DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

2 NAVY ANNEX

WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS Docket No: 5187-01

- Salahan Salah

23 August 2001

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records

Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF

Ref:

(a) 10 U.S.C. 1552

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments

(2) Case Summary

(3) Subject's naval record

- 1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Petitioner, a former enlisted member of the Navy, filed enclosure (1) with this Board requesting, in effect, that his naval record be corrected by changing the reenlistment code assigned on 29 June 1993.
- 2. The Board, consisting of Messrs. Shy, Pfeiffer, and Zsalman, reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 21 August 2001 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the Documentary material considered by available evidence of record. the Board consisted of the enclosures, naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.
- 3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice finds as follows:
- a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.
- b. Although it appears that enclosure (1) was not filed in timely manner, it is in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and review the application on its merits.
 - c. Petitioner enlisted in the Navy on 7 June 1993 at age 19.
- d. On 22 June 1993, while still in recruit training, Petitioner was found to be alcohol dependent. On 29 June 1993, Petitioner received a void enlistment by reason of "void enlistment - lack of jurisdiction." At that time he received a reenlistment code of RE-4.
 - e. Title 10 U.S.C. 978 states, in part, that the enlistment of

a person who is determined to be dependent on drugs or alcohol at at the time of such enlistment, or within 72 hours, shall be void. Even though Petitioner was found to be alcohol dependent, it was not discovered within the required 72 hours.

- f. Sailors separated within the first 180 days of active duty normally receive an entry level separation.
- g. An individual separated in recruit training due to a diagnosis of alcohol dependence is separated by reason of erroneous enlistment due to alcohol abuse. An RE-4 reenlistment code must be assigned to an individual so separated.

CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board concludes that Petitioner's request warrants favorable action. In this regard, the Board concludes that his void enlistment should be changed to an entry level separation by reason of erroneous enlistment due to alcohol abuse with an RE-4 reenlistment code. This conclusion is based on the fact that the determination of dependence was not made during the 72 hour time period required for a void enlistment. Therefore, a void enlistment was inappropriate and Petitioner should have received an entry level separation. Additionally, it is clear to the Board that the reason for separation should be changed to erroneous enlistment due to alcohol abuse. An RE-4 reenlistment code is appropriate in Petitioner's case since he was separated by reason of erroneous enlistment due to alcohol dependence.

In view of the foregoing, the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action.

RECOMMENDATION:

- a. That Petitioner's naval record be corrected to show that he was issued an entry level separation by reason of erroneous enlistment due to alcohol abuse on 29 June 1993, vice the void enlistment now of record.
 - b. That no further relief be granted.
- c. That any material or entries inconsistent with or relating to the Board's recommendation be corrected, removed or completely expunded from Petitioner's record and that no such entries or material be added to the record in the future.
- d. That any material directed to be removed from Petitioner's naval record be returned to the Board, together with this Report of Proceedings, for retention in a confidential file maintained for such purpose, with no cross reference being made a part of Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN Recorder ALAN E. GOLDSMITH Acting Recorder

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)) and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

W. DEAN PREIFFER