



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BJG  
Docket No: 10522-09  
8 July 2010

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 7 July 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.


After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. The Board found that you entered active duty in the Navy on 6 October 1986. You were convicted by a special court-martial (SPCM) of unauthorized absence (UA), which consisted of five specifications totaling 73 days, and possession of marijuana. You were notified of pending administrative discharge processing with an other than honorable discharge due to misconduct. You exercised your procedural right to an administrative discharge board (ADB). The ADB met and found that you had committed misconduct, but recommended retention. You were convicted by a second SPCM of

UA (three specifications totaling 87 days). Your sentence included a bad conduct discharge (BCD). On 3 May 1990, after appellate review, you received the BCD.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and character reference letters. However, the Board concluded that your BCD should not be changed due to your serious acts of misconduct. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director