

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TRG

Docket No: 7388-01 14 February 2002



Dear I

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 12 February 2002. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You reenlisted in the Navy on 18 February 1992. At that time you had completed four years of active duty on a prior enlistment. On 7 July 1994 you received nonjudicial punishment for an unauthorized absence of about 17 days and missing ship's movement. Subsequently, you were diagnosed with a severe personality disorder, and the psychologist concluded that you were a risk to harm yourself or others if retained in the Navy.

Based on the diagnosed personality disorder, you were processed for an administrative discharge. In connection with processing, you stated "I do not object to this separation." After review, the discharge authority directed an honorable discharge. You were so discharged on 23 January 1995 and assigned an RE-3G reenlistment code.

Regulations allow for the assignment of an RE-3G or an RE-4 reenlistment code when an individual is discharged due to a diagnosed personality disorder. An RE-4 reenlistment code is normally assigned when an individual is considered at risk to harm himself or others. Accordingly, you were fortunate to have been assigned an RE-3G reenlistment code. Since you have the

least restrictive reenlistment code authorized by regulations, the Board could not find an error or injustice in the assignment of the RE-3G reenlistment code.

Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director