## UNITED STATES COAST GUARD DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD

Docket Number: 2012-085

**Discharge Issued** 

Character: Uncharacterized

Narrative Reason: Entry Level Performance and Conduct

SPD/RE Code: JGA / RE3L

**Authority of Discharge:** COMDTINST M1000.6, 12.B.20

Date of Separation: 2009-04-03

**DRB** Decision

Character: No Change

Narrative Reason: No Change

SPD/RE Code: No Change / No Change

**New Authority:** No Change

## **Discharge Review Board Discussion and Decision:**

DISCUSSION:

The applicant was discharged for Entry Level Separation while at initial accession training.

Based upon the applicant's account, the discharge was due to the inability to handle stress in recruit training while being a newlywed. Additionally, the applicant's ex-spouse had also entered boot camp as a recruit just 2 weeks prior. Therefore, the applicant believes that made matters worse as he could see the spouse at times in training, but he could not speak with the spouse freely. The applicant chalks the experience up to immaturity and uncontrollable distractions that are no longer present in his life. The applicant has provided no other basis to upgrade his RE code.

An RE3 reentry code is neither a permanent bar from, nor affirmative recommendation for reenlistment, rather it represents that the applicant is not recommended for reenlistment due to a disqualifying factor. At the time of discharge, the applicant had demonstrated a disqualifying condition and therefore the RE3L is appropriate. Any subsequent changes that would potentially render the applicant eligible for reenlistment are addressed based upon the policies and needs of the gaining Service with a waiver of the RE3 code.

The Board recommends no relief.

Propriety: Discharge was proper. Equity: Discharge was equitable.

Final Adjudication by Assistant Commandant For Human Resources: Concur. No relief.

In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence (to include evidence submitted by the Applicant) to rebut the presumption.