

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

LCC:ddj Docket No: 3714-01 16 October 2001

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval RecordsTo: Secretary of the Navy

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. 1552

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments

- (2) NPC memorandum 1430 Ser 85/504 of 26 June 2001 & NAVCRUITCOM memorandum 1133 Ser N312/06483 of 1 October 2001
- (3) Subject's naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with this Board requesting, in effect, that the applicable naval record be corrected to show he was advanced when first eligible and to show his enlistment on 28 March 2001 was in paygrade E-5.

2. The Board, consisting of Messrs, Kastner, McPartlin, and Ms. McCormick, reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 16 October 2001 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, finds as follows:

a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.

b. In correspondence attached as enclosure (2), the office having cognizance over the subject matter addressed in Petitioner's application has commented to the effect that the request has merit and warrants favorable action.

CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2), the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

a. Petitioner was advanced to paygrade E-5 effective 16 October 1997.

b. That part of the request to show your enlistment of 28 March 2001 was in paygrade E-5 is denied. The Navy was not authorizing the enlistment of a NAVET in paygrade E-5 because the STS rating was not short but manned at desired levels. Had your DD Form 214 of 8 November 1997 reflected your grade as an E-5 you still would not have been authorized enlistment in paygrade E-5 on 28 March 2001.

c. That a copy of this Report of Proceedings be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(c)) it is certified that quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN Recorder

GLA dam G. L. ADAMS Acting Recorder

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)) and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

16 October 2001

Executive Direct