

UNITED STATES COAST GUARD DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD

Docket Number: 2019-032

Discharge Issued

Character: Honorable

Narrative Reason: Misconduct

SPD/RE Code: JKQ / RE4

Authority of Discharge: COMDTINST M1000.4 Art 1.B.17

Date of Separation: 2016-07-04

DRB Decision

Character: No Change

Narrative Reason: No Change

SPD/RE Code: No Change / No Change

New Authority: No Change

Discharge Review Board Discussion and Decision:

DISCUSSION:

The applicant was discharged from for Misconduct (DUI) after serving 10 Months and 05 Days on Active Duty.

The Board thoroughly reviewed all of the documentation including the applicant's statement. Due to the member's time in being short a complete PDR was unavailable in Direct Access, however the documentation that was available cites an Alcohol Incident. There is a Separation Authorization in DA that aligns with the DD-214 which followed the prescribed procedures for discharges of this type. The separation authority listed on the DD-214 is COMDTINST M1000.4, 1.B.17 (Misconduct). The SPD handbook prescribes an RE Code of RE-4 for an SPD Code of JKQ.

PROPRIETY: The Board finds no issues with propriety in this case. The applicant was properly discharged under policy in effect at the time of discharge.

EQUITY: The Board finds no issues with equity in this case. The applicant was properly processed under the policy that was in effect at the time of the discharge.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Board members thoroughly reviewed the applicant's record of service and all available documentation. The Board deemed that the applicant's character of service, reason for separation and reenlistment code are appropriate based on the available evidence and should not be changed. The applicant has not substantiated any error or inequity.

Propriety: Discharge was proper.

Equity: Discharge was equitable.

Board Conclusion: recommend no relief.

In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence (to include evidence submitted by the Applicant) to rebut the presumption.