

UNITED STATES COAST GUARD DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD

Docket Number: 2014-023

Discharge Issued

Character: Honorable

Narrative Reason: Miscellaneous / General Reasons

SPD/RE Code: JND / RE4

Authority of Discharge: COMDTINST M1000.4, ART. 1-B-15

Date of Separation: 2013-11-12

DRB Decision

Character: No Change

Narrative Reason: No Change

SPD/RE Code: No Change / No Change

New Authority: No Change

Discharge Review Board Discussion and Decision:

DISCUSSION:

The applicant was discharged for Separation For Miscellaneous/General Reasons due to Unsuitability from alcohol incidents.

Prior to the Discharge, the applicant had multiple alcohol incidents. Both incidents were from speeding and reckless driving after drinking. The former incident resulted in underage drinking, but not driving under the influence as the blood alcohol content was under the legal limit. Under similar circumstances the applicant did receive a DUI being well over the legal limit. After the applicant completed an aftercare treatment plan, the command processed the discharge in accordance with ALCOAST 125/10.

The applicant was notified of the intent to discharge, and the applicant was advised of the right to an attorney. The applicant declined to make a statement and did not object to discharge. The current information provided by the applicant does not dispute alcohol being a causative factor in the two incidents which met the criteria for separation. Additionally, the applicant has provided civilian court documents to profess innocence by way of the final adjudication which the DUI was reduced to reckless driving. The Board finds that the intent and outcome of the applicant's alcohol incident are consistent with the discharge issued.

The Board finds no issues with propriety or equity in this case. The applicant was given an Honorable Discharge and the most favorable Narrative Reason for separation.

Propriety: Discharge was proper.

Equity: Discharge was equitable.

Final Adjudication by Assistant Commandant for Human Resources: No relief.

In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence (to include evidence submitted by the Applicant) to rebut the presumption.