UNITED STATES COAST GUARD DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD

Docket Number: 2014-034

Discharge Issued
Character: Honorable
Narrative Reason: Weight Control Failure
SPD/RE Code: JCR / RE3F

Authority of Discharge: COMDTINST M1000.6A 12-B-12

Date of Separation: 2011-01-06

DRB Decision
Character: No Change
Narrative Reason: No Change
SPD/RE Code: No Change / No Change

New Authority: No Change

Discharge Review Board Discussion and Decision:
DISCUSSION:
The applicant was discharged for Weight Control Failure. Prior to the separation, the member was placed on weight probation. The applicant was ordered to lose 32 pounds or 6 percent body to reach compliance with the service standard for their age group. Thereafter, the applicant was 24 pounds overweight and still 5 percent over the body fat standard.

In accordance with 4.A.2 of CIM 1020.81-1, the applicant failed to demonstrate reasonable and consistent progress during probation, i.e., not halfway towards compliance at the midpoint of their probationary period. The command notified the applicant of the intent to discharge for the aforementioned reasons, at that time the applicant did not object or chose to make a statement on their behalf.

The Board finds no issues with propriety or equity in this case. Also of note, the applicant is beyond the 24-month window in which they could apply to PSC-epm for reentry into the service if within the weight or body fat standard. The applicant received a reentry code of RE3, therefore the applicant is not barred from future military service. An RE3 reentry code is not an affirmative recommendation for reenlistment, rather it represents that the applicant is not recommended for reenlistment due to a disqualifying factor. The RE3 code may be waived based upon the policies and needs of the gaining Service.

Propriety: Discharge was proper.
Equity: Discharge was equitable.
Final Adjudication By Assistant Commandant for Human Resources: Concur with Board. No relief.

In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence (to include evidence submitted by the Applicant) to rebut the presumption.