

UNITED STATES COAST GUARD DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD

Docket Number: 2018-053

Discharge Issued

Character: Under Honorable Conditions (General)

Narrative Reason: Misconduct

SPD/RE Code: JKQ / RE4

Authority of Discharge: COMDINST M1000.4 Art 1.B.17

Date of Separation: 2015-11-19

DRB Decision

Character: No Change

Narrative Reason: No Change

SPD/RE Code: No Change / No Change

New Authority: No Change

Discharge Review Board Discussion and Decision:

DISCUSSION:

The applicant was discharged for a Pattern of Misconduct, after serving a total of 03 Years, 3 Months and 14 Days on Active Duty.

IAW COMDTINST M1000.4 1.B.17.a Commander (CG PSC) may direct a discharge for misconduct and the type of discharge (under other than honorable, general, or honorable) as warranted by the particular circumstances of the given case. The SPD Handbook only prescribes an RE Code of RE-4 for JKA. The PDR lacked any case specific documentation with the exception of (1) 3307 citing a cleanliness issue with their berthing room. However, the (2) EERs mention a direct order safety violation and also an NJP which they stated that they will begin to give about 80% of effort at their position. A Separation Authorization was also found in Direct Access. the applicant is requesting relief in the form of Block 27 to be changed from RE-4 to RE-1 in order to reenlist in the service.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Board members thoroughly reviewed the applicant's record of service and all available documentation. The Board deemed that the applicant's reason for separation and reenlistment code are appropriate and should not be changed. There was not any evidence provided to dispute that the Coast Guard had not executed a proper discharge. The applicant has not substantiated any error or inequity.

Propriety: Discharge was proper.

Equity: Discharge was equitable.

Board Conclusion: recommend no relief.

In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence (to include evidence submitted by the Applicant) to rebut the presumption.