UNITED STATES COAST GUARD DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD

Docket Number: 2013-017

Discharge Issued Character: Discharge

Narrative Reason: Personality Disorder

SPD/RE Code: JFX / RE4

Authority of Discharge: None Stated

Date of Separation: 2012-11-01

DRB Decision

Character: No Change

Narrative Reason: No Change

SPD/RE Code: No Change / No Change

New Authority: No Change

Discharge Review Board Discussion and Decision:

DISCUSSION:

The applicant was discharged due to a Personality Disorder diagnosis.

The applicant made a suicide attempt by firing a rifle bullet into their own shoulder. This act was premeditated as the sheriff collected the applicant's suicide note and evidence from their spouse indicated the unstable well-being.

Thereafter, the applicant was directed for a mental health evaluation with a Licensed Psychologist. A civilian psychologist and military physician both concluded that the applicant met the criteria for Narcissistic Personality Disorder. This diagnosis was listed in the mental health evaluation as service disqualifying. Soon after, the applicant was notified of the command's discharge intent.

Prior to the aforementioned events, the applicant received an unsatisfactory conduct performance evaluation. Following the suicide attempt, there were two negative administrative remarks due to not paying the government travel card in a timely manner, and becoming a nuisance with professionals who were trying to assist the applicant on past due travel audits on monies already paid out. The applicant became insubordinate and uncooperative on these routine tasks expected of all USCGR personnel. Furthermore, the openly made dissenting comments about the Coast Guard as a whole, and how their civilian employer was much more worthy of the applicant's services and contributions.

The Board recommends no relief. Propriety: Discharge was proper. Equity: Discharge was equitable.

Final Adjudication by Assistant Commandant For Human Resources: No relief

In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence (to include evidence submitted by the Applicant) to rebut the presumption.