



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN
Docket No. 05139-09
4 January 2010

Dear [REDACTED]


This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of 10 USC 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 4 January 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. The Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by the Deputy Director Casualty Assistance Division (N135C) of 1 Dec 09, a copy of which is attached. In addition, we have also enclosed a copy of the Survivor Benefit Plan (SBP) Termination Request form for you to fill out and submit to the Defense Finance Accounting Service-Cleveland (DFAS-CL). However, please note, that you will need your spouse's concurrence to terminate SBP coverage. If you are now divorced, you may provide a copy of your divorce decree to DFAS-CL and your SBP premiums will be suspended effective the date of your divorce.

Therefore, after careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is also important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director

Enclosures