UNITED STATES COAST GUARD DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD

Docket Number: 2014-028

Discharge Issued

Character: Uncharacterized

Narrative Reason: Entry level performance and conduct

SPD/RE Code: JGA / RE3L

Authority of Discharge: COMDTINST M1000.6A, Art 12-B-20

Date of Separation: 2001-09-07

DRB Decision

Character: No Change

Narrative Reason: No Change

SPD/RE Code: No Change / No Change

New Authority: No Change

Discharge Review Board Discussion and Decision:

DISCUSSION:

The applicant was discharged for Entry Level Performance And Conduct at boot camp after 24 days of service.

The applicant was issued an entry level separation due to the inability to adapt during the first 180 days of service. The applicant claims divulging to the recruiter prior to initial training of having asthma. Per USCG accession standards, asthma is a disqualifying factor for entry into military service. However, the Board notes that the reentry code of RE-3L is the same as most former member's that are unable to complete recruit training.

There is no mention of a medical separation stemming from asthma in the service record. The 3L does not indicate any medical condition that must be overcome. The 3L is defined as 'Entry Level Separation, must have waiver to reenlist'.

The Board finds no issues with propriety or equity in this case. An uncharacterized character of service is not derogatory in nature and is the prescribed character for individuals who were separated while at initial accession training and there was no associated conduct to warrant a less desirable character of service.

An RE3 reentry code is not an affirmative recommendation for reenlistment, rather it represents that the applicant is not recommended for reenlistment due to a disqualifying factor. The RE3 code may be waived based upon the policies and needs of the gaining Service.

Propriety: Discharge was proper. Equity: Discharge was equitable.

Final Adjudication by Assistant Commandant For Human Resources: No relief.

In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence (to include evidence submitted by the Applicant) to rebut the presumption.