



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TRG
Docket No: 4344-01
31 October 2001

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 23 October 2001. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You reenlisted in the Navy on 25 November 1986 for two years at age 36, after about eight years of active service on prior enlistments. The performance evaluation for the period 1 April to 31 August 1988 is adverse and you were not recommended for advancement or retention in the Navy. A counseling entry, dated 7 September 1988, states, in part, as follows:

.... assigned a restrictive reenlistment code of RE-4 because of the lack of both the skill and desire to supervise other personnel; fails the ability to perform minimally many of the occupational standards required in the RP rating, and lacks the competence to train, guide and direct military subordinates.

The performance evaluation for the period 1 September to 23 November 1988 is also adverse and you were not recommended for advancement or retention. You were honorably discharged on 23 November 1988. At that time, you were not recommended for reenlistment and were assigned an RE-4 reenlistment code.

You desire a change in the reenlistment code so you may enlist in

the Naval Reserve at the end of your current enlistment in the Air Force Reserve. You state that the senior chaplain wrote you an adverse evaluation so that an extension would be canceled. You desired that the extension be canceled so that you could enter a convent to explore your religious calling. However, the Board noted that there is no evidence in the record, and you have submitted none, to support your contention. The Board concluded that two consecutive adverse performance evaluations were sufficient to support the assignment of the RE-4 reenlistment code. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director