



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

JRE  
Docket No: 3333-01  
18 June 2001

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 7 June 2001. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 29 August 1990. You underwent psychological evaluation on 15 April 1991, and disclosed that you had never wanted to join the Navy, and you wanted to be discharged, and that you had suffered from asthma since age 5. You were given diagnoses of situational reaction and "rule-out" personality disorder and asthma. You underwent further evaluation on 16 May 1991, and were given a diagnosis of personality disorder, not otherwise specified, with borderline and immature traits. On 6 June 1991, you were found physically qualified for separation. You were discharged by reason of a personality disorder on 3 July 1991. Following your discharge, the Department of Veterans Affairs denied your requests for service connection for asthma, a mental disorder, and conditions of your heart, back and ankles.

In the absence of evidence which demonstrates that you were unfit to perform the duties of your office, grade, rank or rating by reason of a physical disability which was incurred in or aggravated by your naval service, the Board was unable to recommend any corrective action in your case. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the

members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director