UNITED STATES COAST GUARD DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD

Docket Number: 2014-053

Discharge Issued

Character: Uncharacterized

Narrative Reason: Entry level performance and conduct

SPD/RE Code: JGA / RE3L

Authority of Discharge: COMDTINST M1000.4 ART 1.B.19

Date of Separation: 2014-02-07

DRB Decision

Character: No Change

Narrative Reason: No Change

SPD/RE Code: No Change / No Change

New Authority: No Change

Discharge Review Board Discussion and Decision:

DISCUSSION:

The applicant was discharged for Entry Level Performance And Conduct. After arriving at boot camp, the applicant was unable to meet the training requirements set forth by the TRACEN. After 21 days of attempted instruction, the standard correspondence was presented to the applicant (when they are being processed for separation) for a lack of meeting the minimum training requirements. Any entry level separation with under 180 days of service will result in an uncharacterized character of service. Contrary to the application remarks made, the DD Form 214 does not list 'Refusal to Train' anywhere on the summary of service performed.

The Board finds no issues with propriety or equity in this case. The applicant was notified of the intent to discharge and the applicant acknowledged via signature in the days leading up to separation and departure from the Training Center.

An uncharacterized character of service is not derogatory in nature and is the prescribed character for individuals who were separated while at initial accession training and there was no associated conduct to warrant a less desirable character of service.

An RE3 reentry code is not an affirmative recommendation for reenlistment, rather it represents that the applicant is not recommended for reenlistment due to a disqualifying factor. The RE3 code may be waived based upon the policies and needs of the gaining Service.

Propriety: Discharge was proper. Equity: Discharge was equitable.

Final Adjudication by Assistant Commandant For Human Resources: No relief.

In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence (to include evidence submitted by the Applicant) to rebut the presumption.