


## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

> TJR Docket No: 6398-01 20 February 2002



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 12 February 2002. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found you enlisted in the Navy on 10 July 1941 at the age of 18. Your record reflects that during the period from 28 February to 14 July 1942 you received captain's mast (CM) on three occasions for neglect of duty, being slow to obey orders, creating a disturbance, and disobedience.

Your record further reflects that on 2 January 1943 you were convicted by summary court-martial (SCM) of assault. You were sentenced to a \$192 forfeiture of pay and a bad conduct discharge (BCD). After the BCD was approved at all levels of review, you were so discharged on 13 January 1943.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and immaturity. However, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge because of your repetitive misconduct during wartime. The Board noted that you were the subject of four disciplinary actions during an enlistment that lasted for only 18 months. Given all the circumstances of your case, the Board concluded your discharge was proper as issued and no change is warranted. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director