



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

**JRE**  
Docket No: 4274-02  
19 December 2002

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 12 December 2002. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 13 April 1964. You were evaluated by a Naval Aptitude Board on 12 May 1964, and found to be unqualified for retention because of difficulties in adjustment you encountered prior to entering on active duty. You were discharged by reason of unsuitability on 14 May 1964, pursuant to the approved findings of the aptitude board.

In the absence of evidence which demonstrates that you suffered from a mental or physical disorder which was incurred in or aggravated by your brief period of service, and rendered you unfit by reason of physical disability, as opposed to unsuitable for service, there is no basis for any corrective action in your case. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is

important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

**W. DEAN PFEIFFER**  
Executive Director