

1. Applicant's Name: [REDACTED]

a. **Application Date:** 31 January 2023

b. **Date Received:** 6 February 2023

c. **Counsel:** NA

2. REQUEST, ISSUES, BOARD TYPE, AND DECISION:

a. **Applicant Requests:** The current characterization of service for the period under review is under other than honorable conditions. The applicant requests an upgrade of their characterization of service and a change of their separation code and narrative reason for separation.

b. **Applicant Contention(s)/Issue(s):** The applicant requests relief contending they feel that they were unable to fulfill their duties due to undiagnosed/untreated mental health problems.

c. **Board Type and Decision:** In a records review conducted on 5 February 2026, and by a 3-0 vote, the Board granted the request upon finding the separation was inequitable. The Board, based on the applicant's length and quality of service, to include combat service, and the circumstances surrounding the discharge OBHI diagnoses of adjustment disorder, depression and PTSD, determined the narrative reason for the applicant's separation is now inequitable. Therefore, the Board directed the issue of a new DD Form 214 changing the separation authority to AR 635-200, paragraph 14-12a, the narrative reason for separation to Misconduct (Minor Infractions), and the separation code to JKN. The Board determined the characterization of service was inequitable as well and voted to change it. Please see **Board Discussion and Determination** section for more detail regarding the Board's decision. Board member names are available upon request.

3. DISCHARGE DETAILS:

a. **Reason / Authority / Codes / Characterization:** Misconduct (Serious Offense) / Army Regulation 635-200, Paragraph 14-12C / JKQ / RE-3 / Under Other Than Honorable Conditions

b. **Date of Discharge:** 15 April 2011

c. **Separation Facts:**

(1) **Date of Notification of Intent to Separate:** 9 February 2011

(2) **Basis for Separation:** The applicant was informed of the following reasons:

- between on or about 2 May 2008 to on or about 3 February 2009, absence without leave (AWOL)
- on or about 2 June 2010, driving under the influence of alcohol
- between on or about 29 September 2010 and 7 October 2010, AWOL, received a Company Grade Article 15 for this offense
- on or about 20 October 2010, driving under the influence of alcohol

(3) **Recommended Characterization:** Under Other Than Honorable Conditions

(4) Legal Consultation Date: 10 February 2011

(5) Administrative Separation Board: on 18 March 2011 the applicant unconditionally waived consideration of their case by an administrative separation board.

(6) Separation Decision Date / Characterization: 24 March 2011

4. SERVICE DETAILS:

a. Date / Period of Reenlistment Under Review: 24 February 2010 / 5 years

b. Age at Enlistment / Education / GT Score: 26 / HS Graduate / NIF

c. Highest Grade Achieved / MOS / Total Service: E-4 / 88M1O, Motor Transport Operator / 4 years, 9 months, 10 weeks

d. Prior Service / Characterizations: None

e. Overseas Service / Combat Service: SWA / Iraq (3 January 2007 – 13 March 2008) and Afghanistan (28 April 2009 – 23 April 2010)

f. Awards and Decorations: ARCOM-2, AGCM, NDSM, GWTSM, ASR, NATOMDL

g. Performance Ratings: NA

h. Disciplinary Action(s) / Evidentiary Record:

(1) Two DA Forms 4187 (Personnel Action) dated 2 May 2008 and 25 November 2008 reflects the applicant's unit changed their status from Present for Duty to Absent Without Leave (AWOL) effective 2 May 2008 and from AWOL to Dropped from Rolls, effective 3 June 2008.

(2) A DD Form 616 (Report of Returnee of Absentee) dated 25 January 2009 reflects the applicant was apprehended by civil authorities on 25 January 2009 in Worcester, MA, returned to military control and transferred to Fort Bragg, NC.

(3) A DA Form 3975 (Military Police Report) dated 20 June 2010 reflects the applicant as the named subject with the Offense Description as Impaired Driving (Off Post). The Narrative states, an investigation disclosed that at 0035 hours, 20 June 2010, North Carolina State Highway Trooper arrested the applicant for driving while impaired after they were stopped for speeding, 63 miles per hour in a 45 miles per hours zone. The applicant was transported to the County Detention Center where they were administered an intoximeter test resulted in a 0.22-percent Blood Alcohol Content.

(4) A DA Form 3975 (Military Police Report) dated 26 October 2010 reflects the applicant as the named subject with the Offense Description as Drunken Driving – Driving under the Influence (Alcohol)(Off Post). The Narrative states an investigation revealed the applicant was observed speeding at a high rate of speed, 102 miles per hour in a 70 miles per hour zone. The applicant was initiated and a strong odor of an unknown alcoholic beverage was emitting from the applicant. Also observed, an open can of beer sitting in the rear floorboard of the vehicle. The applicant was administered a series of field sobriety tests which they failed. The applicant was transported to the County Jail where they were administered an Intoxilyzer test

which resulted in a reading of 0.074 grams. The applicant was charged with Driving Under the Influence and cited for speeding, open container violation and reckless driving. The applicant was further processed and is still confined in the County Jail awaiting bond/trial date.

(5) Two DA Forms 4187 (Personnel Action) dated 6 October 2010 and 10 November 2010 reflects the applicant's unit changed their status from Present for Duty to AWOL effective 29 September 2010 and from AWOL to Present for Duty, effective 7 October 2010.

(6) A DA Form 4856 (Developmental Counseling Form) dated 15 November 2010 reflects the applicant received event-oriented counseling for Drunk on Duty while currently enrolled in the Army Substance Abuse Program (ASAP). The applicant agreed with the counseling, provided no remarks and signed the form.

(7) A DA Form 2627 (Record of Proceedings under Article 15, UCMJ) dated 13 January 2011 reflects the applicant received nonjudicial punishment, in that they did, on or about 29 September 2010, without authority, absent themselves from their unit, and did remain so absent until on or about 7 October 2010, in violation of Article 86 (AWOL), UCMJ. The applicant's punishment consisted of a reduction in rank/grade from specialist/E-4 to private first class/E-3, forfeiture of \$455.00 and extra duty for 14 days. The applicant elected not to appeal.

(8) A memorandum, Headquarters Support Company, Headquarters and Headquarters Battalion, 82nd Airborne Division, subject: Separation under Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14, Section III, Paragraph 14-12c, Commission of a Serious Offense, dated 9 February 2011, the applicant's company commander notified the applicant of their intent to separate them under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200, paragraph 14-12c, for misconduct as described above in paragraph 3c(2). The company commander recommended the applicant's characterization of service as Under Other Than Honorable Conditions. On the same day, the applicant acknowledged the basis for the separation and of the right available to them.

(9) A memorandum, Headquarters Support Company, 82nd Headquarters and Headquarters Battalion, 82nd Airborne Division, subject: Separation under Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14, Section III, Paragraph 14-12c, Commission of a Serious Offense, dated 8 February 2011, reflects the company commander's recommendation for the applicant to be separated from the U.S. Army prior to the expiration of their current term of service and issued a Under Other Than Honorable Conditions characterization of service. The commander does not consider it feasible or appropriate to accomplish other disposition as the applicant has demonstrated through their serious misconduct, that other disposition would be inappropriate.

(10) A memorandum, U.S. Army Trial Defense Service, Fort Bragg Trial Defense Center, subject: Request for Conditional Waiver of Rights under Army Regulation 635-200 Administrative Board Procedures, dated 10 February 2011, reflects the applicant's acknowledgment of having been advised by their consulting counsel of the basis for the contemplated action to separate them for misconduct – abuse of illegal drugs, Army Regulation 635-200, paragraph 14-12c, and its effects; of the rights available to them, and of the effect of any action taken by them in waiving their rights.

(a) They voluntarily waived consideration of their case by an administrative separation board contingent upon receiving a characterization of service or description of separation no less favorable than general (under honorable conditions). They are making this request of their own free will and have not been subjected to any coercion whatsoever by any person.

(b) They understand that if the separation authority refuses to accept this conditional waiver of a hearing before an administrative separation board, their case will be referred to an administrative separation board. They understand they may expect to encounter substantial prejudice in civilian life if a general (under honorable conditions) is issued to them.

(c) They further understand that as a result of the issuance of a discharge under other than honorable conditions they may be ineligible for many or all benefits as a veteran under both Federal and State laws.

(11) A memorandum, Headquarters, 82nd Airborne Division, subject: General Officer Memorandum of Reprimand (GOMOR), dated 16 February 2011, reflects the applicant was reprimanded in writing for driving while impaired. The commanding general states, on 13 February 2011, the applicant was arrested after Military Police observed them attempting to move a vehicle in the barrack's parking lot after they were known to have been drinking alcohol. They refused to submit to a lawfully administered breathalyzer test. Subsequently, they were charged with driving while impaired.

(12) In separate memorandums, the applicant's chain of command consisting of their company commander, battalion commander and brigade commander recommended the applicant's request for a Conditional Waiver be denied and the applicant be separated from the Army prior to the expiration of their current term of service and their service be characterized as Under Other Than Honorable Conditions.

(13) A memorandum, Headquarters 82nd Airborne Division, subject: Conditional Waiver – Administrative Separation Board, dated 10 March 2011, reflects the separation authority notified the applicant of their disapproval of their offer to waive their right to an administrative separation board conditioned upon receiving a general (under honorable conditions) characterization of service.

(14) A memorandum, U.S. Army Trial Defense Service, Fort Bragg Trial Defense Center, subject: Request for Conditional Waiver of Rights under Army Regulation 635-200 Administrative Board Procedures, dated 18 March 2011, reflects the applicant's acknowledgment of having been advised by their consulting counsel of the basis for the contemplated action to separate them for misconduct – abuse of illegal drugs, Army Regulation 635-200, paragraph 14-12c, and its effects; of the rights available to them, and of the effect of any action taken by them in waiving their rights. They voluntarily unconditionally waived consideration of their case by an administrative separation board. They are making this request of their own free will and have not been subjected to any coercion whatsoever by any person.

(15) A memorandum, Headquarters, 82nd Airborne Division, subject: Administrative Separation Pursuant to Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14, Section III, Paragraph 14-12c, Commission of a Serious Offense, dated 24 March 2011, the separation authority reviewed the unconditional waiver of administrative separation board proceedings submitted by the applicant. The commanding general directed the applicant be discharged from the Army with a characterization of service as Under Other Than Honorable Conditions.

(16) A DD Form 214 (Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty) reflects the applicant was discharged on 15 April 2011, with 4 years, 9 months, and 10 days of net active service this period. The DD Form 214 shows in:

- item 24 (Character of Service) – Under Other Than Honorable Conditions
- item 26 (Separation Code) – JKQ
- item 27 (Reentry Code) – 3

ARMY DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD CASE REPORT AND DIRECTIVE

AR20230004952

- item 28 (Narrative Reason for Separation) – Misconduct, (Serious Offense)
- item 29 (Dates of Time Lost During This Period) – 20100929 - 20101006

i. Lost Time / Mode of Return: AWOL, 29 September 2010 – 6 October 2010 / apprehended by civilian authorities

j. Behavioral Health Condition(s): The following documents have been provided to the ARBA Medical Advisor, if applicable. See “**Board Discussion and Determination**” for Medical Advisor Details.

(1) Applicant provided: VA Medical Documents reflecting Problems consisting of Opioid Dependence, Cocaine Dependence, History of Alcohol Abuse, Bipolar Disorder, Complex Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, Alcohol Dependence, Bipolar II Disorder and Social Anxiety Disorder.

(2) AMHRR provided: Medical Command Form 4038 (Report of Behavioral Health Evaluation) reflecting a diagnosis of Alcohol Dependence, Mood Disorder secondary to Alcohol

5. APPLICANT-PROVIDED EVIDENCE:

- DD Form 293 (Application for the Review of Discharge from the Armed Forces of the United States)
- DD Form 214
- VA Medical Documents
- VA Summary of Benefits Letter

6. POST SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS: None submitted with application.

7. STATUTORY, REGULATORY AND POLICY REFERENCE(S):

a. Section 1553, Title 10, United States Code (Review of Discharge or Dismissal) provides for the creation, composition, and scope of review conducted by a Discharge Review Board(s) within established governing standards. As amended by Sections 521 and 525 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020, 10 USC 1553 provides specific guidance to the Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards when considering discharge upgrade requests by Veterans claiming Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), sexual trauma, intimate partner violence (IPV), or spousal abuse, as a basis for discharge review. The amended guidance provides that Boards will include, as a voting board member, a physician trained in mental health disorders, a clinical psychologist, or a psychiatrist when the discharge upgrade claim asserts a mental health condition, including PTSD, TBI, sexual trauma, IPV, or spousal abuse, as a basis for the discharge. Further, the guidance provides that Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records and Discharge Review Boards will develop and provide specialized training specific to sexual trauma, IPV, spousal abuse, as well as the various responses of individuals to trauma.

b. Office, Secretary of Defense memorandum (Supplemental Guidance to Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records Considering Discharge Upgrade Requests by Veterans Claiming Post Traumatic Stress Disorder), 3 September 2014, directed the Service Discharge Review Boards (DRBs) and Service Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records (BCM/NRs) to carefully consider the revised PTSD criteria, detailed medical considerations and mitigating factors when taking action on applications from former service members administratively discharged UOTHC and who have been diagnosed with PTSD by a competent mental health

professional representing a civilian healthcare provider in order to determine if it would be appropriate to upgrade the characterization of the applicant's service.

c. Office, Under Secretary of Defense memorandum (Clarifying Guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records Considering Requests by Veterans for Modification of their Discharge Due to Mental Health Conditions, Sexual Assault, or Sexual Harassment), 25 August 2017 issued clarifying guidance for the Secretary of Defense Directive to DRBs and BCM/NRs when considering requests by Veterans for modification of their discharges due in whole or in part to mental health conditions, including PTSD; Traumatic Brain Injury; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Boards are to give liberal consideration to Veterans petitioning for discharge relief when the application for relief is based in whole or in part to those conditions or experiences. The guidance further describes evidence sources and criteria and requires Boards to consider the conditions or experiences presented in evidence as potential mitigation for misconduct that led to the discharge.

d. Office, Under Secretary of Defense memorandum (Guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records Regarding Equity, Injustice, or Clemency Determinations), 25 July 2018 issued guidance to Military DRBs and BCM/NRs regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations. Clemency generally refers to relief specifically granted from a criminal sentence. However, the guidance applies to more than clemency from a sentencing in a court-martial; it also applies to other corrections, including changes in a discharge, which may be warranted based on equity or relief from injustice.

(1) This guidance does not mandate relief but rather provides standards and principles to guide Boards in application of their equitable relief authority. In determining whether to grant relief on the basis of equity, injustice, or clemency grounds, DRBs shall consider the prospect for rehabilitation, external evidence, sworn testimony, policy changes, relative severity of misconduct, mental and behavioral health conditions, official governmental acknowledgement that a relevant error or injustice was committed, and uniformity of punishment.

(2) Changes to the narrative reason for discharge and/or an upgraded character of service granted solely on equity, injustice, or clemency grounds normally should not result in separation pay, retroactive promotions, and payment of past medical expenses or similar benefits that might have been received if the original discharge had been for the revised reason or had the upgraded service characterization.

e. Army Regulation 15-180 (Army Discharge Review Board), dated 25 September 2019, sets forth the policies and procedures under which the Army Discharge Review Board is authorized to review the character, reason, and authority of any Servicemember discharged from active military service within 15 years of the Servicemember's date of discharge. Additionally, it prescribes actions and composition of the Army Discharge Review Board under Public Law 95-126; Section 1553, Title 10 United States Code; and Department of Defense Directive 1332.41 and Instruction 1332.28.

f. Army Regulation 601-210, Regular Army, and Reserve Components Enlistment Program, governs eligibility criteria, policies, and procedures for enlistment and processing of persons into the Regular Army, the U.S. Army Reserve, and Army National Guard for enlistment per DODI 1304.26. It also prescribes the appointment, reassignment, management, and mobilization of Reserve Officers' Training Corps cadets under the Simultaneous Membership Program. Chapter 4 provides the criteria and procedures for waivable and nonwaivable separations. Table 3-1, defines reentry eligibility (RE) codes:

- RE-1 Applies to: Person completing his or her term of active service who is considered qualified to reenter the U.S. Army. Eligibility: Qualified for enlistment if all other criteria are met.

- RE-3 Applies to: Person who is not considered fully qualified for reentry or continuous service at time of separation, but disqualification is waivable. Eligibility: Ineligible unless a waiver is granted.

- RE-4 Applies to: Person separated from last period of service with a nonwaivable disqualification. This includes anyone with a DA imposed bar to reenlistment in effect at time of separation or separated for any reason (except length of service retirement) with 18 or more years active Federal service. Eligibility: Ineligible for enlistment.

g. Army Regulation 635-200 provides the basic authority for the separation of enlisted personnel.

(1) Chapter 3, Section II provides the authorized types of characterization of service or description of separation. It states:

(d) An honorable discharge is a separation with honor and is appropriate when the quality of the Soldier's service generally has met the standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty for Army personnel or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.

(e) A general discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions and is issued to a Soldier whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.

(f) An under other-than-honorable-conditions discharge is an administrative separation from the Service under conditions other than honorable and it may be issued for misconduct, fraudulent entry, security reasons, or in lieu of trial by court martial based on certain circumstances or patterns of behavior or acts or omissions that constitute a significant departure from the conduct expected of Soldiers in the Army.

(2) Chapter 14 (Separation for Misconduct) establishes policy and prescribes procedures for separating personnel for misconduct because of minor disciplinary infractions, a pattern of misconduct, commission of a serious offense, conviction by civil authorities, desertion, and absence without leave. Action will be taken to separate a member for misconduct when it is clearly established that rehabilitation is impractical or unlikely to succeed. A discharge under other than honorable conditions is normally appropriate for a Soldier discharged under this chapter. However, the separation authority may direct a general discharge if such is merited by the Soldier's overall record. Paragraph 14-12c (Commission of a Service Offense), stated a Soldier is subject to action per this section for commission of a serious military or civilian offense, if the specific circumstances of the offense warrant separation and a punitive discharge is, or would be, authorized for the same or a closely related offense under the Manual for Courts-Martial.

(3) Chapter 15 provides explicitly for separation under the prerogative of the Secretary of the Army. Secretarial plenary separation authority is exercised sparingly and seldom delegated. Ordinarily, it is used when no other provision of this regulation applies, and early separation is clearly in the Army's best interest. Separations under this paragraph are effective only if approved in writing by the Secretary of the Army or the Secretary's approved designee as announced in updated memoranda. Secretarial separation authority is normally exercised on a

case-by-case basis. If Secretarial Authority is granted normally correct the record to show the following:

- Separation Authority: Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 15
- Separation Code: JFF
- Reenlistment Code: RE1
- Narrative Reason for Separation: Secretarial Plenary Authority
- Character of Service: Honorable

(4) Army Regulation 635-5-1 (Separation Program Designator (SPD) Codes) provides the specific authorities (regulatory or directive), reasons for separating Soldiers from active duty, and the SPD codes to be entered on the DD Form 214. It identifies the SPD code of “JKQ” as the appropriate code to assign enlisted Soldiers who are discharged under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200, chapter 14, paragraph 14-12c, misconduct (serious offense).

8. SUMMARY OF FACT(S): Standard of Review. The Army Discharge Review Board considers applications for upgrade as instructed by Department of Defense Instruction 1332.28.

a. The applicant requests an upgrade for their characterization of service and a change of their separation code and narrative reason for separation. The applicant’s Army Military Human Resources Record (AMHRR), the issues, and documents submitted with the application were carefully reviewed.

b. A review of the available evidence provides the applicant had two periods of AWOL, with one resulting in nonjudicial punishment under the provision of Article 15, UCMJ, three occurrences of driving under the influence of alcohol with one resulting in the issuance of a GOMOR, was denied their request for a Conditional Waiver contingent upon receiving a general (under honorable conditions) discharge, requested an unconditional waiver for an administrative separation board and was involuntarily discharged from the U.S. Army. Their DD Form 214 reflects the applicant was discharged with a character of service of Under Other Than Honorable Conditions for misconduct (serious offense). They completed 4 years, 9 months, and 10 days of net active service this period and completed their first full term of service; however, they did not complete their 5-year reenlistment obligation.

c. Chapter 14 establishes policy and prescribes procedures for separation members for misconduct. Specific categories include minor disciplinary infractions, a pattern of misconduct, commission of a serious offense; to include abuse of illegal drugs; and convictions by civil authorities. Action will be taken to separate a member for misconduct when it is clearly established that rehabilitation is impracticable or is unlikely to succeed. A discharge under other than honorable conditions is normally appropriate for a Soldier discharged under this chapter. However, the separation authority may direct a general discharge if such is merited by the Soldier’s overall record.

9. BOARD DISCUSSION AND DETERMINATION:

a. As directed by the 2017 memo signed by A.M. Kurta, the board considered the following factors:

(1) Did the applicant have a condition or experience that may excuse or mitigate the discharge? **Yes.** The Board's Medical Advisor, a voting member, reviewed the applicant's DOD and VA health records, applicant's statement, and/or civilian provider documentation and found that the applicant has the following potentially mitigating diagnoses/experiences: Adjustment Disorder, Depression, PTSD.

(2) Did the condition exist, or experience occur during military service? **Yes.** The Board found that the applicant was diagnosed in service with an Adjustment Disorder and Depression and is service connected by the VA for PTSD.

(3) Does the condition or experience actually excuse or mitigate the discharge? **Yes.** The Board applied liberal consideration and opined that there is evidence of BH conditions that mitigate the basis of separation. The applicant was diagnosed in service with an Adjustment Disorder and Depression and is 100% service connected by the VA for combat-related PTSD. Given the nexus between Depression, PTSD, avoidance, and using substances for self-medication, the AWOLs and DUIs that led to the separation are mitigated.

(4) Does the condition or experience outweigh the discharge? **Yes.** Based on liberally considering all the evidence before the Board, the ADRB determined that the condition or experience outweighed/did not outweigh the basis of separation.

b. Prior Decisions Cited: N/A

c. Response to Contention(s): The applicant contends they feel that they were unable to fulfill their duties due to undiagnosed/untreated mental health problems. The Board recognizes and appreciates the applicant's service, considered this contention during board proceedings along with the totality of the applicant's service record, and determined that the applicant's length and quality of service, to include combat service, outweighed the applicant's one-time marijuana use and relief was warranted.

d. The Board determined in a records review conducted on 5 February 2026, and by a 3-0 vote, the Board granted the request upon finding the separation was inequitable. The Board, based on the applicant's length and quality of service, to include combat service, and the circumstances surrounding the discharge OBHI diagnoses of adjustment disorder, depression and PTSD, determined the narrative reason for the applicant's separation is now inequitable. Therefore, the Board directed the issue of a new DD Form 214 changing the separation authority to AR 635-200, paragraph 14-12a, the narrative reason for separation to Misconduct (Minor Infractions), and the separation code to JKN. The Board determined the characterization of service was inequitable as well and voted to change it.

e. Rationale for Decision:

(1) Published Department of Defense guidance indicates the guidance is not intended to interfere or impede on the Board's statutory independence. The Board determines the relative weight of the action that was the basis for the discharge and whether it supports relief or not. In reaching its determination, the Board considers the application, available records and any supporting documents included with the application.

ARMY DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD CASE REPORT AND DIRECTIVE**AR20230004952**

(2) The Board voted to change the applicant's characterization of service because, despite applying liberal consideration of all the evidence before the Board, the applicant's BH diagnoses of adjustment disorder, depression, and PTSD excused or mitigated the offense of impaired driving. The discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the regulation, was within the discretion of the separation authority, and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

(3) The Board voted to change the applicant's reason for discharge or accompanying SPD code under the same pretexts, as the reason the applicant was discharged was both proper and equitable.

(4) The RE code will not change, as the current code is consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the regulation.

10. BOARD ACTION DIRECTED:

- a. **Issue a New DD-214:** Yes
- b. **Change Characterization to:** Honorable
- c. **Change Reason / SPD Code to:** Misconduct (Minor Infractions)/JKN
- d. **Change RE Code to:** No change
- e. **Change Authority to:** AR 635-200, paragraph 14-12a

Authenticating Official:

2/25/2026

Legend:

AWOL – Absent Without Leave
AMHRR – Army Military Human
Resource Record
BCD – Bad Conduct Discharge
BH – Behavioral Health
CG – Company Grade Article 15
CID – Criminal Investigation
Division
ELS – Entry Level Status
FG – Field Grade Article 15
FTR – Failure to Report

GD – General Discharge
HS – High School
HD – Honorable Discharge
IADT – Initial Active-Duty
Training
MP – Military Police
MST – Military Sexual Trauma
N/A – Not applicable
NCO – Noncommissioned Officer
NIF – Not in File
NOS – Not Otherwise Specified

OAD – Ordered to Active Duty
OBH (I) – Other Behavioral
Health (Issues)
OMPF – Official Military
Personnel File
PTSD – Post-Traumatic Stress
Disorder
RE – Re-entry
SCM – Summary Court Martial
SPCM – Special Court Martial

SPD – Separation Program
Designator
TBI – Traumatic Brain Injury
UNC – Uncharacterized
Discharge
UOTHC – Under Other Than
Honorable Conditions
VA – Department of Veterans
Affairs