

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

HD:hd

Docket No: 00251-03 8 September 2003

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records

To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj:

REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. 1552

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 dtd 5 Dec 02 w/attachments

(2) PERS-311 memo dtd 19 Apr 03

(3) Subject's naval record

- 1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with this Board requesting, in effect, that the applicable naval record be corrected by removing the fitness report for 6 November to 30 November 1996, a copy of which is at Tab A.
- 2. The Board, consisting of Messrs. Adams, Geisler and Zsalman, reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 28 August 2003, and pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.
- 3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, finds as follows:
- a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.
- b. In correspondence attached as enclosure (2), the Navy Personnel Command office having cognizance over fitness report matters has recommended approving Petitioner's request to correct his fitness report record.

CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2), the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action.

RECOMMENDATION:

a. That Petitioner's naval record be corrected by removing therefrom the following fitness report and related material:

Period of Report

Date of Report

Reporting Senior

Period of Report

To

96Nov30

CDR USN 96Nov06 96Nov30

- b. That <u>NO</u> memorandum be filed in Petitioner's naval record to replace the removed report, as this report is not needed for continuity.
- c. That any material or entries inconsistent with or relating to the Board's recommendation be corrected, removed or completely expunged from Petitioner's record and that no such entries or material be added to the record in the future.
- d. That any material directed to be removed from Petitioner's naval record be returned to the Board, together with a copy of this Report of Proceedings, for retention in a confidential file maintained for such purpose, with no cross reference being made a part of Petitioner's naval record.
- 4. Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(c)) it is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN Recorder JONATHAN S. RUSKIN
Acting Recorder

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)) and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by

the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

w. dean Pfri

Executive Direct



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

NAVY PERSONNEL COMMAND 5720 INTEGRITY DRIVE MILLINGTON TN 38055-0000

1610 PERS-311 19 April 2003

MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

Via: PERS/BCNR Coordinator (PERS-00ZCB)

Subj: LTTT, UE

Ref: (a) BUPERSINST 1610.10 EVAL Manual

Encl: (1) BCNR File

- 1. Enclosure (1) is returned. The member requests the removal of his fitness report for the period 6 November 1996 to 30 November 1996.
- 2. Based on our review of the material provided, we find the following:
- a. A review of the member's headquarters record revealed the report in question to be on file. It is not signed by the member, however, it is a Not Observed report, therefore the member signature was not required since the report was not adverse.
 - b. The report in question is a Special/Regular report.
- c. The fitness report in question was filed in error. It does not meet the criteria for a special report. The report does not indicate why the special report was submitted. The member's next report is a periodic regular report that covers the period 6 November 1996 to 28 February 1997 which over lapps the report in question.
 - d. The member proves the report to be unjust.
- 3. We recommend the report in question be removed from the member's record. After the removal continuity will still be maintained.

