

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS

Docket No: 2345-03

7 May 2003





This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 7 May 2003. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by Headquarters Marine Corps dated 8 March 2003, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. The Board also noted that individuals who are assigned a reenlistment code of RE-4 are not entitled to full separation pay. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records.

Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS 3250 RUSSELL ROAD QUANTICO, VIRGINIA 22134-5103

IN REPLY REFER TO: 1040 MMER/RE MAR 0 \$ 2002

MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: BCNR APPLICATION IN THE CASE OF FORMER STAFF SERGEANT SUBJ: RECODE

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 of 10 Oct 2002

- 1. Mr. service record has been reviewed and it has been determined that his reenlistment code of RE-4 was correctly assigned. The reenlistment code was assigned based on his overall record and means that he was not recommended for reenlistment at the time of separation.
- 2. Mr. Was honorably discharged on December 7, 2002 by reason of Non-Retention on Active Duty. A review of his service record indicates that he was counseled concerning violence, being consistently late for morning muster, and not being recommended for reenlistment. It is also noted that on December 3, 2002, he signed an official service record book entry acknowledging assignment of the RE-4 reenlistment code. It is further noted that the Commandant of the Marine Corps directed the assignment of the RE-4 reenlistment code due to Mr. The basis for the nonrecommendation was his failure to demonstrate the high standards of leadership, professional competence, behavior required to maintain the prestige and quality standards of the Marine Corps.
- 3. After a review of all relevant information, this Headquarters concurs in the professional evaluation of Mr. qualifications for reenlistment at the time of separation. Once a code is correctly assigned it is not routinely changed or upgraded as a result of events that occur after separation or based merely on the passage of time.

Applied States and an area

4. The enclosure is returned for final action.

Head, Performance Evaluation Review Branch

Personnel Management Division By direction of the Commandant of the Marine Corps