



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS
Docket No: 2029-03
24 April 2003

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 23 April 2003. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by Headquarters Marine Corps dated 3 March 2003, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records.

Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS
3280 RUSSELL ROAD
QUANTICO, VIRGINIA 22134-5103

IN REPLY REFER TO:
1040
MMER/RE
MAR 03 2003

MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF
NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: BCNR APPLICATION IN THE CASE OF FORMER [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] SUBJ: RECODE

Encl: (1) [REDACTED] DD Form 149 of 28 Oct 2001

1. [REDACTED] service record has been reviewed and it has been determined that his reenlistment code of RE-4 was correctly assigned. The reenlistment code was assigned based on his overall record and means that he was not recommended for reenlistment at the time of separation.
2. [REDACTED] was honorably discharged on January 3, 1995 by reason of Completion of Required Obligated Service. A review of the administrative portion of his service record indicates that he was counseled concerning a lack of self-discipline, pride, bearing and endurance, repeatedly failing the guard examination, being relieved of all duties concerning the Guard, lack of leadership and knowledge, indebtedness to Marines junior to him and under his supervision, falling out of unit PT runs, lack of integrity, failing to conduct himself properly, not being recommended for promotion, frequent involvement with military authorities, and not being recommended for reenlistment. The disciplinary portion of the record shows that he received one Summary Court-Martial and one nonjudicial punishment under the Uniform Code of Military Justice for offenses which included making and uttering worthless checks, wearing unauthorized ribbons on his uniform, and willfully and unlawfully altering public records. It is also noted that on December 12, 1994, he signed an official service record book entry acknowledging assignment of the RE-4 reenlistment code.
3. After a review of all relevant information, this Headquarters concurs in the professional evaluation of [REDACTED] qualifications for reenlistment at the time of separation. Once a code is correctly assigned it is not routinely changed or upgraded as a result of events that occur after separation or based merely on the passage of time.

4. The enclosure is returned for final action.



Head, Performance Evaluation
Review Branch
Personnel Management Division
By direction of the Commandant
of the Marine Corps