



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TJR
Docket No: 4732-10
7 March 2011

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 2 March 2011. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 2 May 2007 at age [REDACTED] and served without disciplinary incident.

On 27 March 2008, after being referred for a psychiatric evaluation to determine your occupational health or fitness, you were diagnosed with an [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED]. As a result, you were recommended for an expeditious administrative separation. The psychiatric report stated, in part, as follows:

[REDACTED]

In April 2008 you were processed for an administrative separation by reason of convenience of the government due to your diagnosed [REDACTED]. On 2 May 2008 you received an adverse

separation performance evaluation in which you were not recommended for retention or advancement due to deficiencies in your performance and conduct. Subsequently, the discharge authority directed an honorable discharge by reason of convenience of the government due to a diagnosed [REDACTED]. On 20 May 2008, while serving in paygrade E-3, you were so discharged and assigned an RE-4 reenlistment code.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and desire to change your reenlistment code. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant a change of your reenlistment code because of the seriousness of your diagnosed [REDACTED] which resulted in your nonrecommendation for retention and reenlistment. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director