




DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY


BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BJG

Docket No: 5710-11 18 October 2011



Dear Gunnery Sergeant



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 12 October 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion from Headquarters Marine Corps (HQMC) dated 22 July 2011, a copy of which is attached. The Board also considered your rebuttal letter dated 29 August 2011 with enclosure.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. The Board was unable to find the contested page 11(b) entry was issued to punish you for going against your commanding officer's request to reclassify her Battalion Career Planner, nor could it find you did not have access to the Functional Area Inspector's Report dated 17 December 2009. Finally, while the Board recognized that the staff sergeant

concerned had a fitness report removed by the HQMC Performance Evaluation Review Board and was not reduced as a result of competency review board proceedings, the Board found this did not excuse the actions for which you were counseled. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PREIFFER Executive Director

Enclosure