



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN
Docket No: 04197-10
14 January 2011



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 12 January 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


You enlisted in the Navy on 30 September 1977, and served without disciplinary incident until 18 May 1979, when you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for two specifications of unauthorized absence (UA), disrespect, and disobeying a lawful order. Shortly thereafter, you received the following disciplinary actions: on 4 February 1980, you received NJP for UA; on 3 September 1980, you received NJP for UA in excess of 65 days; on 12 September 1980, you received NJP for assault; and on 29 November 1982, you were convicted at a special court-martial (SPCM) of three specifications of UA. Your sentence at the SPCM included a bad conduct discharge (BCD). After appellate review, on 13 January 1984, you were separated with a BCD and an RE-4 reenlistment code.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as

your claim of family problems and hardship. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge because of the serious and repetitive misconduct. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director