

UNITED STATES COAST GUARD DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD

Docket Number: 2014-042

Discharge Issued

Character: Honorable

Narrative Reason: Miscellaneous / General Reasons

SPD/RE Code: JND / RE4

Authority of Discharge: COMDTINST M1000.4, 1-B-15

Date of Separation: 2014-06-12

DRB Decision

Character: No Change

Narrative Reason: No Change

SPD/RE Code: No Change / No Change

New Authority: No Change

Discharge Review Board Discussion and Decision:

DISCUSSION:

The applicant was discharged for Separation For Miscellaneous/General Reasons due to Unsuitability stemming from documented alcohol incidents. As noted on previous page, the applicant received two Non-Judicial punishments that occurred, one of which was an alcohol incident from being too intoxicated to board a commercial flight. Thereafter, the applicant became intoxicated to the extent of vomiting excessively in a hotel room while on temporary duty. The hotel staff reported of several empty containers of beer and liquor in the room. Due to the stench and remnants caused by the applicant on that evening, the hotel staff was unable to repair the room for future visitors in the days after. The command started an investigation based on reports from the hotel staff and the applicant's shipmates. The investigation resulted in an alcohol incident for the applicant. Using the policy guidance in ALCOAST 125/10, the command began the process to separate the member for Unsuitability.

The Board finds no issues with propriety or equity in this case. The command made many attempts to salvage the applicant's career by way of substance abuse treatments, Employee Assistance Programs, and being accompanied by peers. Upon discharge notification, the applicant did waive the right to an Administrative Separation Board in order to receive an Honorable Discharge.

Propriety: Discharge was proper.

Equity: Discharge was equitable.

Final Adjudication By Assistant Commandant for Human Resources: Concur with Board. No relief.

In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence (to include evidence submitted by the Applicant) to rebut the presumption.