



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

SMC
Docket No: 01797-99
7 October 1999

SSGT [REDACTED] USMC
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear Staff Sergeant [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 7 October 1999. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the report of the Headquarters Marine Corps Performance Evaluation Review Board (PERB), dated 15 March 1999, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the report of the PERB. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official

records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS
3280 RUSSELL ROAD
QUANTICO, VIRGINIA 22134-5103

179799
IN REPLY REFER TO:
1610
MMER/PERB
MAR 15 1999

MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF
NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: MARINE CORPS PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REVIEW BOARD (PERB)
ADVISORY OPINION ON BCNR APPLICATION IN THE CASE OF STAFF
SERGEANT [REDACTED] SMC

Ref: (a) SSgt [REDACTED] DD Form 149 of 9 Dec 98
(b) MCO PI610.7D w/Ch 1-5

1. Per MCO 1610.11C, the Performance Evaluation Review Board, with three members present, met on 9 March 1999 to consider Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] petition contained in reference (a). Removal of the fitness report for the period 980401 to 980630 (TD) was requested. Reference (b) is the performance evaluation directive governing submission of the report.

2. The petitioner contends the report was used as a counseling tool and is not a fair evaluation of his overall performance. Additionally, he disclaims any counseling regarding the Reporting Senior's expectations and claims the report focuses on two "isolated occurrences."

3. In its proceedings, the PERB concluded that the report is both administratively correct and procedurally complete as written and filed. The following is offered as relevant:

a. In his statement appended to reference (a), the petitioner has done nothing more than reiterate the same issues which he surfaced when he initially responded to the adverse nature of the report. During his review of the report, Capt [REDACTED] addressed the petitioner's disagreements and dissatisfaction with the overall evaluation, finding in favor of the Reporting Senior. It certainly appears to the Board that both the Reporting Senior and Reviewing Officer provided sufficient "counseling" to the petitioner. Whether he accepted that counsel is another issue.

b. To justify the deletion or amendment of a fitness report, evidence of probable error or injustice should be submitted. Notwithstanding the petitioner's own statement, we find nothing to prove that the report is either unfair or inaccurate, or that the petitioner was not the recipient of needed guidance and counsel.

c. As an administrative note, the Board observes that the petitioner's prior fitness report ended on "980417" and that the

(3) PERB

1797-99

Subj: MARINE CORPS PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REVIEW BOARD (PERB)
ADVISORY OPINION ON BCNR APPLICATION IN THE CASE OF STAFF
SERGEANT [REDACTED] USMC

challenged evaluation overlaps that period. We do not, however, find this oversight to either invalidate the fitness report under consideration or to warrant corrective action by this Board.

4. The Board's opinion, based on deliberation and secret ballot vote, is that the contested fitness report should remain a part of Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] official military record.

5. The case is forwarded for final action.

[REDACTED]

Chairperson, Performance
Evaluation Review Board
Personnel Management Division
Manpower and Reserve Affairs
Department
By direction of the Commandant
of the Marine Corps