

UNITED STATES COAST GUARD DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD

Docket Number: 2015-027

**Discharge Issued**

**Character:** Honorable

**Narrative Reason:** Adjustment Disorder

**SPD/RE Code:** JFY / RE4

**Authority of Discharge:** COMDTINST 1000.4 1.B.1.A

**Date of Separation:** 2012-05-23

**DRB Decision**

**Character:** No Change

**Narrative Reason:** No Change

**SPD/RE Code:** No Change / No Change

**New Authority:** No Change

**Discharge Review Board Discussion and Decision:**

DISCUSSION: The applicant was discharged for Adjustment Disorder.

The Board finds no issues with propriety in this case. However, the Board does note that most Discharges for Adjustment Disorder are in alignment with the RE-3G reenlistment code. Per ALCOAST 252/09, the Board recommends a partial upgrade to the RE-3G in the absence of any adverse or derogatory actions during the applicant's short time in service. While the applicant was deemed unsuitable for continued service at that time, the documentation does not support a permanent ban for future reentry into military service.

Additionally, the applicant did receive an Honorable Discharge. This was in the applicant's favor as Discharges with less than 180 days of service normally are issued an Uncharacterized Discharge. Lastly, the applicant did not object to the Discharge.

An RE3 reentry code is not an affirmative recommendation for reenlistment; rather, it represents that the applicant is not recommended for reenlistment due to a disqualifying factor. The RE3 code may be waived based upon the policies and needs of the gaining Service.

RECOMMENDATION: The Board members thoroughly reviewed the applicant's record of service and all available documentation. The Board deemed that the applicant's character of service, and reason for separation are appropriate and should not be changed.

Propriety: Discharge was proper.

Equity: Discharge was equitable.

Board Conclusion: The Board voted 5-0 to recommend partial relief to the reentry code of RE-3G per ALCOAST 252/09

In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence (to include evidence submitted by the Applicant) to rebut the presumption.