

UNITED STATES COAST GUARD DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD

Docket Number: 2016-062

Discharge Issued

Character: Under Honorable Conditions (General)

Narrative Reason: Pattern of Misconduct

SPD/RE Code: JKA / RE4

Authority of Discharge: COMDTINST M1000.4 Art 1.B.17

Date of Separation: 2012-06-06

DRB Decision

Character: No Change

Narrative Reason: No Change

SPD/RE Code: No Change / No Change

New Authority: No Change

Discharge Review Board Discussion and Decision:

DISCUSSION:

The Applicant was discharged for a Pattern of Misconduct.

The applicant received two Non-Judicial Punishment (NJP) Proceedings. The applicant was found guilty of unauthorized absence, insubordinate conduct towards a Petty Officer, and not keeping with good order and discipline in the Armed Forces. The applicant was found guilty of failure to obey an order or regulation when they failed to follow the procedure in accordance with their restriction memorandum applicant received during their NJP proceeding. The Applicant also received two additional NJP proceedings. The Applicant's service record has displayed a blatant disregard for Coast Guard Core Values and military lifestyle.

The Board finds no issues with propriety or equity in this case. The applicant was notified of the intent to discharge, and the applicant was advised of the right to an attorney. The applicant waived their right to submit a statement and did not object to the discharge.

RECOMMENDATION: The Board members thoroughly reviewed the applicant's record of service and all available documentation. The Board deemed that the applicant's reason for separation and reenlistment code are appropriate and should not be changed. The applicant has not substantiated any error or inequity.

Propriety: Discharge was proper.

Equity: Discharge was equitable.

Board Conclusion: The Board voted 5-0 to recommend no relief.

In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence (to include evidence submitted by the Applicant) to rebut the presumption.