

UNITED STATES COAST GUARD DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD

Docket Number: 2015-032

Discharge Issued

Character: Under Honorable Conditions (General)

Narrative Reason: Misconduct

SPD/RE Code: JKK / RE4

Authority of Discharge: COMDTINST M1000.6A ART 12-B-4

Date of Separation: 2009-07-13

DRB Decision

Character: No Change

Narrative Reason: No Change

SPD/RE Code: No Change / No Change

New Authority: No Change

Discharge Review Board Discussion and Decision:

DISCUSSION:

The applicant was discharged for Misconduct due to Involvement with drugs.

The applicant had a positive urinalysis result during a random unit drug test. The applicant's command determined this to be a drug incident. Pursuant to policy, he was recommended for separation due to a drug incident.

The Board finds no issues with propriety or equity in this case. The applicant spoke before the Board telephonically, but no new evidence was brought forth to refute the incident leading to the Discharge. Prior to the Discharge, the applicant was notified of the intent to discharge, and the applicant was advised of the rights to an attorney. The applicant did not object to discharge. Coast Guard policy prescribes no higher than a General, Under Honorable Conditions character of service for individuals separated as a result of violating the Coast Guard's drug policy. The Coast Guard has zero tolerance for drug abuse. The General, Under Honorable Conditions discharge is equitable.

RECOMMENDATION: The Board members thoroughly reviewed the applicant's record of service and all available documentation. The Board deemed that the applicant's character of service, reason for separation, and reenlistment code are appropriate and should not be changed. The applicant has not substantiated any error or inequity.

Propriety: Discharge was proper.

Equity: Discharge was equitable.

Board Conclusion: The Board voted 5-0 to recommend no relief.

In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence (to include evidence submitted by the Applicant) to rebut the presumption.