

UNITED STATES COAST GUARD DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD

Docket Number: 2017-022

Discharge Issued

Character: Under Honorable Conditions (General)

Narrative Reason: Completion of Required Active Service

SPD/RE Code: JBK / RE4

Authority of Discharge: COMDTINST M1000.4 Art 1.B.11

Date of Separation: 2017-01-29

DRB Decision

Character: No Change

Narrative Reason: No Change

SPD/RE Code: No Change / RE3

New Authority: No Change

Discharge Review Board Discussion and Decision:

DISCUSSION:

The Applicant was discharged.

The Applicant served in excess of 13 years of service. The Applicant received numerous positive remarks and awards during their early service. The Applicant received unsatisfactory conduct marks and was not recommended for reenlistment / extension for their evaluations in the 2 years leading up to their discharge.

Two (2) different Separation Authorization forms were presented and their DD Form 214. One Separation Authorization form states their Character of Service is Honorable with an RE code of RE-3, and the other form states Under Honorable Conditions with an RE code of RE-4. Both Separation Authorization forms have the same date.

Fifteen (15) days prior to the expiration of the Applicant's enlistment, the applicant was involved in a drug incident. The Applicant's drug test came back positive for Amphetamine and Methamphetamine. The Applicant was issued a Drug Incident Page 7.

The Board discussed the Separation Code and Reentry Code consistency. Per ALCOAST 125/10, a Separation Code of JBK authorizes a RE Code of RE-1 or RE-3. Per Note 1, RE-1 is the default and RE-3 can be assigned when the Commanding Officer does not recommend the member for reenlistment. The Board determined the Under Honorable Conditions was warranted based on the member's record and an upgrade to the RE code was justified.

Propriety: Discharge was proper.

Equity: Discharge was equitable.

Final Adjudication by Assistant Commandant for Human Resources: Granted no relief.

In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence (to include evidence submitted by the Applicant) to rebut the presumption.