

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100 $\hfill J$

JRE Docket No: 1462-02 10 September 2002



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 29 August 2002. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you were voluntarily released from active duty and discharged on 4 December 2000. You were assigned a reenlistment code of RE-1, to indicate that you were fully qualified and eligible for reenlistment. Although you received extensive medical treatment during your naval career, you were considered physically qualified for separation. The fact the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) has granted you service connection for multiple conditions is not probative of the existence of error or injustice, because the VA awards ratings without regard to the issue of fitness for military duty. As you were fit for duty, and could have reenlisted had you wanted to, there is no basis for granting your request for disability retirement. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records.

Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

.

.

*

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director