

UNITED STATES COAST GUARD DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD

Docket Number: 2014-021

**Discharge Issued**

**Character:** Uncharacterized

**Narrative Reason:** Entry Level Separation

**SPD/RE Code:** JGA / RE3L

**Authority of Discharge:** ART 12.B.20,COMDTINST M1000.6A

**Date of Separation:** 2006-09-08

**DRB Decision**

**Character:** No Change

**Narrative Reason:** No Change

**SPD/RE Code:** No Change / No Change

**New Authority:** No Change

**Discharge Review Board Discussion and Decision:**

DISCUSSION:

The applicant was discharged for Entry Level Separation after 25 days of service.

The applicant was issued with an entry level separation due to the inability to adapt during the first 180 days of service. The applicant claims having no mental deficiency that should prevent reentry into the military. However, the Board notes that the reentry code of RE-3L is the same as most former member's that are unable to complete initial training. The RE3L, does not indicate any mental or behavioral issue that must be overcome. The 3L is defined as 'Entry Level Separation, must have waiver to reenlist'.

The Board finds no issues with propriety or equity in this case. An uncharacterized character of service is not derogatory in nature and is the prescribed character for individuals who were separated while at initial accession training and there was no associated conduct to warrant a less desirable character of service.

An RE3 reentry code is not an affirmative recommendation for reenlistment, rather it represents that the applicant is not recommended for reenlistment due to a disqualifying factor. The RE3 code may be waived based upon the policies and needs of the gaining Service.

Propriety: Discharge was proper

Equity: Discharge was equitable.

Final Adjudication by Assistant Commandant For Human Resources: Discharge will stand as issued.

In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence (to include evidence submitted by the Applicant) to rebut the presumption.