



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS
Docket No: 575-99
16 August 1999

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 10 August 1999. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 27 July 1995 at age 23. Your record reflects that you received three nonjudicial punishments. The offenses included unauthorized absences totalling three days, absence from your appointed place of duty on six occasions, willful disobedience of a lawful order, and disrespect on two occasions.

On 13 September 1996 an administrative discharge board recommended that you be separated with a general discharge by reason of misconduct due to commission of a serious offense. After review by the discharge authority, the recommendation for separation was approved and you were discharged with a general discharge on 4 October 1996.

In its review of your application the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your contention that an altercation with a chief petty officer caused your discharge. However, the Board concluded that these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge, given

your record of three nonjudicial punishments. In this regard, the evidence you submitted is insufficient to support your contention. Consequently, the Board concluded that no change to the discharge is warranted. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director