander.

(3) Alcohol and/or other drug abusers, and in some cases dependent alcohol users, may be enrolled in the ASAP when such enrollment is clinically recommended. Soldiers who fail to participate adequately in, or to respond successfully to, rehabilitation will be processed for administrative separation and not be provided another opportunity for rehabilitation except under the most extraordinary circumstances, as determined by the Clinical Director in consultation with the unit commander.

(4) All Soldiers who are identified as drug abusers, without exception, will be referred to the ASAP counseling center for screening; be considered for disciplinary action under the UCMJ, as appropriate; and be processed for administrative separation in accordance with Army Regulation 635-200.

h. Manual for Courts-Martial (2016 Edition), United States, states military law consists of the statutes governing the military establishment and regulations issued thereunder, the constitutional powers of the President and regulations issued thereunder, and the inherent authority of military commanders. Military law includes jurisdiction exercised by courts-martial and the jurisdiction exercised by commanders with respect to nonjudicial punishment. The purpose of military law is to promote justice, to assist in maintaining good orders and discipline in the Armed Forces. Article 112a (wrongful use of a schedule I controlled substance) states in the subparagraph, the maximum punishment consists of a bad conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for two years.

8. SUMMARY OF FACT(S): The Army Discharge Review Board considers applications for upgrade as instructed by Department of Defense Instruction 1332.28.

a. The applicant requests an upgrade to Honorable. The applicant's Army Military Human Resources Record (AMHRR), the issues, and documents submitted with the application were

carefully reviewed.

b. The available evidence provides the applicant enlisted in the RA, promoted to SPC, served nearly a year in Korea, and completed 2 years, 8 months, and 7 days prior to their being flagged for involuntary separation. The applicant received NJP for wrongful use of marijuana in violation of Article 112a (wrongful use of schedule 1 controlled substance) and was consequently reduced to PVT. Separation proceedings were initiated under the provisions of AR 635-200, Chapter 14-12c (2), Misconduct (Drug Abuse), with a General (Under Honorable Conditions) characterization of service.

c. They were medically qualified and psychiatrically cleared to separate and was diagnosed with an Adjustment Disorder with Depressed Mood. They served 2 years, 9 months, and 25 days of their 3 year-22 week contractual obligation.

d. Chapter 14 establishes policy and prescribes procedures for separation members for misconduct. Specific categories include minor disciplinary infractions, a pattern of misconduct, commission of a serious offense and convictions by civil authorities. Action will be taken to separate a member for misconduct when it is clearly established that rehabilitation is impracticable or is unlikely to succeed. A discharge under other than honorable conditions is normally appropriate for a Soldier discharged under this chapter. However, the separation authority may direct a general discharge if such is merited by the Soldier's overall record.

e. Published Department of Defense guidance indicates that the guidance is not intended to interfere or impede on the Board's statutory independence. The Board will determine the relative weight of the action that led to the discharge and whether it supports relief or not. In reaching its determination, the Board shall consider the applicant's petition, available records and/or submitted documents in support of the petition.

9. BOARD DISCUSSION AND DETERMINATION:

a. As directed by the 2017 memo signed by A.M. Kurta, the board considered the following factors:

(1) Did the applicant have a condition or experience that may excuse or mitigate the discharge? **Yes.** The Board's Medical Advisor, a voting member, reviewed the applicant's DOD and VA health records, applicant's statement, and/or civilian provider documentation and found that the applicant has the following potentially-mitigating diagnoses: Adjustment Disorder with Depressed Mood, Cannabis Use Disorder, MDD.

(2) Did the condition exist or experience occur during military service? **Yes.** Adjustment Disorder with Depressed Mood and Cannabis Use Disorder.

(3) Does the condition or experience actually excuse or mitigate the discharge? **Partial.** The Board's Medical Advisor applied liberal consideration and opined that given the service connection for symptoms existing prior to the misconduct and nexus between mood and substance use, the drug use is mitigated. Tampering with a sample, although a byproduct of the mitigated drug use, is not mitigated.

(4) Does the condition or experience outweigh the discharge? **Partial.** Based on liberally considering all the evidence before the Board, the ADRB determined that the condition or experience partially outweighed the basis of separation. The applicant's drug use is medically mitigated by the applicant's Adjustment Disorder with Depressed Mood and Cannabis

Use Disorder . The applicant's length and post-service accomplishments outweighed tampering with a UA sample.

b. Prior Decisions Cited: None

c. Response to Contention(s): The applicant seeks relief contending, at this stage in their life, after becoming more mature and having a family of their own, they now see the mistakes they made. The Army was a great branch to be in and a great opportunity to make a difference. The applicant realized they made a bad choice with much regret and the decision they make can have a lasting impact on their life for the rest of their life. Therefore, they are hoping to receive an upgrade. A character letter contends, they have known the applicant for over ten year and after they returned home, the applicant has worked hard at maintaining a job in the workforce, having received their CDLs and continued their education. The applicant is a respectful person and has not been in any trouble with the law. They have remained positive, provides a home for their family, and is a good role model. The Board liberally considered this contention and determined that it was valid due to the applicant's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder outweighing the applicant's drug abuse offense. Therefore, a discharge upgrade is warranted.

d. The Board determined the discharge is inequitable based on the applicant's Adjustment Disorder with Depressed Mood and Cannabis Use Disorder mitigated the applicant's misconduct of wrongful use of marijuana. The applicant's length of service and post-service accomplishments outweighed the applicant's remaining misconduct of tampering with their urinalysis exam. Therefore, the Board voted to grant relief in the form of an upgrade of the characterization of service to Honorable and changed the separation authority to AR 635-200, paragraph 14- 12a, the narrative reason for separation to Misconduct (Minor Infractions), with a corresponding separation code of JKN. The Board determined the reentry code is proper and equitable and voted not to change it.

e. Rationale for Decision:

(1) The Board voted to change the applicant's characterization of service to Honorable because the applicant's Post Traumatic Stress Disorder outweighed the applicant's misconduct of marijuana abuse. The applicant's length of service and post-service accomplishments outweighed the applicant's remaining misconduct of tampering with their urinalysis exam. Thus, the prior characterization is no longer appropriate.

(2) The Board voted to change the reason for discharge to Misconduct (Minor Infractions) under the same rationale, thus the reason for discharge is no longer appropriate. The SPD code associated with the new reason for discharge is JKN.


(3) The RE code will not change, as the current code is consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the regulation.

10. BOARD ACTION DIRECTED:

- a. Issue a New DD-214 / Separation Order: Yes
- b. Change Characterization to: Honorable
- c. Change Reason / SPD code to: Misconduct (Minor Infractions)/JKN
- d. Change RE Code to: No Change
- e. Change Authority to: No Change

Authenticating Official:

8/23/2025



Legend:

AWOL – Absent Without Leave
AMHRR – Army Military Human
Resource Record
BCD – Bad Conduct Discharge
BH – Behavioral Health
CG – Company Grade Article 15
CID – Criminal Investigation
Division
ELS – Entry Level Status
FG – Field Grade Article 15

GD – General Discharge
HS – High School
HD – Honorable Discharge
IADT – Initial Active Duty Training
MP – Military Police
MST – Military Sexual Trauma
N/A – Not applicable
NCO – Noncommissioned Officer
NIF – Not in File
NOS – Not Otherwise Specified

OAD – Ordered to Active Duty
OBH (I) – Other Behavioral
Health (Issues)
OMPF – Official Military
Personnel File
PTSD – Post-Traumatic Stress
Disorder
RE – Re-entry
SCM – Summary Court Martial
SPCM – Special Court Martial

SPD – Separation Program
Designator
TBI – Traumatic Brain Injury
UNC – Uncharacterized
Discharge
UOTHC – Under Other Than
Honorable Conditions
VA – Department of Veterans
Affairs