

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

2 NAVY ANNEX

WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS

Docket No: 6073-01

15 January 2002



Dear 💮

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 9 January 2002. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Marine Corps on 15 November 1989 after more than nine months of prior active service in the Naval Reserve. You apparently served without incident until 26 February 1993, when a medical evaluation recommended that you be discharged due to patellafemoral stress syndrome. 6 July 1993 you were honorably discharged by reason of physical disability. At the time you were assigned a reenlistment code of RE-3P.

The Board noted that an RE-3P reenlistment code is the most favorable reenlistment code authorized by regulatory guidance for individuals discharged due to a physical disability. The Board thus concluded that there is no error or injustice in your reenlistment code. Accordingly, your application has been The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the

Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director